

3/25/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1903444

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1903444**

## Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 03/19/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott 03/25/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	5.6 psi
02A	IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	Modified TO-15	4.3 "Hg	5 psi
02B	IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	Modified TO-15	4.3 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
03B	IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
04A	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	Modified TO-15	4.9 "Hg	4.6 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	the	ide Tlayer		
CERTIFIED BY:		00	DATE: 03/25/19	

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

**Technical Director** 



## LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903444

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

## **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

The results for samples IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 and IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 in this report were acquired from two separate data files originating from the same analytical run. The two data files have the same base file name and are differentiated with a "sim" extension on the SIM data file.

Dilution was performed on samples IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 and IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 due to the presence of high level non-target species.

## **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.



- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 05:27 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/14/19 01:12 PM Dilution Factor: 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032216

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	0.18 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 06:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:16 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.20

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032218

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	1.0	2.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.44	0.94	1.9	2.6
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.46	1.0	2.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.21	1.8	3.5	0.78 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.32	1.0	2.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.66	1.3	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-02B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 06:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:16 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.20

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032218sim

Commonad	040#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)	
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/ilis)	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.054	0.22	0.56	0.80	

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 07:12 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:14 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.63

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032219

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.42	1.1	2.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.47	1.0	2.0	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.50	1.1	2.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.23	1.9	3.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	1.1	2.2	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.20	0.72	1.4	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-03B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 07:12 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:14 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.63

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032219sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.059	0.24	0.60	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103	



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 06:05 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.57

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032217

		MDL LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount	
Compound		(ug/m3)	(ug/m3) (ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.56	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.064	0.53	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.098	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.091	0.42	0.84	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.057	0.20	0.40	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903444-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 3/22/19 10:16 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032205a

		MDL	LOD Rpt. Limit		Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93	



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Lab Blank **Client ID:** Lab ID:

1903444-05B

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable Media:

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 10:16 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

msd22.i / 22032205sima Instrument/Filename:

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.010	0.043	0.11	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96	



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1903444-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 08:34 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	113
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	97
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105	



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1903444-06B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 08:34 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032202sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106	



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1903444-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 09:07 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	109
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	102
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	93
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	92
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	99

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1903444-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 09:40 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	108
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	90
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
richloroethene	79-01-6	91
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1903444-07B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 09:07 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032203sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	86

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1903444-07BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 09:40 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032204sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	85

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 26, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903444 Sample date: 2019-03-14

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-25

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-26

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description				
<	Less than the reported concentration.				
>	Greater than the reported concentration.				
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.				
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.				
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.				
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.				
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.				
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED				
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.				
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.				
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED				
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.				
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)				
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.				
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.				
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.				
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.				



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903444

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-26

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32322R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

## **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903444 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample			Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_031319	1903444-01A	Air	3/14/2019		Х		
1903444	IAF- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_031319	1903444-02A	Air	3/14/2019		X		
	IAG- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_031319	1903444-03A	Air	3/14/2019		X		
	DUP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_031319	1903444-04A	Air	3/14/2019	AA- 11710BOST ONPOST- 01_031319	х		

## **DATA REVIEW**

## **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

## 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Note: The laboratory identified that the canister used for sample location IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 (Laboratory ID 1903444-02A) had residue levels of constituents of concern and this contamination was from previous use of the canister at a completely different location. The table provided by the laboratory and included in the Chain of Custody, corrected sample analysis data sheets and Misc. tables section documents the carry-over/contamination identified by the laboratory. Based upon this information the canister is considered compromised and the accuracy of the results are in question. Therefore, the results for sample location IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 (Laboratory ID 1903444-02A) were rejected and are considered not usable.

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## **DATA REVIEW**

## **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		orted		eptable	Not		
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required		
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)							
Tier II Validation							
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х			
Tier III Validation				·			
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х			
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х			
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х			
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х			
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х			
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х			
Internal standard		Х		Х			
Compound identification and quantitation							
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х			
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х			
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х			
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х			
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х			

## Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 18, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 22, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS AND MISC. TABLES



Client ID: AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 05:27 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/14/19 01:12 PM Dilution Factor: 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032216

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	0.18 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 06:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:16 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.20

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032218

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CA5#	(ug/iii3)		(ag/iiio)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	<del>75-35-4</del>	0.39	1.0	<del>2.1</del>	Not Detected R
1,4-Dioxane	<del>123-91-1</del>	0.44	0.94	1.9	2.6
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<del>-156-59-2</del>	0.46	1.0	2.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	<del>127-18-4</del>	0.21	1.8	3.5	0.78 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<del>156 60 5</del>	0.32	1.0	2.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	<del>-75-01-4</del>	0.19	0.66	1.3	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-02B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 06:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:16 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.20

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032218sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.054	0.22	0.56	<del>0.80</del> R

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 07:12 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:14 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.63

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032219

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.42	1.1	2.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.47	1.0	2.0	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.50	1.1	2.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.23	1.9	3.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	1.1	2.2	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.20	0.72	1.4	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-03B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 07:12 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:14 PM **Dilution Factor:** 5.63

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032219sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.059	0.24	0.60	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031319

**Lab ID:** 1903444-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 06:05 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.57

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032217

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.56	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.064	0.53	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.098	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.091	0.42	0.84	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.057	0.20	0.40	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

## Only Data Associated With 11710 Boston Post Included Below

			Concentrations (ug/m3)						
		Canister	1,4-Dioxane			Trichloroethene			
Laboratory ID	Sample ID	Physical ID	Project Sample	Previous Sample	Blank Sample*	Project Sample	Previous Sample	Blank Sample*	
								,,	
1903444-02A									
	IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031319	00383	2.6	870	Not Tested	0.80	140,000	Not Tested	
		1				<u> </u>	140,000	I NOT TESTEU	

<sup>\*</sup>Blank sample prepared in cleaned canister after project sample analyzed. Prepared by filling cleaned canister with humidified UHP nitrogen for 3 days prior to analysis. Four affected canisters tested, two of which were project canisters and presented in the above table.

			Concentrations (ug/m3)			
		Canister	Canister 1,4-Dioxane			
Laboratory ID	Sample ID	Physical ID	Project sample	Previous sample	Prior 2 samples**	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Sequence of concentrations measured in prior two samples not provided.

## **Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody**

For Laboratory Use Only

1903444 Workorder #: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC, Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sampler: TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Lab Use Only Site Name: 11710 Boston Post #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas:  $N_2$  / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Controller Receipt Information Sample Identification Information Can # ID Date Time Date Time 6L0491 40313 DA AA-11710 BOSTONPOST-01 031319 3/13/19 3/14/19 -29 1434 1312 X 6L0741 23901 3/13/19 のA IAF-11710 BOSTONPOST-01\_031319 1419 3/14/19 1316 -29 -3,C 610169 3/13/19/ 03A 1AG-11710 BOSTONPOST-01\_631319 22573 3/14/19 1314 -29 -5 χ DUA DUP-11710BOSTON POST-61-031319 3/13/14 6L1145 3/14/19 7067 -29 -5 Relinguished by/(Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time 3-15-19 3/19/19 0950 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Regeived by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



3/26/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1903449

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 

Scott



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1903449**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 03/19/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 03/26/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<b>TEST</b>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_031419	TO-15	5.3 "Hg	16.5 psi
02A	SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-02_031419	TO-15	6.3 "Hg	15.5 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	the	idi /	Payer		
CERTIFIED BY:			0	DATE:	03/26/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8, LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903449

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031419

**Lab ID:** 1903449-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 10:09 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:41 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.58

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032215

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.7	9.3	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.8	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.5	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.8	2.6	3.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_031419

**Lab ID:** 1903449-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 10:36 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:48 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.60

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032216

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.1	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.7	9.4	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.1	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.8	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.1	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.6	7.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.9	2.6	3.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903449-03A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 3/22/19 02:28 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032206a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.71	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.68	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.72	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1903449-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 11:25 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	97
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
richloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1903449-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 11:49 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	106
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	86
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	114
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1903449-05AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 12:28 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	108
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	84
sis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	115
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	108
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	107

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 26, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903449 Sample date: 2019-03-14

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-26

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-26

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903449

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-26

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32323R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903449 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_031419	1903449-01A	Air	3/14/2019		х		
1903449	SSMP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 02_031419	1903449-02A	Air	3/14/2019		х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		rmance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		X	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 7, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 8, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_031419

**Lab ID:** 1903449-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 10:09 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:41 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.58

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032215

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.7	9.3	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.8	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.5	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.8	2.6	3.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_031419

**Lab ID:** 1903449-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 10:36 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 3/14/19 01:48 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.60

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032216

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.1	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.7	9.4	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.1	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.8	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.1	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.6	7.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.9	2.6	3.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

1903449 PID: Workorder#: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B. Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Sampler: TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Lab Use Only Site Name: 11710 Boston Post Final (psig) Gas: N<sub>2</sub> / He Cadena #E203631, Level IV Reporting Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Receipt Lab Flow Sample Identification Information Information Can# ID Controller # Date Time Date Time SSMP-11710 BOSTONPOST-01\_131419 112367 23431 3/14/19 3/14/19 1331 1341 X 55MP-11710 BOSTONPOST-02\_051419 11 1 631 23643 3/14/19 1336 3/14/19 1348 - b X Relinquished by (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time 0950 Date 3/19/19 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



## **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mile Del Your

Authorized for release by: 3/27/2019 3:18:14 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

----- LINKS -----

Review your project results through

Total Access

**Have a Question?** 



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

1

3

4

5

6

R

9

11

. .

# **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	9
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

## **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Not Calculated

**Quality Control** 

**Practical Quantitation Limit** 

Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

#### **Qualifiers**

#### **GC/MS VOA**

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
4	MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

## Glossary

NC

ND

PQL

QC

RER

RL RPD

TEF

**TEQ** 

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)

TestAmerica Canton

Page 3 of 18 3/27/2019

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-109608-1

**Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton** 

**Narrative** 

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 240-109608-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control sample was within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, sample was diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

TestAmerica Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of TestAmerica and its client.

#### **RECEIPT**

The sample was received on 3/19/2019 8:20 AM; the sample arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.0° C.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)**

Sample SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319 (240-109608-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The sample was analyzed on 03/27/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)**

Sample SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319 (240-109608-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 03/20/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

## **Method Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

## **Sample Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
240-109608-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319	Water	03/13/19 14:27	03/19/19 08:20

Λ

6

8

3

11

16

11)

## **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109608-1

No Detections.

\_\_\_\_\_

9

4 4

12

13

1/2

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109608-1

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319
Date Collected: 03/13/19 14:27
Data Danaita da 00/40/40 00:00

Date Received: 03/19/19 08:20

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/20/19 20:38	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	100		63 - 125					03/20/19 20:38	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	114		70 - 121			•		03/27/19 01:05	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	82		59 - 120					03/27/19 01:05	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	92		70 - 123					03/27/19 01:05	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	113		75 - 128					03/27/19 01:05	1

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-121)	(59-120)	(70-123)	(75-128)
240-109561-A-2 MS	Matrix Spike	94	108	103	93
240-109561-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	95	107	103	93
240-109608-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01 031319	114	82	92	113
LCS 240-373303/4	Lab Control Sample	94	107	103	92
MB 240-373303/6	Method Blank	110	85	94	105

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

_			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(10-150)	(10-150)	(10-150)	(10-150)
MRL 240-373303/5	Lab Control Sample	102	97	98	98

**Surrogate Legend** 

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)	
240-109608-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01	100	
240-109639-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	99	
240-109639-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	102	
LCS 240-372435/4	Lab Control Sample	96	
MB 240-372435/5	Method Blank	93	
Surrogate Legend			
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth	ane-d4 (Surr)		

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

		Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)						
		DCA						
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(10-150)						
MRL 240-372435/6	Lab Control Sample	98						
Surrogate Legend								

**TestAmerica Canton** 

Page 9 of 18 3/27/2019

## **Surrogate Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631 DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-373303/6

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 373303** 

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1

MB MB

Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifie	er Limits	Prepared	d Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	110	70 - 121		03/26/19 16:53	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	85	59 - 120		03/26/19 16:53	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	94	70 - 123		03/26/19 16:53	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	105	75 - 128		03/26/19 16:53	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-373303/4

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 373303** 

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	65 - 139	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	76 - 128	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	74 - 130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	78 - 133	
Trichloroethene	10.0	9.52		ug/L		95	76 - 125	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	10.1		ug/L		101	58 - 143	

LCS LCS

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	107		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	92		75 - 128

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-373303/5

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 373303** 

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	MRL	MRL				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.00100	0.00106		ng/uL		106	10 - 150	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.00100	0.00109		ng/uL		109	10 - 150	
Tetrachloroethene	0.00100	0.00110		ng/uL		110	10 - 150	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.00100	0.00111		ng/uL		111	10 - 150	
Trichloroethene	0.00100	0.00104		ng/uL		104	10 - 150	
Vinyl chloride	0.00100	0.00119		ng/uL		119	10 - 150	

MRL MRL

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		10 - 150
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		10 - 150
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		10 - 150

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-373303/5

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 373303** 

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** Prep Type: Total/NA

MRL MRL

%Recovery Qualifier Surrogate Limits Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 10 - 150 98

Lab Sample ID: 240-109561-A-2 MS

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 373303** 

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Prep Type: Total/NA

Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier **Analyte** Unit D %Rec Limits 1,1-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 10.6 ug/L 106 53 - 140 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 10.0 1.0 U 10 1 ug/L 101 64 - 130 Tetrachloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 10.2 ug/L 102 51 - 136trans-1.2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 10.7 107 68 - 133 ug/L Trichloroethene 0.79 J 10.0 9.96 ug/L 92 55 - 131 Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 10.0 10.4 ug/L 104 43 - 154

MS MS

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	108		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	93		75 - 128

Lab Sample ID: 240-109561-C-2 MSD

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 373303** 

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	53 - 140	1	35
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.88		ug/L		99	64 - 130	2	21
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.93		ug/L		99	51 - 136	3	23
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	68 - 133	2	24
Trichloroethene	0.79	J	10.0	9.84		ug/L		91	55 - 131	1	23
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	10.0		ug/L		100	43 - 154	4	29

MSD MSD

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	95		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	107		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	93		75 - 128

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-372435/5

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 372435** 

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

MB MB **Analyte** Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 2.0 0.86 ug/L 03/20/19 12:04

**TestAmerica Canton** 

10

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-372435/5

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 372435** 

MB MB

%Recovery Qualifier Surrogate Limits Analyzed Dil Fac Prepared 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 63 - 125 03/20/19 12:04 93

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-372435/4

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 372435** 

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Limits **Analyte** Unit D %Rec 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 10.2 ug/L 102 59 - 131

LCS LCS

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 63 - 125 96

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-372435/6

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 372435** 

Spike MRL MRL %Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 0.00100 1,4-Dioxane 0.00131 J ng/uL 131 10 - 150

MRL MRL

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 98 10 - 150

Lab Sample ID: 240-109639-C-7 MS

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 372435** 

Spike MS MS %Rec. Sample Sample Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 150 10.0 158 ug/L 52 - 129

MS MS

Qualifier Limits Surrogate %Recovery 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 63 - 125 99

Lab Sample ID: 240-109639-C-7 MSD

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 372435** 

Spike MSD MSD %Rec. **RPD** Sample Sample Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits RPD Limit 1.4-Dioxane 10.0 150 180 4 ug/L 272 52 - 129

MSD MSD

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 102 63 - 125

10

## **QC Association Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

## **GC/MS VOA**

#### **Analysis Batch: 372435**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-109608-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-372435/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-372435/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MRL 240-372435/6	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-109639-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-109639-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

## **Analysis Batch: 373303**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-109608-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-373303/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-373303/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MRL 240-373303/5	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-109561-A-2 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-109561-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

#### **Lab Chronicle**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109608-1

**Matrix: Water** 

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319 Date Collected: 03/13/19 14:27

Date Received: 03/19/19 08:20

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B			373303	03/27/19 01:05	LRW	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	372435	03/20/19 20:38	SAM	TAL CAN

#### **Laboratory References:**

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

## **Accreditation/Certification Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

## **Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton**

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	Identification Number	<b>Expiration Date</b>
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-19 *
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-19 *
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	09-06-19
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19
Washington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19

<sup>\*</sup> Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

MICHIGAN 1.2/C1.6

## **Chain of Custody Record**

Took A	
PCTAIN	DONO
TestAm	CILC
Part and the latest a	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

: Kris Hinskey																
: Kris Hinskey		Testa Car	-tt- A	ngela De	Canadia			Itak	Canta	et: Mik	- D-II	Annle				TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. COC No:
																COC No:
40		Telepho	one: 734	-320-006	5			Tele	phone:	330-49	7-939	6				of COCs
ey@arcadis.com		Ans	alysis Tu	ırnaroui	d Time		100		_		An	alyso	s			For lab use only
	_	TAT if d	ifferent fro	n below	10000	製										Walk-in client
			1	3 wee												
rrier:		5 Da	ay F	₹ 1 wee	k								~			Lab sampling
	_	-		2 day		(N/)	ap=Q	0	809			90	B SI	1 1		Job/SDG No:
				-		ple ()	C/Grab=G	3260	E 82			828	3260			200.0020110.
Mat	rix	Co	ntainers	& Preser	vatives	Sam	DOMEST A	SCE S	2-DC	808	80B	loride	ane	1 1		
Air Aqueous Sediment	Solid Other:	H2SO4 HN03	HC	ZaAc/ NaOH	Unpres Other:	Filtered Sample (Y / N)	Composite=C/4	ds-1,2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	TCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM			Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
7 X		П	X			N		X	X	X	X	X	χ			38760B/38760BSM
		T		11				1				7		$\vdash$		3 2000 311
		-	-	4	4	1		_	-					1		
The state of																
	HI HILL	111111111	1991 1591	IMIN		1								$\vdash$		
					-	$\vdash$		-	-		$\vdash$	$\dashv$		-		
			MIN										-			
240-109608 C	hain of C	ustody			-											
240-109000 0	nam or c	ustouy			-	+	-	+	-		$\dashv$	-	-	-	+	
		11	11	11												
		+	1	-	-	$\vdash$		1	-		-	$\dashv$	-	-	+	
		11	11													
			T													
		Sami	ple Disp	osal ( A	fee may be	asses	sed if sa	mples a	re reta	ined lo	nger (i	nan 1	nonth)			
Jnknown				to Clien			sal By L			Archive			Month	is		
Date/Tim	١١٦	Q 18	20 R	Received	i (0)	14	Str	VΛ	00		Comp	TA T	-( Ar	415		Date/Time: 3/13/14/1830
Date/Tim			_ R			14	011	11 0	7		Comp	any:	Con	20		Date/Time:
	19	1349		1	nc 2	ب	_				_	_	Ane	irus		3/18/19 1745
		1500		teceived	in Laborat	ory by	2				Comp	any	-			Date/Time: 3/14/19 82
	Date/Tin 3-{8- Date/Tin	Date/Time:	Date/Time: 3-18-19 13-19	Date/Time: 3/8-19 1345 P	Date/Time: Received Date/Time: Received	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laborat	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by:	Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by:	Date/Time: Received by:    Same   Sam	Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by:  Comp	Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by Company:	Date Time: Received by Company:  Com	Date/Time: Received by: Company:  Testane: Company:  Com	Date/Time: Received by: Company:  Co

TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Canton Facility	Login # : 109608
Client Accadis Site Name	Coler inpacked by:
Cooler Received on Striff	
FedEx: 1st Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Cou	
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Locat	
	г
Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Othe COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None	
1. Cooler temperature upon receipt  IR GUN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °C) Observed Cooler Temp.  OC Corrected Cooler Temp.  C Corrected Cooler Temp.  C Corrected Cooler Temp.	ler Temp°C
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?	Yes No NA Yes No NA
<ol> <li>Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?</li> </ol>	Yes No
4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)?	Yes No Tests that are not
5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place?	Yes No checked for pH by
6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC?	Yes No Receiving:
	Yes No Yes No VOAs
8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC?	Yes No Oil and Grease
9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?  10. Sufficient question received to perform indicated analyses?	Yes No TOC
<ul><li>10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?</li><li>11. Are these work share samples?</li></ul>	Yes (No)
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.	res (No)
12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# HC861525
13. Were VOAs on the COC?	Yes No
14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this.	Yes No-NA
15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	Yes No
16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?	Yes No
Contacted PM by via Ver	bal Voice Mail Other
Concerning	
	Samples processed by:
17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES	PC
18. SAMPLE CONDITION	
Sample(s) were received after the recommended	I holding time had expired.
Sample(s) were re-	ceived in a broken container.
Sample(s) were received with bubble >6	mm in diameter. (Notify PM)
19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	
Complete)	ere further preserved in the laboratory
Sample(s)w Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	ere further preserved in the laboratory,
Time preserved	
VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen:	



March 27, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory submittal: 109608-1 Sample date: 2019-03-13

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-27

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-27

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

1 Water sample was analyzed for GCMS VOC parameter(s).

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

#### **SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY**

**CADENA Project ID:** E203631

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica-North Canton

**Laboratory Submittal:** 109608-1

			<b>Collection Date</b>	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lak	b Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
240	01096081	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319	3/13/2019	2:27:00	Х	Х	

## **Analytical Results Summary**

**Reportable Results Only** 

**CADENA Project ID:** E203631

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica - North Canton

**Laboratory Submittal:** 109608-1

**Sample Name:** SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319

**Lab Sample ID:** 2401096081 **Sample Date:** 3/13/2019

				Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC						
OSW-82	<u>60B</u>					
	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
OSW-82	60BBSim					
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-109608-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-27

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #33356R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

## **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-109608-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-109608-1	SUMP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01-031319	240-109608-1	Water	3/13/2019		X	Х	

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
Sample receipt condition		Х		X		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		X		
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		X		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		X		
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All detected compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM		oorted		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: July 2, 2019

a Kajs

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 2, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

# 3/27/2019

MICHIGAN 1.2/C1.6

## **Chain of Custody Record**

TestAmeri	ca
CHARLES OF THE PARTY OF	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.
THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL	TESTING

: Kris Hinskey																
: Kris Hinskey		Testa Car	-tt- A	ngela De	Canadia			Itak	Canta	et: Mik	- D-II	Annle				TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. COC No:
																COC No:
40		Telepho	one: 734	-320-006	5			Tele	phone:	330-49	7-939	6				of COCs
ey@arcadis.com		Ans	alysis Tu	ırnaroui	d Time				_		An	alyso	s			For lab use only
	_	TAT if d	ifferent fro	n below	10000	製										Walk-in client
			1	3 wee												
rrier:		5 Da	ay F	₹ 1 wee	k								~			Lab sampling
	_	-		2 day		(N/)	ap=Q	0	809			90	B SI	1 1		Job/SDG No:
				-		ple ()	C/Grab=G	3260	E 82			828	3260			200.0020110.
Mat	rix	Co	ntainers	& Preser	vatives	Sam	DOMEST A	SCE S	2-DC	808	80B	loride	ane	1 1		
Air Aqueous Sediment	Solid Other:	H2SO4 HN03	HC	ZaAc/ NaOH	Unpres Other:	Filtered Sample (Y / N)	Composite=C/4	ds-1,2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	TCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM			Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
7 X		П	X			N		X	X	X	X	X	χ			38760B/38760BSM
		T		11				1				7		$\vdash$		3 2000 311
		-	-	4	4	1		_	-					1		
The state of																
	HI HILL	111111111	1991 1591	IMIN		1								$\vdash$		
					-	$\vdash$		-	-		$\vdash$	$\dashv$		-		
			MIN										-			
240-109608 C	hain of C	ustody			-											
240-109000 0	nam or c	ustouy			-	+	-	+	-		$\vdash$	-	-	-	+	
		11	11	11												
		+	1	-	-	$\vdash$		1	-		-	$\dashv$	-	-	+	
		11	11													
			T													
		Sami	ple Disp	osal ( A	fee may be	asses	sed if sa	mples a	re reta	ined lo	nger (i	nan 1	nonth)			
Jnknown				to Clien			sal By L			Archive			Month	is		
Date/Tim	١١٦	Q 18	20 R	Received	i (0)	14	Str	VΛ	00		Comp	TA T	-( Ar	415		Date/Time: 3/13/14/1830
Date/Tim			_ R			14	011	11 0	7		Comp	any:	Con	20		Date/Time:
	19	1349		1	nc 2	ب	_				_	_	Ane	irus		3/18/19 1745
		1500		teceived	in Laborat	ory by	2				Comp	any	-			Date/Time: 3/14/19 82
	Date/Tin 3-{8- Date/Tin	Date/Time:	Date/Time: 3-18-19 13-19	Date/Time: 3/8-19 1345 P	Date/Time: Received Date/Time: Received	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laborat	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by:	Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by	Date/Time: Received by:  Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by:	Date/Time: Received by:    Same   Sam	Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by:  Comp	Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by Company:	Date Time: Received by Company:  Com	Date/Time: Received by: Company:  Testane: Company:  Com	Date/Time: Received by: Company:  Co

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109608-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109608-1

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-031319
Date Collected: 03/13/19 14:27
Data Danaita da 00/40/40 00:00

Date Received: 03/19/19 08:20

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/20/19 20:38	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	100		63 - 125					03/20/19 20:38	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/27/19 01:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	114		70 - 121			•		03/27/19 01:05	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	82		59 - 120					03/27/19 01:05	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	92		70 - 123					03/27/19 01:05	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	113		75 - 128					03/27/19 01:05	1



5/6/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Scott

Project #:

Workorder #: 1904640

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 4/29/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



DATE COMPLETED:

#### **WORK ORDER #: 1904640**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**FINAL** 

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.**# MI001454.0003.00002

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

**DATE RECEIVED:** 04/29/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

05/06/2019

RECEIPT

			_	
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02_042319	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
03B	IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
04A	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	Modified TO-15	4.0 "Hg	5 psi
04B	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	Modified TO-15	4.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
06A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06C	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07C	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08C	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08CC	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:	Meide Thayes	DATE: 05/06/19	
	Technical Director		

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1904640

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on April 29, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Samples DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_042319 and IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319 were transferred from Low Level analysis to full scan TO-15 due to high levels of non-target compounds.

Dilution was performed on samples DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_042319, IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319, IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319 and DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319 due to the presence of high level non-target species.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.



- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 05:11 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 5.58

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050212

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	4.9	8.8	11	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	21	30	40	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.1	8.8	11	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	7.6	15	19	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.3	8.8	11	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	5.4	12	15	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.8	5.7	7.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	76
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 05:37 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 08:40 AM **Dilution Factor:** 5.70

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050213

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5.0	9.0	11	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	22	31	41	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.2	9.0	11	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	7.7	15	19	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.4	9.0	11	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	5.5	12	15	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.9	5.8	7.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	76
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 09:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 08:36 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.40

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043015

Compound		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount (ug/m3)
	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.63	1.7	3.3	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.70	1.5	3.0	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.74	1.7	3.3	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	2.8	5.7	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.52	1.7	3.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.30	1.1	2.1	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	87
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-03B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 09:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 08:36 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.40

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043015sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.088	0.36	0.90	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 08:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 7.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043014

Compound		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount (ug/m3)
	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.58	1.5	3.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	1.4	2.8	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.68	1.5	3.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.32	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.48	1.5	3.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.28	0.99	2.0	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 08:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 7.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043014sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.081	0.33	0.83	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 06:29 PM

Date/Time Collected: 4/24/19 08:44 AM Dilution Factor: 1.61

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043012

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	0.33 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.55	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1904640-06A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 4/30/19 02:45 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043007a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1904640-06B

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 4/30/19 02:45 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043007sima

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.010	0.043	0.11	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1904640-06C

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 5/2/19 12:34 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050205c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	77
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1904640-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 09:54 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043002

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	82
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	86
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	89
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	115
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	88

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	108



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1904640-07B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 09:54 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043002sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	110

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1904640-07C **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 10:58 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	116
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	93
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	87
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	110
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	92
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	101

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	79
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

# eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1904640-08A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 11:14 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043003

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	84
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	113
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	98
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1904640-08AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 12:04 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043004

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
I,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	112
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	81
richloroethene	79-01-6	103
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1904640-08B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 11:14 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043003sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1904640-08BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 12:04 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043004sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

# eurofins Air Toxics

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1904640-08C **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 11:39 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	115
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	109
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
richloroethene	79-01-6	90
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	103

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	80
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

# eurofins Air Toxics

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1904640-08CC **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 12:06 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	112
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	90
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	110
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	102

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



May 6, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1904640 Sample date: 2019-04-24

Report received by CADENA: 2019-05-06

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-05-06

5 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1904640

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-05-06

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32758R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1904640 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	DUP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 02_042319	1904640-01A	Air	4/24/2019	IAF- 11710BOSTO NPOST- 01_042319	х		
	IAF- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_042319	1904640-02A	Air	4/24/2019		х		
1904640	IAG- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_042319	1904640-03B	Air	4/24/2019		х		
	DUP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_042319	1904640-04B	Air	4/24/2019	AA- 11710BOSTO NPOST- 01_042319	Х		
	AA- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_042319	1904640-05A	Air	4/24/2019		х		

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Performance Reported Acceptable			- Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan) and TO-15-SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15 and USEPA TO-15-SIM	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in µg/m³) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319/ DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02_042319	All compounds	U	U	AC
AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319/ DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	1,4-Dioxane	0.33 J	2.8 U	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan) and TO-15 SIM	Re	eported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation				·	
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		X		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		X		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: May 14, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: May 15, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 05:11 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 5.58

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050212

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	4.9	8.8	11	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	21	30	40	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.1	8.8	11	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	7.6	15	19	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.3	8.8	11	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	5.4	12	15	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.8	5.7	7.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	76
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/2/19 05:37 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 08:40 AM **Dilution Factor:** 5.70

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17050213

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5.0	9.0	11	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	22	31	41	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.2	9.0	11	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	7.7	15	19	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.4	9.0	11	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	5.5	12	15	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.9	5.8	7.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	76
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 09:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 08:36 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.40

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043015

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.63	1.7	3.3	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.70	1.5	3.0	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.74	1.7	3.3	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	2.8	5.7	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.52	1.7	3.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.30	1.1	2.1	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	87
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-03B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 09:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 08:36 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.40

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043015sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.088	0.36	0.90	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 08:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 7.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043014

Compound		MDL	MDL LOD Rpt. Limit	Amount	
	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.58	1.5	3.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	1.4	2.8	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.68	1.5	3.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.32	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.48	1.5	3.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.28	0.99	2.0	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 08:02 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 7.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043014sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.081	0.33	0.83	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042319

**Lab ID:** 1904640-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 06:29 PM

Date/Time Collected: 4/24/19 08:44 AM Dilution Factor: 1.61

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22043012

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	0.33 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.55	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

### 1904640

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only

ent:	55; Fax (916) 351-8279 Arcadis	PID:	T	Special Instru	ations/Notes.							
roject Name:	Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling	FIU.			1,1-DCE, cis-1,2	-DCF_trans-1.2	DCE 14.					
roject Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.#	MI001454,0003,00002	Dioxane, PCE, jim.tomalia@ca	TCE and VC. Sundena.com. Cade	abmit results thro	ough Cadena at			Turnaround Time (Rush surcharg	es may apply)	·
ampler:	C.weaver, H.Ladd			Reporting						5 Day Turnaround Tin	ne	
ite Name:	11710 BOSTON POST						Ì		Canister	Vacuum/Pressure	Requested	Ansivene
				Start Samplin	g Information	Stop Samplie	g Information			Lab Use Only	TO-15 (See Special	- Indigação
Leb ID	Sample Identification	Canister #	Flow Controller #	Date	Time	Date	Time	Intial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt Final (psig) Gas: N2 / He	Instructions/Notes)	
<u>OIA</u>	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-02_042319	6L0942	40297	04/23/2019		04/24/2019		-29	-5.5			<del> </del>
<u> </u>	IAF-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_042319	6L2399	21245	04/23/2019	09:41	04/24/2019	08:40	-29	-6		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>
<u> </u>	IAG-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_042319	6L2404	22847	04/23/2019	09:39	04/24/2019	08:36	-29	<b>-5.5</b>		<del>1                                    </del>	<del> </del>
-chA	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042319	6L0904	20762	04/23/2019	1	04/24/2019	<b>†</b>	-29	-4		T X	<del> </del>
	DUP-117108OSTONPOST-03_042319	6L1831	100166	04/23/2019		04/24/2019		-29	-2		Can failure - do not analyze	
<u> 05A</u>	AA-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_042319	<u> </u>	23862	04/23/2019	09:36	04/24/2019	08:44	-29	-6			1
elinquished by: (Sig		17 [0	Ud (S	Date JSJA	Time /600	Received by: (Si	gnature/Affiliation	194/19	E47		Date 4/29/19	Time 092
elinquished by: (Sig			•	Date	Time	Received by: (Si	gnature/Affiliatior	)(			Date	Time
elinquished by: (Sig	(nature/Affiliation)			Date	Time	Received by: (Si	gnature/Affiliation	1)		······································	Date	Time
					Lab Us	e Only						
hipper Name: 🕢	150	Custody Seals I	ntact?	Yes)	N	o	None		6w	n		



5/6/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Scott

Project #:

Workorder #: 1904647

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 4/29/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1904647**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003.00002

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

**DATE RECEIVED:** 04/29/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 05/06/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_042419	TO-15	5.3 "Hg	15.2 psi
02A	SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-02_042419	TO-15	5.5 "Hg	14.9 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide Thayes	
CERTIFIED BY:		0 0	DATE: 05/06/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1904647

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on April 29, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042419

**Lab ID:** 1904647-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/1/19 01:00 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 09:19 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.47

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043023

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.98	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.0	6.7	8.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.9	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.66	5.3	6.6	1.3 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.63	2.5	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_042419

**Lab ID:** 1904647-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/1/19 01:26 AM

Date/Time Collected: 4/24/19 09:19 AM Dilution Factor: 2.46

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043024

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.98	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.0	6.7	8.3	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.8	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.66	5.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.63	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1904647-03A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 4/30/19 02:12 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043006c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.0	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.41	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.75	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.27	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.26	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1904647-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 11:44 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043002

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	110
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	93
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	111
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	105
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99	



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1904647-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 12:29 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043003

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	113
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	118
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	94
richloroethene	79-01-6	101
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	107

	CAS#		%Recovery
Surrogates		Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1904647-05AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 4/30/19 12:54 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043004

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	111
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	121
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	112

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



May 6, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1904647 Sample date: 2019-04-24

Report received by CADENA: 2019-05-06

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-05-06

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1904647

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-05-06

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32759R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1904647 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

			Sample Collection			Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID Matrix		Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_042419	1904647-01A	Air	4/24/2019		х		
1904647	SSMP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 02_042419	1904647-02A	Air	4/24/2019		х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Re	ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: May 14, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: May 15, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_042419

**Lab ID:** 1904647-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/1/19 01:00 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 4/24/19 09:19 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.47

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043023

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.98	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.0	6.7	8.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.9	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.66	5.3	6.6	1.3 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.63	2.5	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: SSMP-11710BOSTONPOST-02\_042419

**Lab ID:** 1904647-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 5/1/19 01:26 AM

Date/Time Collected: 4/24/19 09:19 AM Dilution Factor: 2.46

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a043024

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.98	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.0	6.7	8.3	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.8	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.66	5.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.63	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

#### Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use

Only 1904647 Workorder#:

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Client.

Arcadis

PID:

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

11710 DOCTON DOC

Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Sampler: Hayden L

Project Name:

MI001454.0003.00002

Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com, Cadena #E203631, Level IV Reporting

5 Day Turnaround Time

Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)

Site Name:	11/10 BOSTON POST			*				Canister Vacu	um/Pressure	Requested Analyses
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Canister#	Flow Controller#	Start Sampling In	formation	Stop Sampling Info		al (in Hg) Final (is	Lab Use Hg)	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/
Relinquished by:	SSMP-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_042419 SSMP-11710 BOSTONPOST-02_042419 (Signature/Affiliation)	Di	23691 23501 ate 4-75-19	Date 04/24/2019 04/24/2019 Time / 6000		Date  04/24/2019  04/24/2019  by: (Signature/Affiliation)  by: (Signature/Affiliation)		29.5 -6 29.5 -6	Date	Final (psig) Gas: N2 / He  x x Time Time
Shipper Name:	(Signature/Affiliation)	Di Custody Seals Intact?	ate	en en en samme en ser en	Use Only	by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date	Time
		Cooley Cods TRECT!		Yes	No		None			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples, D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

**Custody Seal Intact?** 

N None Temp NA
 Fed EX
 FATL 4/29/A 0925

### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-111627-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mode Del Your

Authorized for release by: 5/7/2019 5:14:13 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

·····LINKS ······

Review your project results through

Total Access

**Have a Question?** 



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

## **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	6
Sample Summary	7
Detection Summary	8
Client Sample Results	9
Surrogate Summary	11
QC Sample Results	12
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

\_\_\_\_\_\_

4

8

9

11

4

#### **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Qualifiers**

#### **GC/MS VOA**

Qualifier **Qualifier Description** 

F2 MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits

U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Χ Surrogate is outside control limits

#### **Glossary**

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
**	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis

Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis

%R Percent Recovery **CFL** Contains Free Liquid CNF Contains No Free Liquid

DER Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)

Dil Fac **Dilution Factor** 

DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)

Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample DL, RA, RE, IN

Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) DLC

Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) EDL LOD Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)

MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

MDL Method Detection Limit Minimum Level (Dioxin) ML

NC Not Calculated

ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

PQL **Practical Quantitation Limit** 

QC **Quality Control** 

**RER** Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

**TEF** Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) TEQ

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

#### Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-111627-1

Job ID: 240-111627-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

**Narrative** 

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 240-111627-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

#### **RECEIPT**

The samples were received on 4/26/2019 9:00 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.2° C.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)**

Samples SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 (240-111627-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-111627-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 05/06/2019.

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) failed the surrogate recovery criteria high for SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 (240-111627-1), TRIP BLANK (240-111627-2) and MB 240-379777/6. Refer to the QC report for details.

Surrogate recovery for the following samples were outside the upper control limit: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 (240-111627-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-111627-2). This sample did not contain any target analytes; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed.

The MSD in this batch is outside QC time by a few minutes but is reported: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 (240-111627-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-111627-2).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-111627-1 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-111627-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton (Continued)

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)**

Sample SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 (240-111627-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The samples were analyzed on 04/29/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **Method Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method **Method Description** Protocol Laboratory 8260B Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) SW846 TAL CAN 8260B SIM Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) SW846 TAL CAN 5030B Purge and Trap SW846 TAL CAN

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### **Laboratory References:**

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Job ID: 240-111627-1

#### **Sample Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

 Lab Sample ID
 Client Sample ID
 Matrix
 Collected
 Received

 240-111627-1
 SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419
 Water
 04/24/19 09:28
 04/26/19 09:00

 240-111627-2
 TRIP BLANK
 Water
 04/24/19 00:00
 04/26/19 09:00

Job ID: 240-111627-1

3

1

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

12

#### **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-1

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-2

No Detections.

3

4

5

7

8

10

4.0

13

12

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419

Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-1 Date Collected: 04/24/19 09:28 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 04/26/19 09:00

Method: 8260B SIM - Volati	le Organic Co	mpounds	(GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			04/29/19 14:17	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		63 - 125					04/29/19 14:17	1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	, RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	125	X	70 - 121			-		05/06/19 19:41	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		59 - 120					05/06/19 19:41	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 123					05/06/19 19:41	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	106		75 - 128					05/06/19 19:41	1

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-2 Date Collected: 04/24/19 00:00 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 04/26/19 09:00

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	122	X	70 - 121					05/06/19 20:03	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		59 - 120					05/06/19 20:03	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		70 - 123					05/06/19 20:03	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		75 - 128					05/06/19 20:03	1

#### **Surrogate Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-121)	(59-120)	(70-123)	(75-128)
240-111627-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01	125 X	94	100	106
240-111627-2	TRIP BLANK	122 X	94	98	104
LCS 240-379777/4	Lab Control Sample	119	110	110	106
MB 240-379777/6	Method Blank	128 X	100	105	110
WB 240 01011110	Wetrod Blank	120 X	100	100	110

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)	
240-111623-C-4 MS	Matrix Spike	103	
240-111623-C-4 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	107	
240-111627-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01 042419	105	
LCS 240-378674/7	Lab Control Sample	99	
MB 240-378674/5	Method Blank	104	

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

9

3

4

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

Job ID: 240-111627-1

10

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-379777/6

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 379777** 

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

MR MR Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac Analyte 1.0 U 1.0 05/06/19 13:04 1,1-Dichloroethene 0.19 ug/L cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.16 ug/L 05/06/19 13:04 0.15 ug/L Tetrachloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 05/06/19 13:04 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L 05/06/19 13:04 Trichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.10 ug/L 05/06/19 13:04 Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 1.0 0.20 ug/L 05/06/19 13:04

MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 128 X 70 - 121 05/06/19 13:04 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 100 59 - 120 05/06/19 13:04 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 105 70 - 123 05/06/19 13:04 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 110 75 - 128 05/06/19 13:04

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-379777/4

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 379777** 

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Analyte Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits 1,1-Dichloroethene 10.0 11.0 ug/L 110 65 - 139 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 10.0 10.6 ug/L 106 76 - 128Tetrachloroethene 10.0 10.1 ug/L 101 74 - 130trans-1.2-Dichloroethene 10.0 10.7 ug/L 107 78 - 133Trichloroethene 10.0 9.32 ug/L 93 76 - 125 Vinyl chloride 10.0 11.2 ug/L 112 58 - 143

LCS LCS Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 119 70 - 121 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 110 59 - 120 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 110 70 - 123 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 106 75 - 128

#### Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

MD MD

104

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-378674/5

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

**Analysis Batch: 378674** 

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

ı		IVID	IVID							
l	Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
	1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			04/29/19 12:09	1
l		МВ	MB							
١	Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac

63 - 125

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

5/7/2019

04/29/19 12:09

#### QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-378674/7 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 378674

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 105 59 - 131 10.5 ug/L

LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 99 63 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-111623-C-4 MS **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 378674

Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U F2 10.0 9.70 52 - 129 ug/L MS MS Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 103 63 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-111623-C-4 MSD

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 378674** 

Sample Sample Spike MSD MSD %Rec. **RPD** Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Limits Limit Unit D %Rec RPD 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U F2 10.0 11.4 F2 ug/L 114 52 - 129

MSD MSD Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 107 63 - 125

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

5/7/2019

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

10

#### **QC Association Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-111627-1

#### **GC/MS VOA**

#### Analysis Batch: 378674

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-111627-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-378674/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-378674/7	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-111623-C-4 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-111623-C-4 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

#### **Analysis Batch: 379777**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-111627-1	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-111627-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-379777/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-379777/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

4

\_

6

8

9

11

12

4

#### **Lab Chronicle**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419 Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-1

Date Collected: 04/24/19 09:28 **Matrix: Water** Date Received: 04/26/19 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	379777	05/06/19 19:41	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	378674	04/29/19 14:17	SAM	TAL CAN

Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-2 **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

Date Collected: 04/24/19 00:00 **Matrix: Water** Date Received: 04/26/19 09:00

Dilution Batch **Batch** Batch **Prepared** Method **Prep Type** Type Run **Factor** Number or Analyzed Analyst Lab 379777 05/06/19 20:03 LEE TAL CAN Total/NA Analysis 8260B

#### **Laboratory References:**

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

#### **Accreditation/Certification Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton**

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	<b>Identification Number</b>	<b>Expiration Date</b>
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-19 *
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	09-06-19
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19
Washington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19

<sup>\*</sup> Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

## MICHIGAN

#### Chain of Custody Record



190 TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis	Regulat	ory program:		T	DW		□ NP	DES		T R	CRA	П	Oth	er								Ter	tAmer	ica Labor	ratories,
	Client Project !	Manager: Kris	: Kris Hinskey Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis				Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico							C No:											
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	Telephone: 248	-994-2240	Telephone: 794-320-0005 Telep			Telephone: 330-497-9396																			
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI. 48377	Email: kristoffe	er hinskev@are	adis c	om			Telephone: 794-520-0005 Z-X-7-3-7-2-2-0 Analysis Turnaround Time						Analyses						of COCs For lab use only						
Phone: 248-994-2240		crambacy@arc		-					Continue to Value of											T	Walk-in client				
Project Name: Ford LTP							TAT	lifferent l	П	3 week		湯				1		1				168			
Project Number: MI001454.0003	Method of Ship	ment/Carrier:				-	5 D	ay		2 week					1	1			-		1	Lat	samplin	ng.	
O # MI001454.0003	Shipping/Track		_	_						2 days 1 day		(N /	ab=G		m	809		88	B SIN			Tot	/SDG N	0.	
O # N1001454,0003	Snipping/Traci	ong 140:										Sample (Y / N)	/Gr	80	3260	E 82		9 8 2 6	8260			1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			1	M	latrix		C	ontaine	rs &	Preserv	atives	Sam	ife	826	OCE 8	2-DC	30B	loride	ane			-	12	to const	Masses
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Air	Aqueous	Solid	Other:	H2SO4	HCI	NaOH	ZnAci	Unpres Other:	Piltered	Composite=C/Grab=G	1.1-DCE 8260B	cls-1,2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM					ole Specifi cial Instru	
JUMP-1710 Boston Post-01_042419	4-24-19	0928		X				X		П	T	N	6	X	X	X	XY	, >	X				o V	0A5	
TioRich	4-24-19	_		V	T			X		П		N	NA	X	X		X S	( x	V				1	IDA	
I US DIANK	1-5111	-	$\vdash$	4	+		H	1	-	+	+		-	/-	-	1	-	+	1		+	-		1017	
**************************************	-	-	$\forall$	+	+		+	+		$\forall$	+	+	-	1	****		MINI	IIIII	WWW	11		1			
											1	IIIII								1					
													M					MM	MM	M					
	1		H	$\forall$	+			$\top$		$\forall$	+	IIII				IIIIII	Custo	iA Ha anna	11811 1001			$\top$			-
	-			1	-		$\vdash$	1	L	Н	+	240	-11	1627	Chai	101	Custo			-	-	-			
												1	1	1 1	1	1		1							
	-		П	1			$\Box$						T												
	-		H	+	+		+	+	-	H	+	+	-		-	-	+	+	+	-	-	1			
Possible Hazard Identification  Non-Hazard   lammable   sin Irritant	Poise	on B	Jnk	nown				ple Di			ee may b	Dispe					red long			th) Months					
pecial Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:			1,7100					1						,	_	1				DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE					
iubmit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.c evel IV Reporting.	com. Cadena #E	203631																							
Relinquished by:	Company:	coolis		Date/I	Time:	49	1900	5	Rec	eived t	y No	vi	6	1	Str	Yas	6   0	mpany	/1	coolis		D	ate/Time		1 /90
elinquished by: Church Ullu	Company:	cadis		Date/I	4-	25-		330		eived l	w.	2				0	C	mpany		ERIC	4			5-19	13'.3
elinquished by:	Company:	meterca		Date/I	Time:	19	145	٥	Rec	eived i	n Labor	story)	1	2			C	трап	AC			D	ate/Tim	619	90
COOCH, TealAmenton Laboratories, Inc., All pints reserved. TealAmento & Design of the trademarks of Fedilitarical Laboratories, Inc.	***************************************		CONT.								1	/							1						,

Site Name	TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Log	in#: 11162
Site Name Ooder Received on	Canton Facility	Cooler unpacked by:
Opened on		
Tests that are not clearly generated by a personal part of the test(s) indicated?    Ware the custody papers accined with the CO?   Ware the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated?   Were tamper/custody seals in inte at an uncompromised?   Were tamper/custody seals in othe bottle(s) or bottle kins (LLHg/MeHg)?   Were tamper/custody seals in othe bottle(s) or bottle kins (LLHg/MeHg)?   Were tamper/custody seals in othe bottle(s) or bottle kins (LLHg/MeHg)?   Were tamper/custody seals in othe bottle(s) or bottle kins (LLHg/MeHg)?   Were tamper/custody seals in othe and uncompromised?   Were the custody papers accimpany the sample(s)?   Were the custody papers accimpany the sample(s)?   Ware developed to the cooler(s) indicated?   Did all bottle labels be reconciled with the CO?   Ware corner bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?   Were done to the colled the samples clearly identified on the CO?   Were corner bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?   Were done with share samples of the test(s) indicated?   Were done with share samples of the test(s) indicated?   Were done with share samples of the test(s) indicated?   Were done with share samples of the test(s) indicated analyses?   If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.   Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   Were all problems of the min any VOA vials?   Were all problems of the min any VOA vials?   Were all problems of the min any VOA vials?   Were all problems of the min any VOA vials?   Were all problems of the min any VOA vials?   Were all problems of the min and vials of the problems of the pro	Opened on 4-26-19 Opened on 9-16-79	11/191
Storage Location   Storage Loc	CodEv. 181 Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier	Other //
Feat Mare   Foam Box   Foam   Packing material used:   Subble Wrap   Foam   Chalatic Bap   None   Packing material used:   Subble Wrap   Foam   Chalatic Bap   None   COCLANT:   Wet Les   Blue lee   Dry Ice   Water   None   Sea Multiple Cooler Temp   C Corrected Cooler Temp   C CO Corrected Cooler Temp   C Corrected Cooler Temp   C Corrected Cooler Temp   C CO Corrected Cooler Temp   C Corrected Cooler Temp   C Corrected Cooler Temp   C CO Corrected Cooler Temp   C C Corrected Cooler Temp   C C CO Corrected Cooler Temp   C C Corrected Cooler Temp   C C Corrected Cooler Temp   C C CO Cooler Temp   C C Corrected Cooler Temp   C C Corrected Cooler Temp   C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Location	
Packing material used: Bluble Wrap Foam Chairs Edge Notice COOLANT: Wet Edge Blue lee Dry Ice Water See Multiple Cooler Form  COOLANT: Wet Ige Blue Lee Blue Lee Dry Ice Water See Multiple Cooler Form  R GLN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. °C Corrected Cooler Temp. °C C	Foat America Cooler # 7// Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other	
RG GUM# IR-8 (CF -0.2*C) Observed Cooler Temp.	Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None	
2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity  -Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity  -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?  3. Shippers' packing slip attacked to the cooler(s)?  4. Did custody papers acdompany the sample(s)?  5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place?  6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC?  7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?  8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC?  9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?  10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?  11. Are these work share samples?  12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  13. Were VOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	IR GUN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. // 9°C Corrected Cooler Temp. CLIN#36 (CF +0.7°C) Observed Cooler Temp. °C Corrected Cooler Temp.	Temp. <u>/ ∕ C</u> emp°C
Shippers packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?	Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity  -Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated?  -Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)?  -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?	RO NA  RES NO NA  RES NO NA
Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)	Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?	DI M
Second   S	Did custody namers accompany the sample(s)?	1 csts that are not
17. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?   18. SAMPLE CONDITION   Sample(s)   were received in the 20ster   were received in the laboratory.     19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION   Sufficient plants for the laboratory.     10. Sample(s)   were commended in the container.   were further preserved in the laboratory.     10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?   Yes No No No No PH Strip Lot# HC984738     11. Are these work share samples?   Yes No No No PH Strip Lot# HC984738     12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   Yes No No No PH Strip Lot# HC984738     13. Were VOAs on the COC?   Yes No No No PH Strip Lot# HC984738     14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?   Larger than this.   Yes No No No PH Strip Lot# HC984738     15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # So No		
Somple(s)	6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified and condition (Upbroken)?	es No
Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?  10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?  11. Are these work share samples?  12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  13. Were VOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # See No Yes No NA  16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?  17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES  18. SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s)	/ Did all pottles arrive in good condition (otherwise)	No VOAs
10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?  11. Are these work share samples?  12. Were supported the correct pH upon receipt?  13. Were vOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles > 6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	War samest bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?	es No TOC
11. Are these work share samples?  If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.  12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  13. Were VOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Yes No NA  16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?  17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES  18. SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Sample(s)  were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s)  Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):  Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) instantal analyses?	es) No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.  12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  13. Were VOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Ves No V	11 Are these work share samples?	es (No)
12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  13. Were VOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Ves No Yes N	12 16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
13. Were VOAs on the COC?  14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	12 War all processed comple(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	
14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?  15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Ves No Yes No	13 Were VOAs on the COC?	
15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	Larger than this.	
Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Voice Mail Other  Concerning via Verbal Voice Mail Other  Samples processed by:  Samples processed by:  Were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Were received in a broken container.  Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	15 Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 500000	
Concerning  17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES  18. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s)	16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?	es (10)
Samples processed by:	Contacted PM Date by via Verbal	Voice Mail Other
17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES  18. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s)		
18. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s)	Concerning	
18. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s) were received after the recommended holding time had expired. Sample(s) were received in a broken container. Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES	Samples processed by:
Sample(s) were received after the recommended holding time had expired.   Sample(s) were received in a broken container.   Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)    Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.   Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	17. CHAIN OF COSTODI & SIZIN 22 23	DX 11113.
Sample(s) were received after the recommended holding time had expired.   Sample(s) were received in a broken container.   Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)    Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.   Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	the state of the s	
Sample(s)were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Sample(s)were received in a broken container.  Sample(s)were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s)were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):		
Sample(s)were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Sample(s)were received in a broken container.  Sample(s)were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s)were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	·	
Sample(s)were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Sample(s)were received in a broken container.  Sample(s)were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s)were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):		
Sample(s)were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Sample(s)were received in a broken container.  Sample(s)were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s)were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):		
Sample(s) were received after the recommended holding time had expired.  Sample(s) were received in a broken container.  Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):		
Sample(s) were received in a broken container.  Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)  19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	1 1 0 IIand ad ho	Iding time had expired.
Sample(s)   were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)    19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	The state of the s	ed in a broken container.
19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	Sample(s) Were received	in diameter (Notify PM)
Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.  Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 min	a in diameter. (Notify 1.12)
Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	,
Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	were	further preserved in the laboratory.
	Sample(s)  Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	*
VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen:		*
	VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen:	

#### DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



May 08, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory submittal: 111627-1 Sample date: 2019-04-24

Report received by CADENA: 2019-05-07

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-05-08

Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices: Water Test Categories: GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

GCMS VOC samples -001, trip blank, and method blank SURROGATE recoveries were outliers biased high for 1 surrogate. Associated client sample results were non-detect so qualification was not required based on these high bias QC outliers.

GCMS VOC and 1,4-DIOXANE QC batch clock time exceedance and MS/MSD RPD outliers were not determined using a client sample so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <a href="http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm">http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</a>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

#### Jim Tomalia

#### Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description					
<	Less than the reported concentration.					
>	Greater than the reported concentration.					
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.					
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.					
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.					
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.					
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.					
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED					
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.					
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.					
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED					
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.					
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)					
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.					
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.					
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.					
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.					

#### **SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY**

**CADENA Project ID:** E203631

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica-North Canton

**Laboratory Submittal:** 111627-1

		<b>Collection Date</b>	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401116271	SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419	4/24/2019	9:28:00	х	х	
2401116272	TRIP BLANK	4/24/2019	12:00:00	Х		

### **Analytical Results Summary**

**Reportable Results Only** 

**CADENA Project ID:** E203631

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica - North Canton

**Laboratory Submittal:** 111627-1

		Sample Name:	SUMP-117	710BOSTC	NPOST-0	1-042419	TRIP BLA	ANK		
		Lab Sample ID:	24011162	71			2401116	5272		
		Sample Date:	4/24/2019	9			4/24/20	19		
				Report		Valid		Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC										
OSW-8260B										
1,	1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
cis	s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Te	etrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
tr	ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Tr	ichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Vi	nyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
OSW-8260BB	<u>Sim</u>									
1,	4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l					



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-111627-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-05-08

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #33053R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-111627-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-111627-1	SUMP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01-042419	240-111627-1	Water	4/24/2019		Х	Х	
	TRIP BLANK	240-111627-1	Water	4/24/2019		Х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Reported			mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Χ	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Χ	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Χ	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All detected compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation	<u>'</u>				
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: July 3, 2019

a Kajs

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 3, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## MICHIGAN

#### Chain of Custody Record



190 TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis	Regulat	ory program:		T	DW		□ NP	DES		T R	CRA	П	Oth	er								Ter	tAmer	ica Labor	ratories,
	Client Project !	Manager: Kris	Hinsk	ey			Site Co	ntact:	Ange	da Det	randis			1	Lab Co	ntact	Mike I	elMon	ico				C No:		
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	Telephone: 248	-994-2240	_				Telepho	ne: 75	4-32	0-000	EIL	_	_	-	Teleph	ne: 3	30-497-	396	-		-				
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI. 48377	Email: kristoffe	er hinskev@are	adis c	om			24X	alysis	S	3-2	GLO	)	100			-		Analy	ses			For	lab use		COCs
Phone: 248-994-2240		craminacy@arc		-					(5)(0)	(A TOP I	L VALLE								200	1857		1000			
Project Name: Ford LTP							TAT	lifferent l	П	3 week		湯				1						168	dk-in cli		
Project Number: MI001454.0003	Method of Ship	ment/Carrier:				-	5 D	ay		2 week					1	1			-		1	Lat	samplin	ng.	
O # MI001454.0003	Shipping/Track		_	_						2 days 1 day		(N /	ab=G		m	809		88	B SIN			Tot	/SDG N	0.	
O # N1001454,0003	Snipping/Traci	ong 140:										Sample (Y / N)	/Gr	88	3260	E 82		9 8 2 6	8260			1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			1	M	latrix		C	ontaine	rs &	Preserv	atives	Sam	ife	826	OCE 8	2-DC	30B	loride	ane				12	to const	Masses
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Air	Aqueous	Solid	Other:	H2SO4	HCI	NaOH	ZnAci	Unpres Other:	Piltered	Composite=C/Grab=G	1.1-DCE 8260B	cls-1,2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM					ole Specifi cial Instru	
JUMP-1710 Boston Post-01_042419	4-24-19	0928		X				X		П	T	N	6	X	X	X	XY	, >	X				o V	0A5	
TioRich	4-74-19	_		V	T			X		П		N	NA	X	X		X S	( x	V				1	IDA	
I US DIANK	1-5111	-	$\vdash$	4	+		H	1	-	+	+		-	/-	-	1	-	+	1		+	-		1017	
	-	-	$\forall$	+	+		+	+		$\forall$	+	+	-	1	****		MINI	IIIII	WWW	11		1			
											1	IIIII								1					
													M					MM	MM	M					
	<del>                                     </del>		H	$\forall$	+			$\top$		$\forall$	+	IIII				IIIIII	Custo	iA Ha anna	11811 1001			$\top$			-
	-			1	-		$\vdash$	1	L	Н	+	240	-11	1627	Chai	101	Custo			-	-	-			
												1	1	1 1	1	1		1							
	-		П	1			$\Box$						T												
	-		H	+	+		+	+	-	H	+	+	-		-	-	+	+	+	1	-	1			
Possible Hazard Identification  Non-Hazard   lammable   sin Irritant	Poise	on B	Jnk	nown				ple Di			ee may b	Dispe					red long			th) Months					
pecial Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:			1,7100					1						,	_	1				DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE					
iubmit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.c evel IV Reporting.	com. Cadena #E	203631																							
Relinquished by:	Company:	coolis		Date/I	Time:	419	1900	5	Rec	eived t	y No	vi	6	1	Str	YNG	6   0	mpany	/1	coolis		D	ate/Time		1 /90
elinquished by: Church Ullu	Company:	cadis		Date/I	4-	25-		330		eived l	w.	2				0	C	mpany		ERIC	4			5-19	13'.3
elinquished by:	Company:	meterca		Date/I	Time:	19	145	٥	Rec	eived i	n Labor	story)	1	2			C	трап	AC			D	ate/Tim	619	90
COOCH, TealAmenton Laboratories, Inc., All pints reserved. TealAmento & Design in the trademarks of Fedification Laboratories, Inc.	***************************************		CONT.								1	/							1						,

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Client Sample ID: SUMP-11710BOSTONPOST-01-042419

Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-1 Date Collected: 04/24/19 09:28 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 04/26/19 09:00

Method: 8260B SIM - Volati	le Organic Co	mpounds	(GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			04/29/19 14:17	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		63 - 125					04/29/19 14:17	1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	, RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/06/19 19:41	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	125	X	70 - 121			-		05/06/19 19:41	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		59 - 120					05/06/19 19:41	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 123					05/06/19 19:41	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	106		75 - 128					05/06/19 19:41	1

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-111627-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

Lab Sample ID: 240-111627-2 Date Collected: 04/24/19 00:00 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 04/26/19 09:00

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/06/19 20:03	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	122	X	70 - 121					05/06/19 20:03	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		59 - 120					05/06/19 20:03	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		70 - 123					05/06/19 20:03	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		75 - 128					05/06/19 20:03	1



6/24/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Scott

Project #: MI001454.0003.00002

Workorder #: 1906309

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/17/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



#### WORK ORDER #: 1906309

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

630 Plaza Drive

Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003.00002 Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 06/17/2019 CONTACT: Off-Site Sampling Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 06/24/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
02B	IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
03B	IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
04A	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
04B	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05C	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05D	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06C	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06D	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07C	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07CC	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07D	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07DD	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: DATE: 06/24/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1906309

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on June 17, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Dilution was performed on samples IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119, IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119 and DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119 due to the presence of high level non-target species.

The results for samples IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119, IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119 and DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119 in this report were acquired from two separate data files originating from the same analytical run. The two data files have the same base file name and are differentiated with a "sim" extension on the SIM data file.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.



- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 09:59 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:46 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.68

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061815

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.16	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.49	0.54	0.60	0.95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.36	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.71	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.37	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.39	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	116
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	90



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 01:45 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:38 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061908

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.84	3.1	3.5	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	2.8	3.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.9	3.1	3.5	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.7	5.3	5.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.0	3.1	3.5	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	118
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-02B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 01:45 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:38 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061908sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.092	0.28	0.94	0.094 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.13	0.22	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 11:17 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:40 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.05

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061817

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.77	2.9	3.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.3	2.6	2.9	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.7	2.9	3.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.4	4.9	5.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.8	2.9	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	112
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	90



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-03B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 11:17 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:40 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.05

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061817sim

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.084	0.26	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.052	0.12	0.20	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 10:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061816

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.84	3.1	3.5	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	2.8	3.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.9	3.1	3.5	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.7	5.3	5.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.0	3.1	3.5	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	115
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	87



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 10:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061816sim

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.092	0.28	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.13	0.22	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1906309-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 11:19 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061805a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.095	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.29	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.21	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.42	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.22	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.26	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.082	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	117
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1906309-05B

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 6/19/19 12:35 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061907c

		MDL LOD		Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.095	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.29	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.21	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.42	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.22	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.26	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.082	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	112
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1906309-05C

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 11:19 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061805simc

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.010	0.032	0.11	0.013 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.0065	0.015	0.026	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	112
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1906309-05D

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 6/19/19 12:35 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061907sima

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.010	0.032	0.11	0.017 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.0065	0.015	0.026	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1906309-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 08:41 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061802

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
sis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	88
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	72

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	116
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1906309-06B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 09:20 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061903

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	88
richloroethene	79-01-6	91
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	71

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1906309-06C **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 08:41 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061802sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	90
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	73

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1906309-06D **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 09:20 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061903sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	89
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	73

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 09:35 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
I,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	93
sis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	76
richloroethene	79-01-6	97
inyl Chloride	75-01-4	73

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 10:14 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	85
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	72
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	70

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	114
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 10:10 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061904

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	73
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	70

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	116
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 10:50 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061905

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	83
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	90
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	74
richloroethene	79-01-6	94
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	71

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07C **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 09:35 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061803sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	76

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07CC **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 10:14 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061804sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	74

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07D **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 10:10 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061904sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	76

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1906309-07DD **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 10:50 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061905sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	75

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## CADENA INC.

#### DATA VERIFICATION REPORT

June 24, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: Eurofins-California Laboratory submittal: 1906309 Sample date: 2019-06-12

Report received by CADENA: 2019-06-24

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-06-24

Number of Samples: 4 Sample Matrices: Air

Test Categories: TO-15 GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

MBK - METHOD BLANKS had detections BELOW the Reporting Limit (RL) for these analytes. The listed client sample results had concentrations LESS than 5X the method blank levels so client sample results reported below the RL are considered non-detect at the RL and qualified with UB flags and results greater than the RL are non-detect at the sample concentration reported and qualified with B flags: TO-15 - trichloroethylene - UB flags - samples -002.

Sample Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <a href="http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm">http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</a>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia, Project Scientist

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description				
<	Less than the reported concentration.				
>	Greater than the reported concentration.				
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.				
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.				
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.				
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.				
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.				
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED				
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.				
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.				
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED				
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.				
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)				
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.				
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.				
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.				
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.				



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1906309

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-06-24

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33371R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0004.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1906309 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

		Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID				Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_061119	1906309-01A	Air	6/12/2019		Х		
	IAG- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_061119	1906309-02A	Air	6/12/2019		X	Х	
1906309	IAF- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_061119	1906309-03A	Air	6/12/2019		Х	Х	
	DUP- 11710BOSTONPOST- 01_061119	1906309-04A	Air	6/12/2019	IAF- 11710BOSTO NPOST- 01_061119	х	х	

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		rmance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan) and TO-15-SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15 and USEPA TO-15-SIM	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in µg/m³) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119/ DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	All compounds	U	U	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan) and TO-15 SIM		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: July 2, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 3, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 09:59 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:46 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.68

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061815

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.16	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.49	0.54	0.60	0.95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.36	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.71	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.37	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.39	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	116
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	90



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 01:45 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:38 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061908

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.84	3.1	3.5	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	2.8	3.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.9	3.1	3.5	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.7	5.3	5.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.0	3.1	3.5	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	118
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



Client ID: IAG-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-02B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/19/19 01:45 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:38 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061908sim

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.092	0.28	0.94	-0.094 J UB
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.13	0.22	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 11:17 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:40 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.05

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061817

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3) (u	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.77	2.9	3.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.3	2.6	2.9	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.7	2.9	3.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.4	4.9	5.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.8	2.9	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	112
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	90



Client ID: IAF-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-03B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 11:17 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 08:40 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.05

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061817sim

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.084	0.26	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.052	0.12	0.20	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 10:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061816

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount	
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.84	3.1	3.5	Not Detected	
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.6	2.8	3.2	Not Detected	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.9	3.1	3.5	Not Detected	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.7	5.3	5.9	Not Detected	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.0	3.1	3.5	Not Detected	

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	115
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	87



Client ID: DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01\_061119

**Lab ID:** 1906309-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 6/18/19 10:38 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 6/12/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 8.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20061816sim

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.092	0.28	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.13	0.22	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Eustody 6/17/19
For Laboratory Use Only
Workerorder#: (906309

PID:

		PID:		Workerorder#: ( 40 6 504			Page1_ of _1_							
180 Blue Ravine R	d. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630			•••										
Phone (800) 985-9	955; Fax (916) 351-8279													
Client:	Arcadis	PID:		Special Instructions/Notes:									······	
Project Name:	Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling			Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-			Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)  5 Day Turnaround Time							
Project Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.#	MI001454.0003.00002	Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting										
Sampler:	Christina Weaver													
Site Name:	11710 BOSTON POST								Canister	Requested Analyses				
				Start Samplin	Start Sampling Information   Stop Sampling Information					Lab Use Only		TO-15 (See	raiyses	
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Canister #	Flow Controller #	Date	Time	Date	Time	intial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N2 / He	Special Instructions/Notes		
0/2	AA-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_061119	6L0998	21365	06/11/2019	09:49	06/12/2019	08:46	-29	-6.5			X		
024	IAG-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_061119	6L1085	21449	06/11/2019	09:47	06/12/2019	08:38	-29	-6.5			X		
03/1	IAF-11710 BOSTONPOST-01_061119	6L2459	30831	06/11/2019	09:44	06/12/2019	08:40	-29	-4.5			x		
DUA	DUP-11710BOSTONPOST-01_061119	6L2374	22138	06/11/2019	NA	06/12/2019	NA NA	-29	-7			x		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date 6-13-19	Time   200	Received by: (Sig	gnature/Affiliatio	1	N 6A	ก			Time OGO		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)						Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)					Date 4 H	Time		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)						Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date	Time			
				All the second second	Lab Use C	SOTO SECULIO SE								
Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact?				Yes 3	our-months reported to \$150 kg half	to the grant of the contract o	lone	\$12550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0 550 0	250000000000000000000000000000000000000					
Sample Transpo	rtation Notice: Relinquishing signature to indicates agreement to hold harmless	on this docume	ent indicates that sample:	are shipped in	compliance with	all applicable to	cal State Feder	al, and interr	national laws,	regulations, and	ordinances of any	kind. Relinquishing	j signature	
	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.,		,	Lones, or any K	ina, reased to the	e constituit,	nanding, Ur	antipping of sample	s. D.O. I HOTAINE	(800) 467-4922		