# 🛟 eurofins

# Environment Testing TestAmerica

# **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

## Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

# Laboratory Job ID: 240-112960-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

# For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 6/10/2019 3:31:15 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents2Definitions/Glossary3Case Narrative4
Case Narrative 4
Method Summary 5
Sample Summary 6
Detection Summary 7
Client Sample Results 8
Surrogate Summary 9
QC Sample Results 10
QC Association Summary 13
Lab Chronicle
Certification Summary 15
Chain of Custody 16

# Qualifiers

Qualifiers		3
GC/MS VOA Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
F1	MS and/or MSD Recovery is outside acceptance limits.	. 4
F2	MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits	E
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.	5
Glossary		6
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.	7
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis	
%R	Percent Recovery	0
CFL	Contains Free Liquid	Ō
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid	
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)	9
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor	
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)	
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample	
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)	
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)	
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)	-
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)	
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
MDL	Method Detection Limit	
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)	
NC	Not Calculated	
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)	
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit	
QC	Quality Control	
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)	
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)	
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points	
тее	Toxinity Equivalent Easter (Diaxin)	

- TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
- TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

## Job ID: 240-112960-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

## CASE NARRATIVE

**Case Narrative** 

# Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

# Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

## Report Number: 240-112960-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

#### RECEIPT

The sample was received on 5/21/2019 9:00 AM; the sample arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.6° C.

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Sample MW-162S\_051719 (240-112960-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The sample was analyzed on 05/30/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample MW-162S\_051719 (240-112960-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 05/29/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

# Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-112960-1	MW-162S_051719	Water	05/17/19 12:25	05/21/19 09:00	

# **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: MW-162S\_051719

No Detections.

Lab Sample ID: 240-112960-1

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

# **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

## Client Sample ID: MW-162S\_051719 Date Collected: 05/17/19 12:25 Date Received: 05/21/19 09:00

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/29/19 13:40	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	85		63 - 125					05/29/19 13:40	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	97		70 - 121					05/30/19 22:43	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100		59 - 120					05/30/19 22:43	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	90		70 - 123					05/30/19 22:43	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		75 - 128					05/30/19 22:43	1

Matrix: Water

Job ID: 240-112960-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-112960-1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

# **Surrogate Summary**

Job ID: 240-112960-1

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Matrix: Water

Idliik. Walei						Flep Type. Total/NA	
			Pe	ercent Surro	ogate Recov	very (Acceptance Limits)	
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM		
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-121)	(59-120)	(70-123)	(75-128)		
240-112957-B-1 MS	Matrix Spike	103	102	92	100		
240-112957-C-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	107	105	95	119		
240-112960-1	MW-162S_051719	97	100	90	108		
LCS 240-383729/4	Lab Control Sample	106	93	93	113		
MB 240-383729/6	Method Blank	107	96	91	110		
Surrogate Legend							
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth	ane-d4 (Surr)						
BFB = 4-Bromofluorob	enzene (Surr)						
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Su	rr)						- 7
DBFM = Dibromofluoro	omethane (Surr)						
lethod: 8260B S	IM - Volatile Organic	Compoun	ds (GC/	MS)			
latrix: Water	_	-				Prep Type: Total/NA	

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)	
		DCA		
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)		13
240-112960-1	MW-162S_051719	85		
240-113065-C-1 MS	Matrix Spike	89		
240-113065-C-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	91		
LCS 240-383493/4	Lab Control Sample	88		
MB 240-383493/5	Method Blank	86		
Surrogata Lagand				

#### Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

# Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

# Lab Sample ID: MB 240-383729/6

## **Client Sample ID: Method Blank** Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 383729

MB	МВ							
Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/30/19 14:26	1
1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/30/19 14:26	1
1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/30/19 14:26	1
1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/30/19 14:26	1
1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/30/19 14:26	1
1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/30/19 14:26	1
	Result 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	MB MB   Result Qualifier   1.0 U   1.0 U	Result Qualifier RL   1.0 U 1.0   1.0 U 1.0	Result Qualifier RL MDL   1.0 U 1.0 0.19   1.0 U 1.0 0.16   1.0 U 1.0 0.15   1.0 U 1.0 0.19   1.0 U 1.0 0.15   1.0 U 1.0 0.19   1.0 U 1.0 0.19   1.0 U 1.0 0.19	Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L   1.0 U 1.0 0.16 ug/L   1.0 U 1.0 0.15 ug/L   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L   1.0 U 1.0 0.10 ug/L	Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit D   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L - -   1.0 U 1.0 0.16 ug/L - -   1.0 U 1.0 0.15 ug/L - -   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L - -	Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit D Prepared   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L ug	Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit D Prepared Analyzed   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.16 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.15 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.15 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.10 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26   1.0 U 1.0 0.10 ug/L 05/30/19 14:26

	MB	МВ				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107		70 - 121		05/30/19 14:26	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	96		59 - 120		05/30/19 14:26	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		70 - 123		05/30/19 14:26	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	110		75 - 128		05/30/19 14:26	1

#### Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-383729/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 383729

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.44		ug/L		94	65 - 139	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.87		ug/L		99	76 - 128	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	74 <sub>-</sub> 130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	78 <sub>-</sub> 133	
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.9		ug/L		109	76 - 125	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	58 <sub>-</sub> 143	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	93		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	93		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	113		75 - 128

102

92

#### Lab Sample ID: 240-112957-B-1 MS **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 383729

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Analysis Balch. 303/29										
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.27		ug/L		93	53 - 140	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U F2	10.0	9.09		ug/L		91	64 - 130	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U F1 F2	10.0	9.89		ug/L		99	51 <sub>-</sub> 136	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U F2	10.0	9.09		ug/L		91	68 - 133	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U F1 F2	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	55 <sub>-</sub> 131	
Vinyl chloride	2.2	F1 F2	10.0	12.5		ug/L		103	43 - 154	
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		70 - 121							

Furofins	TestAmerica,	Canton
Luionns	i estAmenca,	Canton

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

59 - 120

70 - 123

# **QC Sample Results**

# Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-11295 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 383729	57-B-1 MS						CI	ient Sa	mple ID: I Prep Ty			
		MS										
Surrogate	%Recovery		Limits									
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	100		75 - 128									
Lab Sample ID: 240-11295 Matrix: Water	57-C-1 MSD					Client	Samp	le ID: N	latrix Spil Prep Ty			
Analysis Batch: 383729	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD	
Analyte	•	Qualifier	Added	-	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0		10.0	13.1		ug/L		131	53 - 140	34	35	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		U F2	10.0	11.9	F2	ug/L		119	64 - 130	27	21	J
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U F1 F2	10.0	15.7	F1 F2	ug/L		157	51 <sub>-</sub> 136	45	23	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U F2	10.0	12.9	F2	ug/L		129	68 - 133	34	24	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U F1 F2	10.0	14.3	F1 F2	ug/L		143	55 - 131	34	23	
Vinyl chloride	2.2	F1 F2	10.0	18.6	F1 F2	ug/L		164	43 - 154	39	29	
	MSD	MSD										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107		70 - 121									
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	105		59 - 120									
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	95		70 - 123									
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	119		75 - 128									
Method: 8260B SIM - V Lab Sample ID: MB 240-3 Matrix: Water		ganic Co	mpounds	(GC/M	S)		Clie	ent Sar	nple ID: M Prep Ty			
Analysis Batch: 383493												
		MB MB										

Analysis Batch: 383493									
	MB	МВ							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/29/19 11:59	1
	MB	МВ							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	86		63 - 125					05/29/19 11:59	1

#### Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-383493/4 **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 383493

Analysis Datch. 303433			Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,4-Dioxane			10.0	11.6		ug/L		116	59 <sub>-</sub> 131	 
	LCS	LCS								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	88		63 - 125							

Lab Sample ID: 240-11306 Matrix: Water	5-C-1 MS						Client S	ample ID: Ma Prep Type:	
Analysis Batch: 383493	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS			%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D %Rec	Limits	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	11.8		ug/L		52 - 129	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

Job ID: 240-112960-1

# Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

	MS	MS										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	89		63 - 125									
Lab Sample ID: 240-1130	65-C-1 MSD					Client	Samp	le ID: N	latrix Spil	ke Dup	licate	
Matrix: Water									Prep Ty			
Analysis Batch: 383493												
-	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	_
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	11.8		ug/L		118	52 - 129	0	13	
	MSD	MSD										Ē
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		63 - 125									

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

# **QC** Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

# **GC/MS VOA**

## Analysis Batch: 383493

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-112960-1	MW-162S_051719	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-383493/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-383493/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-113065-C-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-113065-C-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
Analysis Batch: 3837	729				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
040 440000 4	NUM 1000 051310	T ( 1/0.1.0	147.1	00000	

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-112960-1	MW-162S_051719	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-383729/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-383729/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-112957-B-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-112957-C-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

# Client Sample ID: MW-162S\_051719 Date Collected: 05/17/19 12:25 Date Received: 05/21/19 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	383729	05/30/19 22:43	LRW	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	383493	05/29/19 13:40	SAM	TAL CAN

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

# Lab Sample ID: 240-112960-1 Matrix: Water

# **Accreditation/Certification Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Job ID: 240-112960-1

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	Identification Number	Expiration Date	
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-20	
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19	
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19 *	
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19 *	
Iowa	State Program	7	421	06-01-21	
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-20	
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-20	
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19	
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *	
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19 *	
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19	
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19 *	
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-20	
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	09-06-19 *	
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20	
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *	
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19 *	
JSDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19	_
/irginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19 *	1
Vashington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *	
Vest Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19	

\* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

LV Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Phone (330) 497-9396 Fax (330) 497-0772	Chain	of Custody Record	Record		No eurofins Tas from the second
Client Information	Sampler S. JCHNEN		Lab PM: DelMonico, Michael	Carrier Tracking No(s):	COC No: 240-60548-25803,8
Client Contact Caitlin ONeill	211-2-17. 5-122		E-Mail: michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com		Page 8 of 13 1 05 1
Company: ARCADIS U.S. Inc			Analysis Requested	quested	teb #;
Address 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500	Due Date Requested:				00
City. Novi	TAT Requested (days):				
State: Zip: MI, 48377	0				D - Mitric Add P - Na2045 E - NaHSO4 O - N32SO3 E - MeOH R - N32SO3
Phone:	PO#: MID0#:0002.00002 W	led By rales	1 d		R
Email: Catifin.ONeili@arcadis.com	wo <i>#:</i> Cadena #: E203631		a or N	5.10	1 - Ice J - Di Water
Project Name: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631	Project #: 24015353		10 50	PUIBJU	L-EDA
Site:	SSOW#:		WIS A) OSI	01 00	Other:
Samule Identification	Sample Date Trime	Sample Matr Type (weater) (C=comp, covast G=crab)	ور من	Tedmuk Isjo7	Special Instructions/Note:
Compre reconstruction	1	stion Co	XXA		
ALISS OSITA	5-17-19, 1225	G Water	NNA	9	
		Water	-		
		Water			
		Water	-		
		Water	240-112960 Chain of Current		
		Water			
		Water			
ant	Poison B Unknown	Radiological	Sample Disposal ( A fit may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) Return To Client Disposal By Lab Archive For Mont	be assessed if samples are reta	etained longer than 1 month) Archive For Months
			Require		
Emply KityRelinquished by:	Date:		Time:	Method of Shipment:	
Resiling Achieve by:	Date/Time: 5-17-19 / 1856	Comean	ADK Received by CLUI CE	CTARGE DateTime:	187, Company AND
Rehaulshed by DAL NOUNO	DateTime: 5/2/19	V	NIS Received by IA	X	
Relinquished by: 72 A	1		Received by AL	Datemperily	geo country
Custody Seals Initadt: Custody Seal No.: A Yes A No			Coole Apperature (5) "C and Other Remarks.	Remarks:	A
			1 1 1 1	7 8 9 1	2 3 4 5 6
			1 2 3 4		

TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative	Login # :
Canton Facility	Confer Unpacked by:
lient <u>Atractis</u> Site Name <u>Sal 19</u> Opened on <u>Sal 19</u>	
ooler Received on <u>Jarren</u> Opened on <u>Jarren</u>	arias Coursian Clifford
edEx: 1 <sup>st</sup> Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAm	
	age Location
estAmerica Cooler # Foam Box Client Cooler Box Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None	Other
COOLANT: Wet Tee Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None	Multiple Cooler Form
IR GUN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 18 °C Corre	acted Cooler Temp 1, 1 °C
IR GUN # IR-8 (CF +0.7°C) Observed Cooler Temp°C Correct	cted Cooler Temp °C
. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantit	Yes No NA
-Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? -Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)	
	No NA
-Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?	Tes No
<ul><li>Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?</li><li>Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)?</li></ul>	Tes No
	1 ests that are not
Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?	Receiving:
Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC?	Ves No VOAs
	Ves No Oil and Grease
<ul><li>Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?</li><li>Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?</li></ul>	Yes No TOC
1. Are these work share samples?	Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.	103 110
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	Yes No (NA) pH Strip Lot# HC984738
3. Were VOAs on the COC?	(Yes) No
4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? <b>(a)</b> Larger than this.	
	Yes No
6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?	
Contacted PM Date by	_ via Verbal Voice Mail Other
Concerning	
Concerning	
7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES	Samples processed by:
	JR
*	
8. SAMPLE CONDITION	
ample(s) were received after the reco	ommended holding time had expired.
ample(s)	were received in a broken container.
ample(s) were received with	bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)
9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	
ample(s) ime preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	were further preserved in the laboratory.
ime preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	
OA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen:	

WI-NC-099

# **DATA VERIFICATION REPORT**



June 10, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 112960-1 Sample date: 2019-05-17 Report received by CADENA: 2019-06-10 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-06-10 Number of Samples:1 Sample Matrices: Water Test Categories: GCMS VOC Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

GCMS VOC QC batch MS/MSD recovery outliers or RPD outliers were not determined using a client sample so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

# **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

# SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 112960-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401129601	MW-162S_051719	5/17/2019	12:25:00	х	Х	

# Analytical Results Summary

**Reportable Results Only** 

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 112960-1

	Analyte	Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW-162 2401129 5/17/20	9601	19	Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC						
<u>OSW-826</u>	<u>0B</u>					
	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OSW-826</u>	<u>OBBSim</u>					
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-112960-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-06-10

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #33180R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0004.00002

# SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-112960-1for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis						
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	<sup>n</sup> Parent Sample	VOC (Full	VOC (SIM)	MISC				
						Scan)						
240-112960-1	MW-162S_051719	240-112960-1	Water	5/17/2019		Х	Х					

### ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items F	Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition			Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and s	ample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list			Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis			Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits			Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date			Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample receive	d date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verific	ation (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extract	ion/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Cu	istody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Qual problems provided	ity Assurance or sample		х		х	
12. Data Package Completene	ss and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

## VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

arcadis.com \\arcadis-us.com\officedata\syracuse-ny\project\_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2019\33001-33500\33180\33180r\_240-112960-1.docx

#### DATA REVIEW

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	eported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	'RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		x		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

# VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:


a Kaji

DATE: June 17, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: June 24, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



* *	Carrier Tracking Na(s): 200C No: 240-60548-25803.8	Page Burto 1 C	t dot t	Preservation Cod		D - Nbric Acid P - Na2045 E - Na1502 D - Na2203 F - MacDH R - Na22203	39	J - DI Water K - FDTA	L-EDA	0 ther:	Total Numbe Special Instructions, Note		6											Sample Disposal ( A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) Return To Client Ppisposal By Lab Archive For Idonths			S-17-19 /18Z, Company	Date Time: Correction Contraction Contract
	Lab PM. Carrier Tr DelMonico, Michael	E-Mait: michael.delmonico@testameritainc.com	Analysis Requested				4		10 59)	wis"	2260B - VOCs 26100B - VOCs 26100B - VOCs	XXA	NNJ	ter la	Ja	ter	ter	ter Trummer and	ter		ter 240-112960 Chain of 000-000	ter		Sample Disposal ( A fit may be assessed Return To Client	Special Instructions/OC Requirements:	Time:	SAF	PADIS Received by H
Chain of Custody	NSN			Due Date Requested:	TAT Requested (days):	10	PO#: M1001318.0002.00002 W/C.J. S.Y. C.C.C.C.	wo <i>#:</i> Cadena #: E203631	Project #: 24015353	SSOW#:	Sample Matrix Type (www.ssee C=comp, owerseld, Time G=crash) www.sseed	Preserva	5-17-19 1225 6 Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	n B 🗌 Unknown 🦳 Radiological		Date:	5-17-19 / 1836 Company	Date Time. Corpany 512-119 1015 Corpany Date Time.
4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Phone (330) 497-9396 Fax (330) 497-0772			S, Inc			:40: 272:		Email: Caitin.ONeili@arcadis.com		Site:	Sample Identification		MW-162S-0SIJA											Possible Hazard Identification Non-Hazard Clammable Skin Irrilant Poison B	Verable Requested: 1, 11, 11, 11, VV Other (specify)	KitiRelinquished by	Enjed by.	Relacutation by Carl BUC NGUL

# **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

## Client Sample ID: MW-162S\_051719 Date Collected: 05/17/19 12:25 Date Received: 05/21/19 09:00

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/29/19 13:40	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	85		63 - 125					05/29/19 13:40	1	
Method: 8260B - Volatile Or	ganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)							i
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
I,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1	
Fetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1	
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1	
Frichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/30/19 22:43	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	97		70 - 121					05/30/19 22:43	1	
-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100		59 - 120					05/30/19 22:43	1	
oluene-d8 (Surr)	90		70 - 123					05/30/19 22:43	1	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		75 - 128					05/30/19 22:43	1	

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-112960-1

# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton