ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison 777 New Durham Road Edison, NJ 08817 Tel: (732)549-3900

Laboratory Job ID: 460-196827-2 Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mote Del Your

Authorized for release by: 12/11/2019 3:04:02 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

.....LINKS

Review your project results through

Total Access

Have a Question?



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

1 1

12

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site Laboratory Job ID: 460-196827-2

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Detection Summary	5
Client Sample Results	6
Surrogate Summary	7
QC Sample Results	8
QC Association Summary	10
Lab Chronicle	11
Certification Summary	12
Method Summary	13
Sample Summary	14
Chain of Custody	15
Receipt Checklists	17

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA Qualifier Qualifier Description

J Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

DLC

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample

EDL Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)

LOD Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)

LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)

MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)

MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)

MDL Method Detection Limit
ML Minimum Level (Dioxin)

NC Not Calculated

ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

QC Quality Control

RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

12/11/2019

Page 3 of 17

3

1

7

10

1 1

13

14

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 460-196827-2

Job ID: 460-196827-2

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Off-Site

Report Number: 460-196827-2

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 11/14/2019 9:45 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 2.6° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Sample MW-119S_111219 (460-196827-2) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260C. The samples were analyzed on 11/24/2019.

The continuing calibration verification (CCV) associated with batch 460-657905 recovered above the upper control limit for Vinyl chloride. The samples associated with this CCV were non-detects for the affected analyte; therefore, the data have been reported.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GC/MS)

Sample MW-119S_111219 (460-196827-2) was analyzed for Volatile organic compounds (GC/MS) in accordance with SW-846 Method 8260C SIM. The samples were analyzed on 11/21/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

4

6

7

10

12

13

14

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac D Method	Prep Type
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.31 J	1.0	0.22 ug/L	1	Total/NA

4

O

ا

9

4 4

12

14

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: MW-119S_111219

100

Lab Sample ID: 460-196827-2 Date Collected: 11/12/19 11:45 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 11/14/19 09:45

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Method: 8260C SIM - Volat	ile Organic Co	mpounds ((GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.33	ug/L			11/21/19 17:21	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99		72 - 133					11/21/19 17:21	1
Method: 8260C - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds by G	C/MS						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.31	J	1.0	0.22	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.25	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	Ü	1.0	0.24	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.17	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		74 - 132					11/24/19 18:05	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		80 - 120					11/24/19 18:05	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	109		72 - 131					11/24/19 18:05	1

77 - 124

11/24/19 18:05

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	TOL	DBFM	BFB
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(74-132)	(80-120)	(72-131)	(77-124)
460-196827-2	MW-119S_111219	101	104	109	100
LCS 460-657905/3	Lab Control Sample	96	102	103	99
LCSD 460-657905/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	79	86	88	85
MB 460-657905/8	Method Blank	98	105	107	99

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Method: 8260C SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		BFB	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(72-133)	
460-196827-2	MW-119S_111219	99	
LCS 460-657139/3	Lab Control Sample	93	
LCSD 460-657139/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	103	
MB 460-657139/8	Method Blank	97	

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Page 7 of 17

3

4

9

1 1

12

IR

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-657905/8

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 657905

Client Samp	ole ID:	Meth	od Blank	
	Prep '	Type:	Total/NA	

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/24/19 12:31	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.22	ug/L			11/24/19 12:31	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.25	ug/L			11/24/19 12:31	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.24	ug/L			11/24/19 12:31	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/24/19 12:31	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.17	ug/L			11/24/19 12:31	1

	MB MB				
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98	74 - 132		11/24/19 12:31	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	105	80 - 120		11/24/19 12:31	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	107	72 - 131		11/24/19 12:31	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	77 - 124		11/24/19 12:31	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-657905/3

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 657905

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	20.0	21.2		ug/L		106	74 - 123	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	18.9		ug/L		94	80 - 120	
Tetrachloroethene	20.0	21.0		ug/L		105	78 - 122	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	20.5		ug/L		102	79 - 120	
Trichloroethene	20.0	20.1		ug/L		100	77 - 120	
Vinyl chloride	20.0	26.4		ug/L		132	62 - 138	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96		74 - 132
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	102		80 - 120
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		72 - 131
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99		77 - 124

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-657905/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 657905

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	20.0	20.4		ug/L		102	74 - 123	4	30
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	19.8		ug/L		99	80 - 120	5	30
Tetrachloroethene	20.0	19.9		ug/L		99	78 - 122	5	30
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	20.7		ug/L		103	79 - 120	1	30
Trichloroethene	20.0	20.1		ug/L		101	77 - 120	0	30
Vinyl chloride	20.0	27.3		ug/L		137	62 - 138	3	30

	LCSD	LCSD	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	79		74 - 132
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	86		80 - 120
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	88		72 - 131

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Page 8 of 17

12/11/2019

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2 Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-657905/4 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup **Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 657905

LCSD LCSD

Surrogate Limits %Recovery Qualifier 4-Bromofluorobenzene 77 - 124 85

Method: 8260C SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-657139/8 Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 657139

MB MB Result Qualifier **MDL** Unit Analyte RL D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 2.0 0.33 ug/L 11/21/19 13:34

MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 4-Bromofluorobenzene 97 72 - 133 11/21/19 13:34

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-657139/3 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 657139

LCS LCS Spike

%Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 1.4-Dioxane 5.00 4.19 ug/L 84 66 - 135 0.0500 Chloroform 0.10 U ug/L 101 59 - 150

LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 72 - 133 4-Bromofluorobenzene 93

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-657139/4 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 657139

LCSD LCSD RPD Spike %Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits RPD Limit 1,4-Dioxane 5.00 4.85 ug/L 97 66 - 135 15 30 Chloroform 0.0500 0.10 U ug/L 111 59 - 150 10 30

LCSD LCSD Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 72 - 133 103

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Prep Type: Total/NA

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 460-196827-2

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 657139

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
460-196827-2	MW-119S_111219	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	
MB 460-657139/8	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	
LCS 460-657139/3	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	
LCSD 460-657139/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	

Analysis Batch: 657905

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
460-196827-2	MW-119S_111219	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
MB 460-657905/8	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
LCS 460-657905/3	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
LCSD 460-657905/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	8260C	

_1

4

6

7

9

1 4

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Date Collected: 11/12/19 11:45

Date Received: 11/14/19 09:45

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C		1	657905	11/24/19 18:05	VZD	TAL EDI
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C SIM		1	657139	11/21/19 17:21	MZS	TAL EDI

Laboratory References:

TAL EDI = Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

3

4

6

Q

10

11

13

14

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
Connecticut	State	PH-0200	09-30-20
DE Haz. Subst. Cleanup Act (HSCA)	State	<cert no.=""></cert>	12-31-21
Georgia	State	12028 (NJ)	06-30-20
Massachusetts	State	M-NJ312	06-30-20
Massachusetts	State Program	M-NJ312	06-30-20
New Jersey	NELAP	12028	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	11452	04-01-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00522	02-28-20
Rhode Island	State	LAO00132	12-30-19
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-18-00135	05-03-21

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20
Illinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20
Iowa	State	421	06-01-20
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-19
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	3506	07-31-21
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-20
Washington	State	C971	01-12-20
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-19

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 460-196827-2

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260C	Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS	SW846	TAL EDI
8260C SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL EDI
5030C	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL EDI

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL EDI = Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

9

Λ

6

7

8

11

16

14

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 460-196827-2

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
460-196827-2	MW-119S_111219	Water	11/12/19 11:45	11/14/19 09:45	

3

4

6

Q

9

11

12

14

Page 15 of 17

12/11/2019

Other Page__ Other The appropriate Project Manager and Department Manager should be notified about the samples which were pH adjusted. (pH<2) Total Phos Samples for Metal analysis which are out of compliance must be acidified at least 24 hours prior to analysis. (pH>12) Total Cyanide (pH<2) ე ე Cooler #8: Cooler #9: Cooler #7: (pH<2) TKN Expiration Date: Volume of Preservative used (ml): Phenols Sulfide (6<Hd) Receipt Temperature and pH Log **Eurofins TestAmerica Edison** (pH<2) **Cooler Temperatures** EPH or QAM (pH<2) If pH adjustments are required record the information below: ψ (bH 2-9) Pest * Metals Hardness Cooler #4: Cooler #6: Cooler #5: (pH<2) IR Gun # (pH<2) Nitrate Nitrite (pH<2) ပ္ ပ္ (pH<2) COD Preservative Name/Conc.: Sample No(s). adjusted: Lot # of Preservative(s): Cooler #1: トゥ ပ္ Ammonia (pH<2) Cooler #3: Cooler #2: TALS Sample Number Number of Coolers: Job Number:

₽.

EDS-WI-038, Rev 4.1 10/22/2019

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job Number: 460-196827-2

Login Number: 196827

List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

List Number: 1

Creator: Rivera, Kenneth

oroaton ravora, resimon		
Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a survey meter.</td <td>N/A</td> <td></td>	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	1055285
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	False	Containers recd broken. Sufficient sample in remaining containers for analysis.
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



December 11, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016346.0002B

Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: TestAmerica - Edison Laboratory submittal: 196827-2 Sample date: 2019-11-12

Report received by CADENA: 2019-11-27

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-11-29

Number of Samples:1 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

GCMS VOC QC batch CCV response outliers as noted in the laboratory submittal case narrative were not used to qualify client sample results as part of this level 2 data package verification review.

GCMS VOC QC batch MS/MSD recovery outliers were not determined using a client sample so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, LCS/LCD RPD, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-Edison Laboratory Submittal: 196827-2

		Collection Date	Collection Time			
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	GCMS VOC Volatiles	GCMS VOC SIM	Comment
4601968272	MW-119S_111219	11/12/2019	11:45:00	х	Х	

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica - Edison Laboratory Submittal: 196827-2

		Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW-119S_111219 4601968272 11/12/2019 Report		Valid	
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC OSW-826	nc					
0311-820	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.31	1.0	ug/l	J
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
GC/MS SVOC						
<u>OSW-826</u>						
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 460-196827-2

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-11-29

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica

Edison, New Jersey

Report #35155R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00002

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 460-196827-2 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	VOC (SIM)	MISC
460-196827-2	MW-119S_111219	460-196827-2	Water	11/12/2019		Х		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Performance Acceptable		Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		X	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		X	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		X	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		X	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits, with the exception of the compounds presented in the following table.

Sample Locations	Initial/Continuing	Compound	Criteria		
MW-119S_111219	CCV %D	Vinyl chloride	+27.1%		

The criteria used to evaluate the initial and continuing calibration are presented in the following table. In the case of a calibration deviation, the sample results are qualified.

DATA REVIEW

Initial/Continuing	Criteria	Sample Result	Qualification
	%D >20% (increase in sensitivity)	Non-detect	No Action
	70D >20 70 (IIIClease III serisiuvity)	Detect	J
Continuing Calibration	%D >20% (decrease in sensitivity)	Non-detect	UJ
Continuing Calibration	%D >20% (decrease in sensitivity)	Detect	J
	9/D - 000/ (increase/decrease in consitiuity)	Non-detect	R
	%D >90% (increase/decrease in sensitivity)	Detect	J

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM		Reported		ormance eptable	Not	
		Yes	No	Yes	Required	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)				
Tier II Validation						
Holding times/Preservation		Х		X		
Tier III Validation			·			
System performance and column resolution		X		X		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X		
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X		
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X		
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X		
Internal standard		Х		X		
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X		
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 16, 2019

a Kaji

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: December 18, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

Page 15 of 17

12/11/2019

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196827-2

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: MW-119S_111219

100

Lab Sample ID: 460-196827-2 Date Collected: 11/12/19 11:45 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 11/14/19 09:45

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Method: 8260C SIM - Volat	ile Organic Co	mpounds ((GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.33	ug/L			11/21/19 17:21	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99		72 - 133					11/21/19 17:21	1
Method: 8260C - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds by G	C/MS						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.31	J	1.0	0.22	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.25	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	Ü	1.0	0.24	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.17	ug/L			11/24/19 18:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		74 - 132					11/24/19 18:05	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		80 - 120					11/24/19 18:05	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	109		72 - 131					11/24/19 18:05	1

77 - 124

11/24/19 18:05