

3/19/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1903304

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/12/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



DATE COMPLETED:

WORK ORDER #: 1903304

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

630 Plaza Drive

Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # MI001454,0004,0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 03/12/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

03/19/2019

 FRACTION #
 NAME
 TEST
 VAC./PRES.
 PRESSURE

 01A
 AA-12017BREWSTER-01_030719
 Modified TO-15
 4.3 "Hg
 5.3 psi

02A Modified TO-15 9.8 "Hg IAF-12017BREWSTER-03 030719 5 psi Modified TO-15 2.2 "Hg 03A IAG-12017BREWSTER-01 030719 5.1 psi 04A DUP-12017Brewster-01_030719 Modified TO-15 3.5 "Hg 5.1 psi DUP-12017Brewster-02_030719 Modified TO-15 1.8 "Hg 5 psi 05A(cancelled) Lab Blank Modified TO-15 06A NA NA 07A **CCV** Modified TO-15 NA NA 08A LCS Modified TO-15 NA NA 08AA **LCSD** Modified TO-15 NA NA

	12	eide The	ryes		
CERTIFIED BY:	0		0	DATE:	03/19/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903304

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 12, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

Sample DUP-12017Brewster-02_030719 was cancelled on 03/18/2019 per client's request.

Analytical Notes

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates



as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-12017BREWSTER-01_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 04:44 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 11:06 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.59

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.57	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.065	0.54	1.1	0.24 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.099	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.092	0.43	0.85	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: IAF-12017BREWSTER-03_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 05:20 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 02:00 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.99

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.15	0.39	0.79	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.17	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.18	0.39	0.79	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.082	0.67	1.3	0.25 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.12	0.39	0.79	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.12	0.53	1.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.072	0.25	0.51	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAG-12017BREWSTER-01_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 05:57 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.26	0.53	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.060	0.50	0.99	0.24 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.091	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.085	0.39	0.78	0.33 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.053	0.19	0.37	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: DUP-12017Brewster-01_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 06:33 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.52

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.27	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.062	0.52	1.0	0.26 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.095	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.088	0.41	0.82	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.055	0.19	0.39	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903304-06A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 3/18/19 10:14 AM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22031805a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	0.18 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1903304-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 08:01 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22031802

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	103
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	115
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	104
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	100
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1903304-08A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 09:03 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22031803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	98
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	116
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	92
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	83
richloroethene	79-01-6	95
inyl Chloride	75-01-4	102

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

eurofins Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1903304-08AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 09:37 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22031804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	117
s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	108
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	93
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	82
richloroethene	79-01-6	94
inyl Chloride	75-01-4	102

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 19, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903304 Sample date: 2019-03-08

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-19

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-19

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

METHOD BLANKS had detections BELOW the Reporting Limit (RL) as noted below. Client sample results were either non-detect for these analytes or had concentrations greater than 5X the method blank levels so qualification of client sample results was not required:

TO-15 - 1,4-DIOXANE.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903304

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-19

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32261R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903304 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample			Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA-12017BREWSTER- 01_030719	1903304-01A	Air	3/8/2019		Х		
	IAF-12017BREWSTER- 03_030719	1903304-02A	Air	3/8/2019		Х		
1903304	IAG- 12017BREWSTER- 01_030719	1903304-03A	Air	3/8/2019		X		
	DUP- 12017BREWSTER- 01_030719	1903304-04A	Air	3/8/2019	AA-12017 BREWSTER- 01_030719	X		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		rmance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/N	/IS)	_		
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		X	
Tier III Validation			·		
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 1, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 2, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-12017BREWSTER-01_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 04:44 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 11:06 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.59

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.57	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.065	0.54	1.1	0.24 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.099	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.092	0.43	0.85	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: IAF-12017BREWSTER-03_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 05:20 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 02:00 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.99

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.15	0.39	0.79	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.17	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.18	0.39	0.79	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.082	0.67	1.3	0.25 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.12	0.39	0.79	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.12	0.53	1.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.072	0.25	0.51	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95	



Client ID: IAG-12017BREWSTER-01_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 05:57 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.26	0.53	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.060	0.50	0.99	0.24 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.091	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.085	0.39	0.78	0.33 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.053	0.19	0.37	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: DUP-12017Brewster-01_030719

Lab ID: 1903304-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/18/19 06:33 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.52

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.27	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.062	0.52	1.0	0.26 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.095	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.088	0.41	0.82	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.055	0.19	0.39	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

PID:

1903304 Workorder #: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1.1-DCE, cis-1.2-Client: Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Ford LTP Project Name: 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sturner . H. Ladd Sampler: Lab Use Only Instructions/Notes) Site Name: 12017 Brewster Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He #E203631. Level IV Reporting Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Receipt Lab Flow Controller Information Information Sample Identification Can # ID Date Date Time Time -29 6LD815 1106 AA-12017BREWSTER-01_030719 6L1234 22413 1406 1400 -9.5 -29 IAF-12017BREWSTER-03_030719 1408 -4 6L0306 21370 130 IAG-12017BREWSTER-01_030719 DUP-12017 Brewster-01-030719 6L0184 22486 -5 -29 OSA DW-12017Brewster-02_030719 6L1005 22880 -29 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiligtion) Time (0015 16 160 O Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



3/19/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1903307R1

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/12/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



WORK ORDER #: 1903307R1

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

630 Plaza Drive

Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

DECEIDT

TETNIAT

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 03/12/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 03/18/2019 **DATE REISSUED:** 03/19/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-12017BREWSTER-01_030819	TO-15	6.0 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	Heid	iji	ayes		
CERTIFIED BY:			0	DATE:	03/19/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903307R1

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on March 12, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

The work order was reissued on 3/19/2019 to correct identification of sample SSMP-12017BREWSTER-01_030819 due to laboratory transcription error.

Analytical Notes

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
 - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
 - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-12017BREWSTER-01_030819

 Lab ID:
 1903307R1-01A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 3/16/19 12:05 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 01:34 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.6	9.1	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.5	6.8	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	5.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: Lab Blank

Lab ID: 1903307R1-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/15/19 01:19 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a031505a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.4	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.61	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.86	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.48	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1903307R1-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/15/19 11:13 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a031502

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	107
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	102
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	101

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1903307R1-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/15/19 12:15 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a031503

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	114
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	124
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	94
richloroethene	79-01-6	105
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1903307R1-04AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/15/19 12:40 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a031504

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	113
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	122
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
richloroethene	79-01-6	104
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	109

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



REVISED REPORT: March 19, 2019

REVISION SUMMARY: Sample ID revised in lab report to match COC sample identification.

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903307 Sample date: 2019-03-08

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-19

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-19

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903307R1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-19

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32262R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903307R1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1903307R1	SSMP- 12017BREWSTER- 01_030819	1903307-01A	Air	3/8/2019		Х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		Reported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 1, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 2, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: SSMP-12017BREWSTER-01_030819

 Lab ID:
 1903307R1-01A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 3/16/19 12:05 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/8/19 01:34 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a031518

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.6	9.1	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.5	6.8	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	5.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only

Workorder #:

PID:

1903307

Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Ford PID: NA Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. STURNEY, H. Ladd Sampler: Lab Use Only Instructions/Notes) 12017 Brewster TO-15 (See Special Site Name: Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Receipt Flow Information Sample Identification Information Can# ID Controller # Date Time Date Time 24212 () A SSMP-12017BREWSTER-01_()30819 113096 1337 1324 3/8/19 Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time 1600 1045 Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922