

1/28/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 1901382

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/22/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1901382

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	01/22/2019 01/28/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-11875BELDEN-01_011719	TO-15	4.5 "Hg	15 psi
02A	SSMP-11875BELDEN-02_011719	TO-15	4.5 "Hg	15 psi
03A	SSMP-11875BELDEN-03_011719	TO-15	3.0 "Hg	15 psi
04A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

layes CERTIFIED BY:

01/28/19 DATE:

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020

> > Page 2 of 10



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1901382

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister samples were received on January 22, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
- CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-11875 Lab ID: 1901382-01A Date/Time Collected: 1/17/19 08:54 Media: 1 Liter Summ	4 AM	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.38	9 05:52 PM / 3012411	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.6	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.4	8.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.1	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-11875 Lab ID: 1901382-02A Date/Time Collected: 1/17/19 09:02 Media: 1 Liter Summ	2 AM	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.38	9 06:18 PM / 3012412	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.6	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.4	8.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.1	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	87
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-11875 Lab ID: 1901382-03A Date/Time Collected: 1/17/19 09:28 Media: 1 Liter Summ	3 AM	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.24	9 06:44 PM / 3012413	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.6	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.4	8.1	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.98	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.5	6.1	7.6	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.96	4.8	6.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.6	2.3	2.9	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	87
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Ford LTP Client ID:

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 1901382-04A

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Tin
Dilution

 Date/Time Analyzed:
 1/24/19 01:07 PM

 Dilution Factor:
 1.00

 Instrument/Filename:
 msd3.i / 3012406a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.71	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.68	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.72	1.0	1.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				

Limits %Recovery Surrogates CAS# 70-130 89 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 17060-07-0 70-130 100 4-Bromofluorobenzene 460-00-4 Toluene-d8 70-130 99 2037-26-5

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	ссу		
Lab ID:	1901382-05A	Date/Time Analyzed:	1/24/19 10:40 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3012402

		%Recovery
Compound	CAS#	/arecovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	90
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	84
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	88
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	87

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	87
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1901382-06A	Date/Time Analyzed:	1/24/19 11:17 AM
Date/Time Collected	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3012403

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	87
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	94
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	82
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	89
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	91

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	86
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1901382-06AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	1/24/19 11:52 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3012404

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	85
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	77
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	89
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

January 28, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1901382 Sample date: 2019-01-17 Report received by CADENA: 2019-01-28 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-01-28

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1901382 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-01-28

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #31839R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0003.00001

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1901382 for samples collected in association with the with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP-11875BELDEN- 01_011719	1901382-01A	Air	1/17/2019		х		
1901382	SSMP-11875BELDEN- 02_011719	1901382-02A	Air	1/17/2019		х		
	SSMP-11875BELDEN- 03_011719	1901382-03A	Air	1/17/2019		х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed		Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not	
		No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1. Sample receipt condition	1		Х		Х		
2. Requested analyses and	sample results		Х		Х		
3. Master tracking list			Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis			Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits			Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date			Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample recei	ved date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation ve	ification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. Sample preparation/extr	action/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-	Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
11. Narrative summary of Q problems provided	uality Assurance or sample		х		Х		
12. Data Package Complete	ness and Compliance		Х		Х		

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		Reported		Performance Acceptable	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	'RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation	I	1	!		
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: February 22, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: February 28, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-11875 Lab ID: 1901382-01A Date/Time Collected: 1/17/19 08:54 Media: 1 Liter Summ	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.38	9 05:52 PM / 3012411		
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.6	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.4	8.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.1	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-11875BELDEN-02_011719 Lab ID: 1901382-02A Date/Time Collected: 1/17/19 09:02 AM Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister		Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.38	9 06:18 PM / 3012412	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.6	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.4	8.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.1	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	87
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-11875BELDEN-03_011719 Lab ID: 1901382-03A Date/Time Collected: 1/17/19 09:28 AM Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister		Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.24	9 06:44 PM / 3012413		
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.6	3.6	4.4	Not Detected	
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.4	8.1	16	Not Detected	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.98	3.6	4.4	Not Detected	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.5	6.1	7.6	Not Detected	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.4	Not Detected	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.96	4.8	6.0	Not Detected	
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.6	2.3	2.9	Not Detected	
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.					
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	87	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	102	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100	

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

PID:

Workorder #: <u>1901</u>382

Click links below to view:

	Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95 -5955; Fax (916) 351-8279	5630				<u></u>		2		Caniste	r Samplir		": 1963 (1964)		
Client:	Ford	PID: N	Δ	Specia	Instruction	s/Notes: Rec	port ONLY: 1,1-	DCE cis-12	atorije. T II		Shroud V		•		
Project Name:	Ford LTP	1 (L2), 1 1	<u> </u>							Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) 5 Day Turnaround Time				oly)	
Project Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.# MI001454	1.0003	1			e, PCE, TCE an		Cani	ister Vac	uum/Pre			estad Ar	ad Analyses
	CILLERVER S. TURNER	\overline{C}		Submit	results throug	jh Cadena at	t jim.tomalia@c	cadena.com.		T		se Only	Requested Analyses		alyses
Site Name:	11875 Belden			Cadena	a #E203631. L	evel IV Repo	orting		6	6			See Note		
Lab ID Sa	Somple Identification			low roller #		Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)		
					Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial (in Hg)	Fin	Rec	Fine Gas	Instr		
	5BELDEN-01_011719	161508	235	15	1/17/19	0843	1/17/19	0854	-29	-5			\mathbf{x}^{-}		
02A SSMP-1187	5BELDEN-02_ 011719	162774	242	.19	1/17/19	0849	1/17/19	0902	-29	-5			X		
334 SSMP-1187	5BELDEN-03_ 011719	162841	23	768	1/17/19	0914	1/17/19	0928	-29.5	+			\mathbf{X}		
			1							<i>int</i>			$\vdash \frown$		
			1			1		-						┢───╁─	
						+	-							┟───┼─	
					 	-				_				┣━━━╋━	
			-		 					ļ	-			 	
					 										
					 										
Relinquished by: (Si	an at ura (Affiliation)				L										
Relinquished by: (Si	lis		Date	8-19	Time	130	Jan	: (Signature/A TA : (Signature/A	ハレ			Date $61/22$ Date	lų	Time 093 Time	; Ú
Relinquished by: (Si	gnature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by:	: (Signature/A	Affiliation)			Date		Time	
Shipper Name: 7	WH	Custody Seals Inta	ict?	Yes		e Only Non	- I								
Sample Transpo	vrtation Notice: Relinquishing s kind. Relinquishing signature als	signature on this docur so indicates agreemen	ment indic nt to hold h	ates that armless,	samples are s defend, and in	shipped in condemnify Eur	ompliance with	s against any	⇒ local, Sta y claim, de	ate, Fede amand, o	ral, and i	nternatior of any kin	al laws, ro d, related	egulation to the cc	is, and ellection,



1/29/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 1901384

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/22/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1901384

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	01/22/2019 01/29/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			KECEIPI	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	AA-11875BELDEN-01_011719	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAF-11875BELDEN-01_011719	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-11875BELDEN-02_011719	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAF-11875BELDEN-03_011719	Modified TO-15	8.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	DUP-11875BELDEN-01_011719	Modified TO-15	7.5 "Hg	5 psi
06A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Lai

DATE: 01/29/19

FINAT

DECEIDT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1901384

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on January 22, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

🛟 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:



a-File was requantified

- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: _ab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-11875BELDEN-01_011719 1901384-01A 1/17/19 06:56 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.61	9 08:26 AM 2.i / 22012316	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.55	1.1	0.13 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.20	0.41	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	99

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11875BELDEN-01_011719 1901384-02A 1/17/19 07:49 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.	24/19 09:36 AM 68 sd22.i / 22012317	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	0.48 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.67	0.35 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: _ab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11875BELDEN-02_011719 1901384-03A 1/17/19 06:58 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.71	9 10:13 AM 2.i / 22012318	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.070	0.58	1.2	0.38 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.68	0.16 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.099	0.46	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.44	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11875BELDEN-03_011719 1901384-04A 1/17/19 07:52 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.83	9 10:58 AM .i / 22012319	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	0.34 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	0.14 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-11875BELDEN-01_011719 1901384-05A 1/17/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	1/24/19 11:39 AM 1.79 msd22.i / 22012320	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	0.34 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroeth	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	0.15 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected
·	the DoD scope of accreditation.			Limits	%Recovery
Surrogates	CAS#			70-130	112
1,2-Dichloroethane-de 4-Bromofluorobenzer				70-130	101
	400 00 4				98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	

eurofins

0.68

0.40

0.54

0.26

Air Toxics

Amount (ug/m3)

Not Detected

0.16 J

Not Detected

Not Detected

Not Detected

Not Detected

Not Detected

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:**

Lab ID:

Media:

Compound

1,4-Dioxane

1,1-Dichloroethene

Tetrachloroethene

Trichloroethene

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

Lab Blank 1901384-06A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

NA - Not Applicable

127-18-4

156-60-5

79-01-6

75-01-4

Date/Time Analyzed: 1/23/19 09:40 PM **Dilution Factor:** Instrument/Filename:

1.00

msd22.i / 22012315a

	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	
CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	
123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	
156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	

0.34

0.20

0.27

0.13

Vinyl Chloride J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	117
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

0.041

0.062

0.058

0.036

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Г

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1901384-07A	Date/Time Analyzed:	1/23/19 06:29 PM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22012312

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	103
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	97
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	88
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	91
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

🔅 eurofins

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1901384-08A	Date/Time Analyzed:	1/23/19 07:27 PM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22012313

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	100
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	120
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	107
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1901384-08AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	1/23/19 08:43 PM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22012314

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	122
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	107
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

January 29, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1901384 Sample date: 2019-01-17 Report received by CADENA: 2019-01-29 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-01-29

5 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

METHOD BLANKS had detections BELOW the Reporting Limit (RL) as noted below. Client sample results were either non-detect for these analytes or had concentrations greater than 5X the method blank levels so qualification of client sample results was not required: TO-15 - 1,4-DIOXANE - no qualifications required.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1901384 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-01-29

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #31840R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0003.00001

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1901384 for samples collected in association with the with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample			Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collectio n Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA-11875BELDEN- 01_011719	1901384-01A	Air	1/17/2019		х		
	IAF-11875BELDEN- 01_011719	1901384-02A	Air	1/17/2019		х		
1901384	IAF-11875BELDEN- 02_011719	1901384-03A	Air	1/17/2019		х		
	IAF-11875BELDEN- 03_011719	1901384-04A	Air	1/17/2019		х		
	DUP-11875BELDEN- 01_011719	1901384-05A	Air	1/17/2019	IAF- 11875BELDEN- 03_011719	х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
Item	s Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition	1		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and	sample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list			Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis			Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits			Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date			Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample recei	ved date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation ve	ification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extr	action/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-	Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Q problems provided	uality Assurance or sample		х		Х	
12. Data Package Complete	ness and Compliance		Х		Х	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	eported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	'RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation	I	1	!		
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: February 22, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: February 28, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: ∟ab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-11875BELDEN-01_011719 1901384-01A 1/17/19 06:56 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.61	1/24/19 08:26 AM 1.61 msd22.i / 22012316		
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.64	Not Detected	
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	Not Detected	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.64	Not Detected	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.55	1.1	0.13 J	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.64	Not Detected	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.43	0.86	Not Detected	
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.20	0.41	Not Detected	
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.					
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	110	
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	96	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	99	

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11875BELDEN-01_011719 1901384-02A 1/17/19 07:49 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.	/24/19 09:36 AM 68 sd22.i / 22012317	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	0.48 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.67	0.35 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11875BELDEN-02_011719 1901384-03A 1/17/19 06:58 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.71	9 10:13 AM 2.i / 22012318			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.68	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.62	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.68	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.070	0.58	1.2	0.38 J		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.68	0.16 J		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.099	0.46	0.92	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4		0.062	0.22	0.44	Not Detected		
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	109		
4-Bromofluorobenzene 460-00-4				70-130	100		
Toluene-d8 2037-26-5				70-130	98		

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-11875BELDEN-03_011719 1901384-04A 1/17/19 07:52 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.83	9 10:58 AM .i / 22012319			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	0.34 J		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	0.14 J		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4		0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected		
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	114		
4-Bromofluorobenzene 460-00-4				70-130	99		
Toluene-d8 2037-26-5				70-130	100		

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-11875BELDEN-01_011719 1901384-05A 1/17/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.79	19 11:39 AM 22.i / 22012320			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	0.34 J		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	0.15 J		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected		
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	112		
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	101		
Toluene-d8 2037-26-5				70-130	98		

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

180 B	lue Ravine	Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95	PID:		_Workorc	der #:	THY L	.90120	4	and the second sec	and the second second	and a second second	w to view	/: Projektoria	- Angela	ter tata menar e
		-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279	000									r Samplin Shroud Vi	es to at a statute es			
Client: Ford PID: NA				NA	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-											
Project Name: Ford LTP			DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC.				5 Day Turnaround Time									
Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.00			4.0003	003				Canister Vacuum/Pressure			Requ	ested Ar	alyses			
Sampler: <u>C. Weaver</u> , S.Turrer			Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com.						Lab			(es)				
Site N	ame:	11875 Belden			Cadena	a #E203631. Le	evel IV Repor	rting		<u>p</u>	(ĝ			See Not		
Lab ID	s	Sample Identification	Can #	1	low roller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)		
	L					Date	Time	Date	Time	Fin.	Ë	Rec	U U U U U U U U	Inst .		
0(A	AA-11875B	ELDEN-01_01(719	660327	231	625	1/17/19	0826	1/17/19	1856	-30	-5			$\overline{\times}$		
18. N.	IAF-11875E	BELDEN-01_011719	660528	233	,53	1/17/19	1	1/17/19	1949	-29	-5			$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		
	IAF-11875P	BELDEN-02_011719	660340	237	126	1/17/19		1/17/19	1852	- 28,5	-5			\mathbf{X}		
ацА	IAF-11875P	BELDEN-03_ ())17(9	610902	232	247	1/17/19		1/17/19	1	-29	-8			X		
200400-00000		875 Belden-01-011719		234	150	1/17/19		1/17/19		-30	-7			\mathbf{X}		
							<u> </u>		1					- <u></u>		
						1										
						-	<u>†</u>		1							
									1							
							1		1	1					1+	
Reling		Signature/Affiliation)		Date	7 (0)	Time	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Received by:	(Signature/A	Affiliation)		1997 - 1997 -	Date	I	Time	L
Hughendell Alicad 25				Date Time		550 Jan th						01/22/19		0930		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date	Date Time		Received by: (Signature/A		ffiliation)			Date		Time			
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date	Date Time		Received by: (Signature/Al		ffiliation)			Date	Date		Time		
						Lab Use	• Only									
Shippe	er Name:	Trott	Custody Seals Inl	itact?	Yes		None	<u> </u>								
		ortation Notice: Relinquishing si	j ·						all applicable	e local. St	ate, Fede	ral, and	internatio	nal laws.	regulatic	ns and
ordina	ances of any	kind. Relinquishing signature also	o indicates agreeme	ent to hold h	narmlees,	, defend, and ir	ndemnify Eur	rofins Air Toxic ne (800) 467-4	s against any	y claim, de	emand, o	r action,	of any kir	ıd, related	i to the c	ollection,