

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Canton
4101 Shuffel Street NW
North Canton, OH 44720
Tel: (330)497-9396

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Drive
Suite 500
Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey



Authorized for release by:
3/14/2019 10:07:32 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I
(330)497-9396
michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

LINKS

Review your project
results through
TotalAccess

Have a Question?



Visit us at:
www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	6
Sample Summary	7
Detection Summary	8
Client Sample Results	9
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
*	LCS or LCSD is outside acceptance limits.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
α	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Job ID: 240-109017-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 240-109017-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

TestAmerica Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The sample was received on 3/7/2019 8:15 AM; the sample arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 2.4° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Sample SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519 (240-109017-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The sample was analyzed on 03/07/2019.

Vinyl chloride failed the recovery criteria high for LCS 240-370674/4. Refer to the QC report for details.

The continuing calibration verification (CCV) associated with batch 370674 recovered above the upper control limit for Vinyl Chloride. The samples associated with this CCV were non-detects for the affected analyte; therefore, the data have been reported. The following sample is impacted: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519 (240-109017-1).

The laboratory control sample (LCS) for 370674 recovered outside control limits for one or multiple analytes. These analytes were biased high in the LCS and were not detected in the associated samples; therefore, the data have been reported: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519 (240-109017-1) and (LCS 240-370674/4).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Job ID: 240-109017-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton (Continued)

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519 (240-109017-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 03/07/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396



Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
240-109017-1	SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Water	03/05/19 10:25	03/07/19 08:15

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519

Lab Sample ID: 240-109017-1

No Detections.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

TestAmerica Canton

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519

Lab Sample ID: 240-109017-1

Date Collected: 03/05/19 10:25

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 03/07/19 08:15

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/07/19 15:06	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84		63 - 125					03/07/19 15:06	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U *	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	118		70 - 121					03/07/19 20:40	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		59 - 120					03/07/19 20:40	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	114		70 - 123					03/07/19 20:40	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	107		75 - 128					03/07/19 20:40	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)			
		DCA (70-121)	BFB (59-120)	TOL (70-123)	DBFM (75-128)
240-108787-B-3 MS	Matrix Spike	101	103	112	87
240-108787-B-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	90	91	101	80
240-109017-1	SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	118	97	114	107
LCS 240-370674/4	Lab Control Sample	109	109	116	101
MB 240-370674/6	Method Blank	115	97	112	104

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)
 BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)
 TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)
 DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA
		(63-125)
240-108818-F-8 MS	Matrix Spike	82
240-108818-F-8 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	84
240-109017-1	SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	84
LCS 240-370673/4	Lab Control Sample	82
MB 240-370673/5	Method Blank	84

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA
		(10-150)
MRL 240-370673/6	Lab Control Sample	84

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-370674/6

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 370674

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/07/19 12:55	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/07/19 12:55	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/07/19 12:55	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/07/19 12:55	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/07/19 12:55	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/07/19 12:55	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	115		70 - 121		03/07/19 12:55	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		59 - 120		03/07/19 12:55	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	112		70 - 123		03/07/19 12:55	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		75 - 128		03/07/19 12:55	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-370674/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 370674

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	11.2		ug/L		112	65 - 139
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	76 - 128
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	8.10		ug/L		81	74 - 130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	78 - 133
Trichloroethene	10.0	8.07		ug/L		81	76 - 125
Vinyl chloride	10.0	14.6 *		ug/L		146	58 - 143

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	109		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	109		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	116		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101		75 - 128

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-370674/5

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 370674

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	MRL Result	MRL Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Vinyl chloride	0.00100	0.00125		ng/uL		125	10 - 150

Lab Sample ID: 240-108787-B-3 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 370674

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	45.0		ug/L		90	53 - 140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	47.3		ug/L		95	64 - 130
Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	35.3		ug/L		71	51 - 136
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	45.3		ug/L		91	68 - 133
Trichloroethene	0.76	J	50.0	37.5		ug/L		73	55 - 131

TestAmerica Canton

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-108787-B-3 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370674

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Vinyl chloride	5.0	U *	50.0	64.9		ug/L		130	43 - 154
Surrogate	MS %Recovery	MS Qualifier	Limits						
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		70 - 121						
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	103		59 - 120						
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	112		70 - 123						
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	87		75 - 128						

Lab Sample ID: 240-108787-B-3 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370674

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	48.7		ug/L		97	53 - 140	8	35
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	45.7		ug/L		91	64 - 130	3	21
Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	39.8		ug/L		80	51 - 136	12	23
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U	50.0	48.8		ug/L		98	68 - 133	7	24
Trichloroethene	0.76	J	50.0	40.2		ug/L		79	55 - 131	7	23
Vinyl chloride	5.0	U *	50.0	63.0		ug/L		126	43 - 154	3	29
Surrogate	MSD %Recovery	MSD Qualifier	Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	90		70 - 121								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91		59 - 120								
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		70 - 123								
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	80		75 - 128								

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-370673/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370673

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/07/19 11:22	1
Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84		63 - 125					03/07/19 11:22	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-370673/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370673

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	10.0	11.8		ug/L		118	59 - 131
Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	82		63 - 125				

TestAmerica Canton

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-370673/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370673

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	MRL Result	MRL Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	0.00100	0.00116	J	ng/uL		116	10 - 150
Surrogate	%Recovery	MRL Qualifier	Limits				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84		10 - 150				

Lab Sample ID: 240-108818-F-8 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370673

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	11.9		ug/L		119	52 - 129
Surrogate	%Recovery	MS Qualifier	Limits						
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	82		63 - 125						

Lab Sample ID: 240-108818-F-8 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 370673

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	11.7		ug/L		117	52 - 129	1	13
Surrogate	%Recovery	MSD Qualifier	Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84		63 - 125								

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 370673

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-109017-1	SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-370673/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-370673/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MRL 240-370673/6	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-108818-F-8 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-108818-F-8 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 370674

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-109017-1	SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-370674/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-370674/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MRL 240-370674/5	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-108787-B-3 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-108787-B-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519

Lab Sample ID: 240-109017-1

Date Collected: 03/05/19 10:25

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 03/07/19 08:15

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	370674	03/07/19 20:40	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	370673	03/07/19 15:06	SAM	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-19 *
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-19 *
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	09-06-19
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19
Washington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

26/C2.4

TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 Phone: 248-994-2240 Project Name: Ford LTP Project Number: MI001454.0003 PO # MI001454.0003		Regulatory program: <input type="checkbox"/> DW <input type="checkbox"/> NPDES <input type="checkbox"/> RCRA <input type="checkbox"/> Other		Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis Telephone: 734-320-0065		Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico Telephone: 330-497-9396	
Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Telephone: 248-994-2240 Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com		Analyses Walk-in client <input type="checkbox"/> COCs Lab sampling <input type="checkbox"/> Job/SDG No:		Filtered Sample (Y/N) Composite C / Grab G 1,1-DCE 8260B cis-1,2-DCE 8260B Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B PCE 8260B TCE 8260B Vinyl Chloride 8260B 1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM		Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions: 6 containers	
Method of Shipment/Carrier: Shipping/Tracking No:		Analyses Turnaround Time TAT if different from below <input type="checkbox"/> 3 weeks <input type="checkbox"/> 2 weeks <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 week <input type="checkbox"/> 2 days <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day		Containers & Preservatives H2SO4 HNO3 HCl NaOH NaOH ZnAc NaOH Other:		Matrix Aqueous Solid Other:	
Sample Date: 3/5/19 10:25 Sample Time:		Matrix: X		Containers & Preservatives: X		Filtered Sample (Y/N): NG	



Possible Hazard Identification
 Non-Hazard Immovable Irritant Poison B Unknown

Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)
 Return to Client Disposal By Lab Archive For _____ Months

Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631
 Level IV Reporting.

Requisitioned by: Requisitioned by: Requisitioned by:	Date/Time: 3/5/19 1300 3/6/19 09:20 3-6-19 1039	Company: Arcadis Arcadis TRC	Received by: Received by: Received in Laboratory by:	Date/Time: 3/5/19 1300 3/6/19 901 3/7/19 815
---	--	---------------------------------------	--	---

©2008 TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. All rights reserved. TestAmerica & Design are trademarks of TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.



TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative

Login #: 109077

Canton Facility

Client Arcadis

Site Name

Cooler unpacked by:

Cooler Received on 3/2/19

Opened on 3/2/19

FedEx: 1st Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier Other

Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time

Storage Location

TestAmerica Cooler # 76 Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other
Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other
COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None

- 1. Cooler temperature upon receipt
IR GUN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 2.6 °C Corrected Cooler Temp. 2.4 °C
IR GUN #36 (CF +0.7°C) Observed Cooler Temp. °C Corrected Cooler Temp. °C
2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity 1 Yes No
-Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? Yes No NA
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? Yes No
-Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA
3. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No
4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No
5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No
6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Yes No
7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Yes No
8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes No
9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No
10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes No
11. Are these work share samples? Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.
12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# HC861525
13. Were VOAs on the COC? Yes No
14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this. Yes No NA
15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Yes No
16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Yes No

Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving: VOAs Oil and Grease TOC

Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Voice Mail Other

Concerning

17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES

Samples processed by: JR

18. SAMPLE CONDITION

Sample(s) were received after the recommended holding time had expired.
Sample(s) were received in a broken container.
Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION

Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory.
Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):

VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen:



March 14, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton
Laboratory submittal: 109017-1
Sample date: 2019-03-05
Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-14
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-14

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

LCS recoveries were outliers biased HIGH for these tests and analytes (or one LCS and the associated LCS/LCSD RPD). All associated client sample results were non-detect for these analytes so were not affected by the high bias and qualification was not required:
GCMS VOC QC batch 370674 - VINYL CHLORIDE.

GCMS VOC QC batch CCV STANDARD response outliers as noted in the laboratory submittal case narrative were not used to qualify client sample results as part of this level 2 data package verification review.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

1 Water sample(s) was analyzed for GCMS VOC parameter(s).

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Analytical Results Summary

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 109017-1

Sample Name: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519

Lab Sample ID: 2401090171

Sample Date: 3/5/2019

Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Report Limit	Units	Valid Qualifier
GC/MS VOC					
<u>OSW-8260B</u>					
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
<u>OSW-8260BBSim</u>					
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	---

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-109017-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-14

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica
Canton, Ohio

Report #32342R

Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-109017-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						VOC (Full Scan)	VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-109017-1	SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	240-109017-1	Water	3/5/2019		X	X	

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits, with the exception of the compounds presented in the following table.

Sample Locations	Initial/Continuing	Compound	Criteria
SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Continuing Calibration %D	Vinyl chloride	+35.3%
		Trichloroethene	-21.0%
		Tetrachloroethene	-21.4%

The criteria used to evaluate the initial and continuing calibration are presented in the following table. In the case of a calibration deviation, the sample results are qualified.

DATA REVIEW

Initial/Continuing	Criteria	Sample Result	Qualification
Initial and Continuing Calibration	RRF <0.05	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J
	RRF <0.01 ¹	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J
	RRF >0.05 or RRF >0.01 ¹	Non-detect	No Action
		Detect	
Initial Calibration	%RSD > 15% or a correlation coefficient <0.99	Non-detect	UJ
		Detect	J
	%RSD >90%	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J
Continuing Calibration	%D >20% (increase in sensitivity)	Non-detect	No Action
		Detect	J
	%D >20% (decrease in sensitivity)	Non-detect	UJ
		Detect	J
	%D >90% (increase/decrease in sensitivity)	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J

Note:

¹ RRF of 0.01 only applies to compounds which are typically poor responding compounds (i.e., ketones, 1,4-dioxane, etc.)

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the sample within this SDG.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X	X		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:



DATE: April 8, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 8, 2019




**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



26/C24

TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Client Contact		Regulatory program:		Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis		Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico		Analyses	
Company Name: Arcadis	Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	<input type="checkbox"/> DW	<input type="checkbox"/> NPDES	<input type="checkbox"/> RCRA	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	Telephone: 330-497-9396	Telephone: 330-497-9396	Walk-in client	COCs
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377	Phone: 248-994-2240	Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com				For lab use only			
Project Name: Ford LTP	Project Number: MI001454.0003	Method of Shipment/Carrier:				Lab sampling			
PO # MI001454.0003	Shipping/Tracking No:	TAT if different from below				Job/SDG No:			
		<input type="checkbox"/> 3 weeks				Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:			
		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 weeks							
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 week							
		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 days							
		<input type="checkbox"/> 1 day							
		Containers & Preservatives							
		Matrix							
		Other:							
		Air							
		Aqueous							
		Sediment							
		Solid							
		Other:							
		H2SO4							
		HNO3							
		HCl							
		NaOH							
		ZnAc							
		NaOH							
		Other:							
		Filtered Sample (Y/N)							
		Composite C / Grab G							
		1,1-DCE 8260B							
		cis-1,2-DCE 8260B							
		Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B							
		PCE 8260B							
		TCE 8260B							
		Vinyl Chloride 8260B							
		1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM							
		6 containers							

Possible Hazard Identification
 Non-Hazard
 Irritable
 In Irritant
 Poison B
 Unknown

Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)
 Return to Client
 Disposal By Lab
 Archive For _____ Months

Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631
 Level IV Reporting.

Relinquished by:	Date/Time:	Company:	Received by:	Date/Time:	Company:
<i>Stephanie</i>	3/5/19 1300	Arcadis	NOVI Cold Storage	3/5/19 1300	Arcadis
<i>Cynthia Child</i>	3/6/19 09:20	Arcadis	<i>Jeni Hal</i>	3/6/19 9:01	<i>Jeni Hal</i>
<i>Jeni Hal</i>	3-6-19 1039	<i>Jeni Hal</i>	<i>Jeni Hal</i>	3/7/19 8:5	<i>Jeni Hal</i>

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109017-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519

Lab Sample ID: 240-109017-1

Date Collected: 03/05/19 10:25

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 03/07/19 08:15

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/07/19 15:06	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84		63 - 125					03/07/19 15:06	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U J	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U J	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U ↓	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/07/19 20:40	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	118		70 - 121					03/07/19 20:40	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		59 - 120					03/07/19 20:40	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	114		70 - 123					03/07/19 20:40	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	107		75 - 128					03/07/19 20:40	1

3/14/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 1903201

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/8/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1903201

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	03/08/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	03/14/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Modified TO-15	5.3 "Hg	5.3 psi
02A	IAF-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Modified TO-15	5.9 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAG-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Modified TO-15	6.3 "Hg	4.9 psi
04A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 03/14/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291,
 TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935
 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)
 Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630
 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
Modified TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1903201

Three 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 08, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

<i>Requirement</i>	<i>TO-15</i>	<i>ATL Modifications</i>
Initial Calibration	</=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	</=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
- J - Estimated value.
- E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S - Saturated peak.
- Q - Exceeds quality control limits.
- U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 03:21 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.65
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 07:40 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031310
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.80	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 03:58 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.67
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 09:59 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031311
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 04:38 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.69
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 10:12 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031312
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.36	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.41	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.57	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.57	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.42	0.82	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.33	0.39	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 01:07 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031308c
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.21	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.25	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 08:01 AM
Lab ID:	1903201-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031302
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	87
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	88
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	91
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	88
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	92
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 08:50 AM
Lab ID:	1903201-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031303
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	105
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	83
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	101

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 09:36 AM
Lab ID:	1903201-06AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031304
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	106
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	84
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	103

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 14, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1903201
Sample date: 2019-03-06
Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-14
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-14

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903201

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-14

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32254R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903201 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1903201	AA-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	1903201-01A	Air	3/6/2019		X		
	IAF-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	1903201-02A	Air	3/6/2019		X		
	IAG-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	1903201-03A	Air	3/6/2019		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: April 3, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 4, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 03:21 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.65
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 07:40 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031310
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.80	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 03:58 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.67
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 09:59 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031311
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 04:38 PM
Lab ID:	1903201-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.69
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 10:12 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v031312
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.36	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.41	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.57	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.57	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.42	0.82	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.33	0.39	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

3/14/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 1903203

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/8/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1903203

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	03/08/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	03/14/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030619	TO-15	3.5 "Hg	15.6 psi
02A	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-02_030619	TO-15	4.9 "Hg	15.1 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 03/14/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1903203

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 08, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030619	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 09:36 PM
Lab ID:	1903203-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.33
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 09:52 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031316
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.4	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.3	7.9	2.9 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-02_030619	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 10:03 PM
Lab ID:	1903203-02A	Dilution Factor:	2.42
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 09:39 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031317
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	8.7	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.6	8.2	11
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.2	6.5	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 12:29 PM
Lab ID:	1903203-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031306a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.71	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.68	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.72	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 10:25 AM
Lab ID:	1903203-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031302
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	93
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	85
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	91
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	91

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 10:49 AM
Lab ID:	1903203-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031303
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	84
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	99

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	86
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 11:11 AM
Lab ID:	1903203-05AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031304
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	95
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	101

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	80
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 14, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1903203
Sample date: 2019-03-06
Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-14
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-14

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903203

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-14

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32255R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903203 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1903203	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030619	1903203-01A	Air	3/6/2019		X		
	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-02_030619	1903203-02A	Air	3/6/2019		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: April 3, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 4, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030619	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 09:36 PM
Lab ID:	1903203-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.33
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 09:52 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031316
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.4	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.3	7.9	2.9 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-02_030619	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/13/19 10:03 PM
Lab ID:	1903203-02A	Dilution Factor:	2.42
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 09:39 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3031317
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	8.7	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.6	8.2	11
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.2	6.5	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

PID: _____ Workorder #: 1903203

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

Click links below to view:
[Canister Sampling Guide](#)
[Hellum Shroud Video](#)

Client: <u>Ford</u>	PID: <u>NA</u>	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)		
Project Name: <u>Ford LTP</u>			5 Day Turnaround Time		
Project Manager: <u>Kris Hinskey</u>	P.O.# <u>MI001454.0003</u>		Canister Vacuum/Pressure	Requested Analyses	
Sampler: <u>S JOHNSON, J LUST</u>					
Site Name: <u>12034 Boston Post</u>					

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Lab Use Only		TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)			
				Date	Time	Date	Time			Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He				
01A	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-01_030619	11529	23260	3-6-19	0940	3-6-19	0952	-29	-5			X			
02A	SSMP-12034BOSTONPOST-02_030619	11240	23260 23281 LUST	3-6-19	0927	3-6-19	0939	-29.5	-6			X			

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
	3-6-19	1900		3/8/19	1000
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time

Lab Use Only	
Shipper Name: <u>FedEx</u>	Custody Seals Intact? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922