

7/6/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 2006789

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/29/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630 T 916-985-1000 F 916-351-8279 www.airtoxics.com



# WORK ORDER #: 2006789

### Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	<b>P.O.</b> #	30050315.0301.01
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	06/29/2020 07/06/2020	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			KECEIF I	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	IAF-11873BELDENCT-01_062420	Modified TO-15	5.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
02A	IAF-11873BELDENCT-02_062420	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	4.7 psi
03A	AA-11873BELDENCT-01_062420	Modified TO-15	7.3 "Hg	4.9 psi
04A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes end

07/06/20 DATE:

DECEIDT

ETNIA I

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020. Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 351-8279

### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2006789

Three 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on June 29, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	=30% RSD with 2<br compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

# **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

# **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

# **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11873BELDENCT-01_062420         2006789-01A       Date/Time Analyzed:       7/1/20 05:45 PM         collected:       6/24/20 08:08 PM       Dilution Factor:       1.62         6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier       Instrument/Filename:       msd22.i / 22070114				
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.23	0.58	0.32 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.066	0.26	0.64	1.5
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.25	0.44	1.1	7.3
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.090	0.35	0.87	0.63 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.16	0.41	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	125
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	104

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11873BELDENCT-02_062420 2006789-02A 6/24/20 08:18 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	or: 1.	/1/20 06:21 PM .69 nsd22.i / 22070115	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.24	0.61	0.30 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.069	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.26	0.46	1.1	0.32 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.36	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.17	0.43	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.					
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	104

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-11873BELDENCT-01_062420 2006789-03A 6/24/20 08:23 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time Analyzed:7/1/20 06:56 PMDilution Factor:1.76			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.63	0.17 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.072	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.48	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroeth	ene 156-60-5	0.12	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.38	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.18	0.45	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzer	460-00-4			70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	104

# **eurofins**

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:** 

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 2006789-04A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 7/1/20 01:10 PM **Dilution Factor:** Instrument/Filena

ame:	msd22.i / 22070107a
	1.00

<b>.</b> .		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.16	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.068	0.14	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.041	0.16	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.15	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.066	0.16	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.055	0.21	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.10	0.26	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

E.

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	2006789-05A	Date/Time Analyzed:	7/1/20 08:39 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22070102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	90
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	92
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	93

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

**Air Toxics** 

#### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	2006789-06A	Date/Time Analyzed:	7/1/20 09:32 AM
Date/Time Collected	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22070103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	95
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	102

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

\* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

**Air Toxics** 

#### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	2006789-06AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	7/1/20 10:18 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22070104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	91
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	104
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

July 7, 2020



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30050315.0301.01 Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom Laboratory submittal: 2006789 Sample date: 2020-06-24 Report received by CADENA: 2020-07-06 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-07-07

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

# **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2006789 CADENA Verification Report: 2020-07-07

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #37743R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.301.02

# **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2006789 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
						Scan)		
	IAF- 11873BELDENCT- 01_062420	2006789-01A	Air	6/24/2020		x		
2006789	IAF- 11873BELDENCT- 02_062420	2006789-02A	Air	6/24/2020		x		
	AA-11873BELDENCT- 01_062420	2006789-03A	Air	6/24/2020		x		

# ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		rmance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition		X		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

# **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

### DATA REVIEW

### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

# DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Re	Reported		Performance Acceptable		
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)						
Tier II Validation						
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х		
Tier III Validation		1	!			
System performance and column resolution		X		Х		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х		
Internal standard		X		Х		
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х	
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х		
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

# VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: July 29, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: August 7, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



# NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11873BELDENCT-01_062420 2006789-01A 6/24/20 08:08 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	t <b>or:</b> 1.62	20 05:45 PM 2 l22.i / 22070114	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.23	0.58	0.32 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.066	0.26	0.64	1.5
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.25	0.44	1.1	7.3
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.090	0.35	0.87	0.63 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.16	0.41	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	125
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	104

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11873BELDENCT-02_062420 2006789-02A 6/24/20 08:18 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	or: 1.	/1/20 06:21 PM .69 nsd22.i / 22070115	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.24	0.61	0.30 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.069	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.26	0.46	1.1	0.32 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.36	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.17	0.43	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	104

**Air Toxics** 

### MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-11873BELDENCT-01_062420 2006789-03A 6/24/20 08:23 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	or:	7/1/20 06:56 PM 1.76 msd22.i / 22070116	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.63	0.17 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.072	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.48	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroeth	ene 156-60-5	0.12	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.38	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.18	0.45	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzer	460-00-4			70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	104

# Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

Client		-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Ford	PID:	NA Spe	cial Instructions	/Notes: Rep	ort ONLY: 1.1-D	CE cis-1.2-			Shroud V			10,022	
Projec	t Name:	Ford LTP							<u> </u>	umaiou			rcharges	may a	spiy)
Projec	t Manager:	Kris Hinskey	 P.O.# 300503	15.0301.01	E, trans-1,2-DCE,	1,4-Dioxane	, PCE, TCE and	VC. Submit		aton Ma.	5 Day	Turnarou			_
Samp	ler:	Xenia Chan, Patrick Labadie			ults through Cade	na at jim.tom	alia@cadena.co	m. Cadena		ster vac	-		-		nalyse
Site N	ame:	11873 BELDEN		#E2	203631. Level IV F	Reporting						se Only	otes é	lyze	
Lab ID	S	ample Identification	Can #	Flow Contro	Start S	ampling mation	Stop Sa Inform		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	äpt	Final (psig) Gas: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze	
					Date	Time	Date	Time	nitia	ina	Receipt	inal Sas:	T nstru		
01A	IAF-1	1873BELDENCT-01_062420	6L2503	23335	6/24/2020	9:09	6/24/2020	20:08	-29.5	-5		<u>                                     </u>		┢──┤	<del> </del> _
\$A	DUP-	11873BELDEN-01_062420	6L2323	23627	6/24/2020		6/24/2020		-29.5	-11				x	
1)A	IAF-1	1873BELDENCT-02_062420	6L2457	24133	6/24/2020	9:10	6/24/2020	20:18	-29.5	-6			х		
14A	AA-11	873BELDENCT-01_062420	6L1078	24904	6/24/2020	9:06	6/24/2020	20:23	-29.5	-7			x		<u> </u>
	Cm		~*												<u> </u>
elenter Hannender	6-30-20							÷-						╂──┨	
											299 A.	and the second s			
alesta Tabata			***												
gelgene Samerad															
		•••		~~								Same -			
in States States															
200666 															
					~									††	
	<u>l</u> l	gnature/Affiliation) ARCAD gnature/Affiliation)	15	Date G/2 <sup>±</sup> Date	5/20 Time	400	Received by: (	Ex71			À,	Date デビデーゼ	625	Time	12
	,			Laic	Time		Received by: (	(Signature/Aff	filiation)			Date		Time	
Relinqu	ished by: (Si	gnature/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by: (	Signature/Aff	iliation)	*****		Date		Time	
					CLab Use	Only						<u> </u>		L	
•••		CASS.	Custody Seals In		Yes No	Non	9							nnski filetni	
Sa	mple Transp	ortation Notice: Relinquishing s kind. Relinquishing signature als	ignature on this do	cument indicates t	hat samples are s	hipped in co	noliance with all	applicable lo	cal State	Feder	al and int	ornationa	lowe roa	ulation	



7/6/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 2006790

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/29/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630 T 916-985-1000 F 916-351-8279 www.airtoxics.com



## WORK ORDER #: 2006790

### Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	<b>P.O.</b> #	30050315.0301.01
FAX:		<b>PROJECT</b> #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	06/29/2020 07/06/2020	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-11873BELDENCT-03_062420	TO-15	6.0 "Hg	15 psi
02A	SSMP-11873BELDENCT-02_062420	TO-15	6.0 "Hg	15 psi
03A	SSMP-11873BELDENCT-01_062420	TO-15	6.5 "Hg	15 psi
04A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

layes

07/06/20 DATE:

Technical Director

CERTIFIED BY:

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020. Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 351-8279

# LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2006790

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on June 29, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

# **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

# **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

# **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
- CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID:20Date/Time Collected:6/2	SMP-11873BELDENCT-03_062420 006790-01A 24/20 09:45 AM Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	7/6/20 01:02 PM 2.52 msdj.i / j070608	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.4	6.4	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.1	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.6	4.1	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.58	1.9	3.2	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the	DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98

**Air Toxics** 

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: Date/Time Collected:	SSMP-11873BELDENCT-02_062420 2006790-02A 6/24/20 10:07 AM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	7/6/20 01:30 PM 2.52 msdj.i / j070609	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.4	6.4	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.1	8.5	3.4 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne 156-60-5	1.0	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.6	4.1	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.58	1.9	3.2	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within the set of t	he DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	\$ 460-00-4			70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID:2006Date/Time Collected:6/24	IP-11873BELDENCT-01_062420 6790-03A /20 09:44 AM er Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	7/6/20 01:56 PM 2.58 msdj.i / j070610	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit ) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.6	6.5	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.2	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	3.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.7	4.2	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.59	2.0	3.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the D	oD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	87
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	96

# **eurofins**

**Air Toxics** 

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:** 

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 2006790-04A

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 7/6/20 11:25 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.00 Instrument/Filename:

msdj.i / j070606c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.67	1.2	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.8	2.5	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.52	1.2	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.95	2.0	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.40	1.2	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.64	1.6	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.23	0.77	1.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	of accreditation.				

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

# 🔅 eurofins

Air Toxics

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

# Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	2006790-05A	Date/Time Analyzed:	7/6/20 09:35 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j070602

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	105
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	107
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	107
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	113

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

# 🔅 eurofins

**Air Toxics** 

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

### Ford LTP

Г

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	2006790-06A	Date/Time Analyzed:	7/6/20 09:59 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j070603

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	97
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	112
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	113

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

\* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

# 🔅 eurofins

**Air Toxics** 

# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

### Ford LTP

Г

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	2006790-06AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	7/6/20 10:24 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j070604

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	90
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	87
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	109
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

\* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

July 7, 2020



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30050315.0301.01 Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom Laboratory submittal: 2006790 Sample date: 2020-06-24 Report received by CADENA: 2020-07-06 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-07-07

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <a href="http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm">http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</a>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



### Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

### Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2006790 CADENA Verification Report: 2020-07-07

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #37744R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.301.02

### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2006790 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	ا TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2006790	SSMP- 11873BELDENCT- 03_062420	2006790-01A	Air	6/24/2020		х		
	SSMP- 11873BELDENCT- 02_062420	2006790-02A	Air	6/24/2020		х		
	SSMP- 11873BELDENCT- 01_062420	2006790-03A	Air	6/24/2020		х		

#### ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1. Sample receipt condition		Х		Х		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
3. Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### DATA REVIEW

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Re	eported	Performance Acceptable		Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)				
Tier II Validation						
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х		
Tier III Validation		-	!			
System performance and column resolution		X		X		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X		
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X		
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X		
Internal standard		Х		Х		
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х	
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X		
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		Х		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

#### VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: July 29, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: August 7, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



## 🛟 eurofins |

Air Toxics

#### EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Lab ID:         200           Date/Time Collected:         6/2	MP-11873BELDENCT-03_062420 06790-01A 4/20 09:45 AM iter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	7/6/20 01:02 PM 2.52 msdj.i / j070608			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.0	5.0	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.4	6.4	18	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.0	5.0	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.1	8.5	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	3.0	5.0	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.6	4.1	6.8	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.58	1.9	3.2	Not Detected		
D: Analyte not within the	DoD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	101		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	89		
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98		

## 🛟 eurofins

**Air Toxics** 

#### EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Lab ID: Date/Time Collected:	SSMP-11873BELDENCT-02_062420 2006790-02A 6/24/20 10:07 AM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	7/6/20 01:30 PM 2.52 msdj.i / j070609	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit ) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.4	6.4	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.1	8.5	3.4 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne 156-60-5	1.0	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.6	4.1	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.58	1.9	3.2	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within the set of t	he DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

## 🛟 eurofins |

Air Toxics

#### EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Lab ID: 22 Date/Time Collected: 6	SSMP-11873BELDENCT-01_062420 2006790-03A 5/24/20 09:44 AM Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	7/6/20 01:56 PM 2.58 msdj.i / j070610			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.1	5.1	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.6	6.5	18	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.1	5.1	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.2	8.8	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroether	ne 156-60-5	1.0	3.1	5.1	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.7	4.2	6.9	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.59	2.0	3.3	Not Detected		
D: Analyte not within th	e DoD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	102		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	87		
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	96		

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

Phone (8		Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95 5955; Fax (916) 351-8279			_Workord	•	0067				<u>Canister</u>	n <mark>ks belov</mark> r Samplin Shroud Vi						
Client:		Ford	PID:	NA	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-						Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)							
<sup>p</sup> roject N	-	Ford LTP	—		DCE, tra	INS-1,2-DCE, 1	,4-Dioxane,	PCE, TCE and V	VC. Submit	5 Day Turnaround Time								
-	Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.# 300503	P.O.# 30050315.0301.01 results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena					Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Requ	ested /	Analyses			
Sampler: Patrick Labadie					fesuits in	irougn Cadena	at jim.toma	illa@cadena.con	n. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	s) al	0			
Site Nam	ie:	11873 BELDEN		·····	#E20363	31. Level IV Re	porting			<b>a</b>			6	Not	alyz			
Lab ID	St	ample Identification	Can #	Flow C	Controller #				mpling ation	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	apt	i (psig) N2 / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze			
					<u> </u>	Date	Time	Date	Tìme	Initic	Fina	Receipt	Final Gas:	l 10-12	å			
		BELDENCT-03_062420	1L3264	23259		6/24/2020	9:34	6/24/2020	9:45	-29	-5.5		-22	x	+-+			
		BELDENCT-02_062420	0000003013	23603		6/24/2020	9:57	6/24/2020	10:07	-30	-5.5	Second -		х				
<u>A se</u>	MP-11873	BELDENCT-01_062420	0000002191	23133		6/24/2020	9:31	6/24/2020	9:44	-29	-6.5			x	++			
<u> </u>							<b>1</b> 14m					28.24 <u>8</u>		I				
<u> -</u>							**	~**	**				2000	[				
	····					-								i	+-+	i		
						***	-*						a a ser an ar an an a se an t-an ar an t- an t-an t-an t-an t-an	[	+-+			
-												ala an	See a	1				
	······			-					<b>N</b> #				2243 I		++			
22223 <mark></mark> _													C283555		++			
83999 <b></b>	www.					1			~~						<u>†</u>	, <b></b>		
	¥	······	a 4.									ana a		1		i		
224 -	A												222 - C		++			
<u> -</u>												1994 - J.	1		++			
200392 <b></b>						i	**		+-				33403.	i	<b>├</b> ──┤	·		
Y	La	inature/Affiliation) ARCAD	nS	Date	25/2	D Time	00	Received by: (	Signature/Aff	Niation)		Clo	Date 29.7	070	Time 09	37		
			Date		Time		Received by: (	Signature/Aff	Affiliation)			Date	<u> </u>	Time				
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date		Time Received by: (Signature/Af			Signature/Aff	Affiliation) Date Time					·····				
hipper N	ame: FC	1 5.1	Custody Seals Int	itact?	(Yes)	Lab Use (	Only None	a							80-181-2			
	$I \sim$	ortation Notice: Relinquishing							annliaghla ir	- and Ctat	- Cadae	·						
ordinan	ces of any	kind. Relinquishing signature a	also indicates agreem	nent to hold ha	narmless, d	defend, and ind	lemnify Euro	ofins Air Toxics a ne (800) 467-4922	against any c	laim, den	nand, or a	action, of	any kind,	related to	the cc	s, and illection,		