

12/3/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1911625

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/25/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



#### **WORK ORDER #:** 1911625

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: **BILL TO:** Mr. Jim Tomalia Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc. Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 500 Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # 30016344.0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 11/25/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott DATE COMPLETED: 12/03/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-11845BOSTONPOST-01_112019	Modified TO-15	5.3 "Hg	4.9 psi
02A	IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-01_112019	Modified TO-15	8.2 "Hg	5.1 psi
03A	IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-02_112019	Modified TO-15	6.9 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAG-11845BOSTONPOST-03_112019	Modified TO-15	6.3 "Hg	4.6 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide Thayes		
CERTIFIED BY:	0	0 0	DATE: 12/03/19	

**Technical Director** 

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E87680, LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP - CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1911625

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on November 25, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	=30% RSD with 2<br compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-11845BOSTONPOST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 03:15 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:38 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.62

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120210

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.61	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.55	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.61	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.33	0.61	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.83	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.39	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	117
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	88



Client ID: IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 03:50 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:03 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.85

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120211

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.27	0.70	0.73	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.63	0.67	0.13 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.26	0.70	0.73	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.67	1.2	1.2	2.9
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.38	0.70	0.73	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.94	0.99	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.45	0.47	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	118
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	88



Client ID: IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-02\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 04:25 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/20/19 12:03 PM Dilution Factor: 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120212

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.66	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.60	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.25	0.66	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	1.1	1.2	2.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.36	0.66	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.89	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.42	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: IAG-11845BOSTONPOST-03\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 04:59 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:05 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.66

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120213

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.62	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.099	0.57	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.62	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	1.1	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.62	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.85	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.40	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	121
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	87
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	88



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1911625-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 10:51 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120206a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.38	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.060	0.34	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.38	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.36	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.20	0.38	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.12	0.51	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.24	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	90



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1911625-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 07:41 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	97
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	86
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	97
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

## eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1911625-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 08:24 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	90
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	86
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	88
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	87
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	87

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1911625-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 09:20 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	82
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	99
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	86
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	93

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	113
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



December 04, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016344.0002B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1911625 Sample date: 2019-11-20

Report received by CADENA: 2019-12-03 Initial DataVerification completed: 2019-12-04 4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description				
<	Less than the reported concentration.				
>	Greater than the reported concentration.				
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.				
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.				
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.				
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.				
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.				
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED				
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.				
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.				
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED				
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.				
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)				
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.				
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.				
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.				
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.				



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1911625

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-12-04

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #35248R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1911625 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample	Analysis			
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1911625	AA- 11845BOSTONPOST- 01_112019	1911625-01A	Air	11/20/2019		X		
	IAF- 11845BOSTONPOST- 01_112019	1911625-02A	Air	11/20/2019		X		
	IAF- 11845BOSTONPOST- 02_112019	1911625-03A	Air	11/20/2019		X		
	IAG- 11845BOSTONPOST- 03_112019	1911625-04A	Air	11/20/2019		X		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Re	ported	Performance Acceptable		Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation	'	'	'		
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 20, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: January 13, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-11845BOSTONPOST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 03:15 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:38 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.62

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120210

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.61	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.55	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.61	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.33	0.61	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.83	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.39	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	117
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	88



Client ID: IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 03:50 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:03 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.85

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120211

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.27	0.70	0.73	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.63	0.67	0.13 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.26	0.70	0.73	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.67	1.2	1.2	2.9
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.38	0.70	0.73	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.94	0.99	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.45	0.47	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	118
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	88



Client ID: IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-02\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 04:25 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/20/19 12:03 PM Dilution Factor: 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120212

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.66	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.60	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.25	0.66	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	1.1	1.2	2.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.36	0.66	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.89	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.42	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: IAG-11845BOSTONPOST-03\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911625-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 04:59 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:05 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.66

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21120213

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.62	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.099	0.57	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.62	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	1.1	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.62	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.85	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.40	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	121
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	87
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	88

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

Client: Project Na Project Ma Sampler: Site Name Lab ID OLA	anager: Kris Hinskey Seth Turner, Shantel Johnsor	PID: N. P.O.# 3001634	DCE, tra		4-Dioxane,	rt ONLY: 1,1-DC PCE, TCE and V	/C. Submit	T	urnaroui	5 Day	(Rush su Turnarou	rcharges ind Time	may ap	ply)
Project Ma Sampler: Site Name Lab ID	ame: Ford LTP anager: Kris Hinskey Seth Turner, Shantel Johnsor 11845 BOSTON POST  Sample Identification	P.O.# 3001634	DCE, tra	ans-1,2-DCE, 1, hrough Cadena	4-Dioxane,	PCE, TCE and V	/C. Submit			5 Day	Turnarou		may ap	ipiy)
Sampler: Site Name Lab ID	Seth Turner, Shantel Johnson 11845 BOSTON POST  Sample Identification		4.0002B results t	hrough Cadena				Cani				no ime		
Site Name	Seth Turner, Shantel Johnson 11845 BOSTON POST  Sample Identification		results t		at jim.toma	lia@cadena.com			etar Maa	urum/Dra	001140	0		nalyses
Lab ID	Sample Identification		#E2036	31. Level IV Re		~	i. Cadena	90111	oter vac		se Only		1	naiyses
ID O(A		Can #		# 1. EUTOLIT 110	nortina			_	_	Lao		ofes	)Ze	
The second secon	AA-11845BOSTONPOST-01 112019		Flow Controller	Start Sa	mpling	Stop Sar Inform		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze	
The second secon	AA-11845BOSTONPOST-01 112019			Date	Time	Date	Time	i ii	Fina	Rec	Fina	lnstr	8	
02A		6L2156	20844	11/19/2019	13:16	11/20/2019	12:38	-29.8	-6.5	34Z3		×		
	IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-01_112019	6L1660	21351	11/19/2019	13:06	11/20/2019	12:03	-29.8	-7.5	Marian and C		Х		
03A	IAF-11845BOSTONPOST-02_112019	6L0090	22065	11/19/2019	13:09	11/20/2019	12:03	-29.9	-6.5			Х		
OYA	IAG-11845BOSTONPOST-03_112019	6L2367	23855	11/19/2019	13:12	11/20/2019	12:05	-29.8	-7			Х		
								-		15.56	Printer.			
								~		111111111111111111111111111111111111111				
					24.44			M740		talak	44468			
											J. Santa			
										7277	jaden.			
										945,55	galemia -			
	**	M#					**							
										, even existi	2004			
	<u></u>													
alegai Delleleb-		<u> </u>									sammagg:			
L X	d by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date 11/21/19	Time 130	00	Received by: (	Signature/Aff		<u> </u>	CC (	Daje /	slog	Time 09	45
	d by: (Signatúre/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by: (5	Signature/Aff	iliation)			Date		Time	
Relinquished	d by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by: (5	Signature/Aff	iliation)			Date		Time	
	1 -			Lab Use (	Only									
Shipper Nan	ne: CCd)	Custody Seals Intac	t? Ye	s No	None	e	<u> </u>							
Sample Tra of any kind.	ansportation Notice: Relinquishing signate Relinquishing signature also indicates agree	ture on this document ement to hold harmle	indicates that samp ss, defend, and inde	les are shipped emnify Eurofins	in complian	ce with all applic	able local, S	tate, Fede	eral, and	nternatio	nal laws, r	egulations	s, and o	rdinances



12/4/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1911628

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/25/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1911628**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30016344.0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 11/25/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 12/04/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-01_112019	TO-15	5.1 "Hg	15.2 psi
02A	DUP-11845BOSTON POST-01_112019	TO-15	3.7 "Hg	15.9 psi
03A	SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-02_112019	TO-15	3.1 "Hg	16.3 psi
04A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	The	ide To	ayes		
CERTIFIED BY:			0	DATE:	12/04/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1911628

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on November 25, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911628-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 07:51 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:27 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.45

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120218

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.4	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.92	5.5	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.76	2.4	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.2	8.3	2.6 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.4	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.84	3.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.53	1.6	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: DUP-11845BOSTON POST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911628-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 08:18 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/20/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 2.37

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120219

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.3	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.89	5.3	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.74	2.3	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.0	8.0	3.9 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.3	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.82	3.2	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.5	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-02\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911628-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 08:44 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:30 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.35

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120220

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.3	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.88	5.3	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.73	2.3	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.0	8.0	7.8 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.3	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.81	3.2	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.50	1.5	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1911628-04A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 12/2/19 11:48 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120206a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS# (	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.46	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	2.2	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.31	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.50	1.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.42	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.34	1.3	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.21	0.64	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1911628-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 08:49 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	98
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	102
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	100
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	93

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

## **eurofins**Air Toxics

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1911628-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 09:13 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	84
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	85
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	75
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	86
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	76

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## eurofins Air Toxics

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1911628-06AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 09:38 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	90
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	82
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	87
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	80

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



December 04, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016344.0002B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1911628 Sample date: 2019-11-20

Report received by CADENA: 2019-12-04 Initial DataVerification completed: 2019-12-04 3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1911628

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-12-04

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #35249R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1911628 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

		Sample ID  Lab ID  Matrix  Sample Collection Date				Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID			Sample ID Lab ID Matrix Date		Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)
	SSMP- 11845BOSTONPOST- 01_112019	1911628-01A	Air	11/20/2019		Х		
1911628	DUP-11845BOSTON POST-01_112019	1911628-02A	Air	11/20/2019	SSMP- 11845BOST ONPOST- 01_112019	Х		
	SSMP- 11845BOSTONPOST- 02_112019	1911628-03A	Air	11/20/2019		x		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Performance Reported Acceptable			Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in µg/m³) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-01_112019/ DUP-11845BOSTON POST-01_112019	Tetrachloroethene	2.6 J	3.9 J	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation	'		'		
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 20, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: January 13, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911628-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 07:51 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:27 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.45

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120218

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.4	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.92	5.5	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.76	2.4	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.2	8.3	2.6 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.4	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.84	3.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.53	1.6	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: DUP-11845BOSTON POST-01\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911628-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 08:18 PM

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120219

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.3	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.89	5.3	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.74	2.3	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.0	8.0	3.9 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.3	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.82	3.2	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.5	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: SSMP-11845BOSTONPOST-02\_112019

**Lab ID:** 1911628-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/19 08:44 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/20/19 12:30 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.35

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3120220

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.3	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.88	5.3	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.73	2.3	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.0	8.0	7.8 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.3	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.81	3.2	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.50	1.5	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

PID:

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

For Laboratory Use Only
Workorder # 911628

Click links below to view:

<u>Canister Sampling Guide</u>

Helium Shroud Video

Client:		5955; Fax (916) 351-8279	DID.	114	Special	netructions/N	otoo: Dono	4 OM V. 4 4 DO	NE -1- 40	T =		Shroud V			11444	
Client: Ford Project Name: Ford LTP		PID:	PID: NA Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-			Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)										
Project Manager: Kris Hinskey			044.00000	DCE, tra	E, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit				5 Day Turnaround Time							
		P.O.# 30016	344.UUU2B	results th	rough Cadena	at iim toma	lia@cadena.com	n Cadona	Canister Vacuum/Pre			essure Request		ested /	Analyse:	
Sampler: Seth Turner, Patrick Labadie Site Name: 11845 BOSTON POST		<del></del>					na@cadena.com	n. Caucha			Lab U	b Use Only _ 흥 영		ze		
Oile IV	ame.	11845 BOSTON POST			#E20363	1. Level IV Rep	onting			6	<u>6</u>		0	Spe	ak	
Lab ID Sar		ample Identification	Can#	Flow C	ontroller #	Start Sampling ller Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	ţ	(psig) N <sub>2</sub> / He	5 (See Specia uctions/Notes)	Not Analyze	
					_	Date	Time	Date	Time	] 🚆	ina	Receipt	Final Gas:	TO-1 Instru	ದಿ	
01/		BOSTONPOST-01_112019	1L2494	23405		11/20/2019	12:13	11/20/2019	12:27	-29.8	-5.5			Х	1	
D2A		OSTON POST-01_112019	1L2480	1L2480 24670		11/20/2019		11/20/2019		-29.8	4	7000000		T X	+	
03A	SSMP-11845	BOSTONPOST-02_112019	1L1583	24102		11/20/2019	12:16	11/20/2019	12:30	-29.6	-5		W 100	X		
			**									<b>†</b>		<del>  ^</del>	1	
							~~						2000		1	
													33774		1-1	
							<u></u>				N++	property of the party	SS Serve		1	$\vdash$
												1000			+	
									***			gazze	T 45.55		+	
(1000A)															+	
													an est		+	
(Sparit)													2000		+	-+
	***											atery part			+	
			_	**									84630		1 -1	
															+	
<b>ک</b> ے۔۔	the Tu	mature/Affiliation) ACCIO	Lis	Date	Date		Time 1300		Received by: (Signature/Af		filiation) SACC		Date/ 11/25/19		Time 0945	
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date			Time Received by: (Signature/Affi					Date			<u>v                                     </u>		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date	Date Time			Received by: (Signature/Af		filiation)		Date		Time			
										2007/2000/INDEX.1000						
Ch.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<del>- 1000 -</del>			<del></del>	Lab Use (	Only									
	Name:	+CULX	Custody Seals Int		Yes		None									
Sampi	e Fransporta	tion Notice: Relinquishing signa	ture on this docume	ent indicates t	at sampl	es are shipped	in complian	ice with all applic	cable local, S	tate, Fede	eral, and	internatio	nal laws, r	egulation	s, and	ordinanc
of any k	kind. Relinquis	shing signature also indicates agr	eement to hold harn	nless, defend	and inde	mnify Eurofins	Air Toxics a	gainst any claim	ı, demand, oı	action, o	any kind	i, related	to the colle	ection, ha	indling,	of ship