

2/5/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1901502

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/29/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



### WORK ORDER #: 1901502

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 01/29/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott **DATE COMPLETED:** 01/31/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-12051Stark-01_012319	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAG-12051Stark-01_012319	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-12051Stark-02_012319	Modified TO-15	7.5 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IACS-12051Stark-03_012319	Modified TO-15	8.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide Thayes	
CERTIFIED BY:		0 0	DATE: $\frac{02/05/19}{}$

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



## LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1901502

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on January 29, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

## **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

## **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates



as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-12051Stark-01\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/31/19 11:13 PM

Date/Time Collected: 1/24/19 06:17 PM Dilution Factor: 1.64

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013122

•	0.10#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/ilis)	(ug/iii3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.53	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.39	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.79	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAG-12051Stark-01\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 07:03 AM

Date/Time Collected: 1/24/19 05:50 PM Dilution Factor: 1.61

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013123

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#		0.57		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.31		0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.52	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.39	0.57	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.54	0.98	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.54	0.57	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.78	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.31	0.37	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAF-12051Stark-02\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 07:48 AM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013124

O	0.40#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/iii3)	(ug/iii3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.34	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	0.58	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.43	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.60	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.86	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.35	0.41	0.46	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: IACS-12051Stark-03\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 12:52 PM

Date/Time Collected: 1/24/19 06:27 PM Dilution Factor: 1.83

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v020107

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.35	0.65	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	0.59	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	0.65	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.62	0.65	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.45	0.88	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.35	0.42	0.47	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1901502-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/31/19 12:58 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013107a

Compound	CACH	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/mə)		(ug/iiia)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.21	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.25	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1901502-05B

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 12:00 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v020106a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.21	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.25	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1901502-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/31/19 08:44 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	87
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	91
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	87
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	82
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	107

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1901502-06B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 09:12 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v020102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	100
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	86
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	88
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	84
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	95

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1901502-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/31/19 11:05 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	116
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	107
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	90
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	117

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1901502-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/31/19 11:43 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013105

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	102
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	104
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	87
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	115

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1901502-07B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 10:02 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v020103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	100
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	87
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
richloroethene	79-01-6	86
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	109

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1901502-07BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 10:45 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v020104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	117
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	90
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	103
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	89
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	114

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 05, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1901502 Sample date: 2019-01-24

Report received by CADENA: 2019-02-05

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-02-05

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1901502

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-02-05

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32332R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

## **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1901502 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample			Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC	
	AA-12051STARK- 01_012319	1901502-01A	Air	1/24/2019		X			
	IAG-12051STARK- 01_012319	1901502-02A	Air	1/24/2019		Х			
1901502	IAF-12051STARK- 02_012319	1901502-03A	Air	1/24/2019		X			
	IACS-12051STARK- 03_012319	1901502-04A	Air	1/24/2019		X			

## **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Rep	orted		rmance ptable	Not
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1.	Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2.	Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3.	Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4.	Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5.	Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6.	Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7.	Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8.	Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9.	Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10.	Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11.	Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		Х	
12.	Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

## 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

## 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

## 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		orted		eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation				·	
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

## Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 11, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 15, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-12051Stark-01\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/31/19 11:13 PM

Date/Time Collected: 1/24/19 06:17 PM Dilution Factor: 1.64

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013122

•	0.10#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/ilis)	(ug/iii3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.53	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.39	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.79	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAG-12051Stark-01\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 07:03 AM

Date/Time Collected: 1/24/19 05:50 PM Dilution Factor: 1.61

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013123

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#		0.57		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.31		0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.52	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.39	0.57	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.54	0.98	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.54	0.57	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.78	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.31	0.37	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAF-12051Stark-02\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 07:48 AM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v013124

O	0.40#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/ilis)	(ug/iii3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.34	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	0.58	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.43	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.60	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.86	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.35	0.41	0.46	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: IACS-12051Stark-03\_012319

**Lab ID:** 1901502-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/1/19 12:52 PM

Date/Time Collected: 1/24/19 06:27 PM Dilution Factor: 1.83

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v020107

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.35	0.65	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	0.59	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	0.65	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.62	0.65	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.45	0.88	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.35	0.42	0.47	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

1 of 1

## 🐫 eurofins

# **Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody**

Air Toxics

PID: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

For Laboratory Use Only

Workorder #:

1901502

Click links below to view: <u>Canister Sampling Guide</u> Helium Shroud Video

Phone	e (800) 985-5955; F	ax (916) 351-l	3279								Helium	Shroud V	/ideo				
Client		Ford	PID: —		Special In	structions/N	lotes: Report Ol	NLY: 1,1-DCE,	cis-1,2-	7			(Rush sı	urchard	jes mav	appiv)	(Splen)
Projec	t Name: F	ord LTP	_		DCE, tran	s-1.2-DCF	1,4-Dioxane, Po	CE TCE and V	/C Submit	5 day		·-···				***************************************	
Projec	t Manager: Kris	s Hinskey	P.O.# MI001454	.0003							ster Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Rei	quested	Analyses	
Sampl	ler: Hunter 1	eld Medison	.Olender		results thr	rough Cade	na at jim.tomalia	@cadena.com	n. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	<b>1</b>			****
Site N	ame:				#E203631	I. Level IV F	Reporting							peci			
Lab ID	Sample Ident	ification	Can#	Flow Con		Start	Sampling ormation	Stop Sa Inform		initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	al (psig) s: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)			
- 4	11 100=101 1 01	010315	***		***************************************	Date	Time	Date	Time	n iii	ij	Rec	Final Gas:	ნ 🖁			
DIA	AA-12051Stark-01		00000 1949	22488		1-23-19	1817	1-24-19	1817	-30	-6			Χ			IGORE
JLA 1	IAG-1205/Stark-	<u> </u>	610606	20730		1-23-19		1-24-19	1750	-28	-5			X			-
07/A	IAF-12051Stark			20732	•	1-23-19		1-24-19	1824	-29.5	-7			X			
04 A	TACS-1205/Star			21391	·	1-23-19		1-24-19	1827	-29	-8			X			
	SMP-12051Stock-0		<u> 11-2734</u>	23793	***************************************	1-24-19	1745	1-24-19	1758	-28.5	-5			X			
	DUP-12051Stark-1	<u> </u>	1L2857	23267		1-24-19		1-24-19		-30	-5	<b></b>		X			
							<u> </u>			<u> </u>							
												<u> </u>					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>										
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														
										<u> </u>							
Jula	ished by: Signature/Af	•		Date \-25-	19	Tim	300	Received by:	FAT	2		<u> </u>	Date 20	4/19	Time 094	ls .	200000
Kemiqu	ished by: (Signature/Af	tiliation)		Date		Tim	e /	Received by:	(Signature/	Affiliation)		***************************************	Date	4	Time		
Relinau	ished by: (Signature/Af	filiation)		Date				<u> </u>									
	,, (	manury		Date		Tim	<b>e</b>	Received by:	(Signature/	Affiliation)	•		Date	-	Time		
V						lah	Use Only							<del>~~~~</del>			<b>O</b> CON
Shipper	Name: GA EX		Custody Seals Inta	ct?	Yes	No	None	. IA	(SO		***************************************			-			·.
Samp	le Transportation No	ti <b>ce</b> : Relinquish	ing signature on thi	s document in	dicates tha	/ it samples a	re shipped in co	omnliance with	all annlicah	le local. S	itate Fe	ieral and	interneti	ional las	Ne tears	ations an	
ordinan	ces of any kind. Relinq	uishing signatur	e also indicates agr	eement to not	o narmiess	s, detend, ar	nd indemnify Eu	rofins Air Toxic	s against ar	ny claim, d	demand,	or action	, of any k	and, rel	ated to th	e collecti	u on
				nandlin	a, of shipp	ing of same	ies, D.O.T Hoffi	ne (800) 467-4	922								



2/4/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1901512

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/29/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1901512**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 01/29/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott DATE COMPLETED: 02/04/2019

**FINAL** RECEIPT **PRESSURE FRACTION# NAME TEST** VAC./PRES. SSMP-12051Stark-01\_012419 TO-15 5.0 "Hg 01A 15 psi 02A DUP-12051Stark-01 012419 TO-15 4.0 "Hg 15 psi Lab Blank TO-15 03A NA NA 04A **CCV** TO-15 NA NA 05A LCS TO-15 NA NA **LCSD** TO-15 05AA NA NA

CERTIFIED BY: DATE	: 02/04/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



## LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1901512

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister samples were received on January 29, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

## **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

## **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

## **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-12051Stark-01\_012419

 Lab ID:
 1901512-01A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 1/30/19 10:27 PM

 Date/Time Collected:
 1/24/19 05:58 PM
 Dilution Factor:
 2.42

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013018

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.5	8.7	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.5	6.6	8.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.1	5.2	6.5	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: DUP-12051Stark-01\_012419

**Lab ID:** 1901512-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/30/19 10:53 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 1/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.33

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013019

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.4	8.4	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.0	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1901512-03A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 1/30/19 01:50 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013005d

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.4	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.61	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.86	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.48	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	87
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1901512-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/30/19 12:00 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013002

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	102
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	91
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	112
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	86

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1901512-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/30/19 12:36 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013003

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	86
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	90
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	115
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	93

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1901512-05AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/30/19 01:01 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013004

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	97
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	90
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	116
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	92

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 05, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1901512 Sample date: 2019-01-24

Report received by CADENA: 2019-02-04

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-02-05

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1901512

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-02-05

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32333R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1901512 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP-12051STARK- 01_012419	1901512-01A	Air	1/24/2019		X		
1901512	DUP-12051STARK- 01_012419	1901512-02A	Air	1/24/2019	SSMP- 12051STARK- 01_012419	X		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		mance ptable	Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
Sample receipt condition		Х		X		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X		
Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Χ		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Χ		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		Reported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		X	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 11, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 15, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-12051Stark-01\_012419

 Lab ID:
 1901512-01A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 1/30/19 10:27 PM

 Date/Time Collected:
 1/24/19 05:58 PM
 Dilution Factor:
 2.42

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013018

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.5	8.7	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.5	6.6	8.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.1	5.2	6.5	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: DUP-12051Stark-01\_012419

**Lab ID:** 1901512-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 1/30/19 10:53 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 1/24/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.33

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a013019

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.4	8.4	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.0	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

## 🔆 eurofins

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only

Air Toxics

180 B Phon	lue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, e (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-	PID: CA 95630 8279	Workord	er#;		<del>3015</del> 02 19015	· **	45	<u>Caniste</u>	nks belo r Samplin	q Guide	v:		
Client	100	PID: ~	Special I	nstructions/No	tes: Report O	NLY: 1,1-DCE,	cis-1.2-	Т.		Shroud V				
Projec	t Name: Ford LTP								urnarou	nd Time	(Rush si	urchar	jes ma	y apply)
Projec	t Manager: Kris Hinskey	– P.O.# MI001454	4.0003	1S-1,2-DCE, 1,	4-Dioxane, P	CE, TCE and V	C. Submit	5 day						
Sampl	er: Henry Jan Madison	50/ender		rough Cadena	at jim.tomalia	@cadena.com	. Cadena	Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre		Re	queste	d Analyses
Site N	ame:	201000						l		Lab Us	e Only	ectal tes)		
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #		ampling mation	Stop Sa Inform		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)		
iπΛ	AL MARIAL L. M. MINISTER	645 - 10114		Date	Time	Date	Time	1 🖺	Fina	Sec	ina 3as	TO		
DIA	AL-12051Stark-01_012319	00000 1949	22488	1-23-19	1817	1-24-19	1817	-30	-6		<u> </u>	X		
04	[AG-12051Stark-01_012319	610606	20730	1-23-19	1820	1-24-19	1750	-28	-5			X		
97/t	14F-12051Stark-02-012319	6L0798	20732	1-23-19	1824	1-24-19	1824	-29.5	-7			X	┟──┤	
DYA	[ACS-1205 Stark-03_012319		21391	1-23-19	1827	1-24-19	1877	-29	-8			X		
0(12	SMP-1205/Stock-01_012419	JL2734	23793	1-24-19	1745	1-24-19	1758	-28.5	-5			X		
074	DUP-12051Stark-01_012419	1L2857	23267	1-24-19		1-24-19		-30	-5			χ		
رم														
<b>j</b> elinguj	shed by: Signature/Affiliation)		Date	Time										
Relingui	shed by: (Signature/Affiliation)		1-25-19 Date		00	Received by:	FAT	2 '			Date 1/29	/19	Time 094	ls -
				THILE	(	Received by:	(Signature/A	\ffiliation)			Date		Time	<del>-</del>
Relinqui	shed by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by: (	(Signature//	\ffiliation\						
<del></del>						received by: (	(Oliginature)	violiation)			Date		Time	
hipper		Custody Seals Intac		Lab Us			. :				····			
Sampl	e Transportation Notice: Relinquish	no signature on this	document indicate at /	No Samples are	None									<del></del>
ordinand	e Transportation Notice: Relinquish es of any kind. Relinquishing signature	also indicates agre	eement to hold harmless	coamples are defend and i	S⊓ipped in co ndemni& =	mpliance with a	all applicable	e local, St	ate, Fed	eral, and	internatio	nal lav	s, regul	ations, and
<del></del>			handling, of shippi	ng of samples	. D.O.T.Hottic	OHIO MI TUXICS	ayainsi an	y claim, d	emand, d	or action,	of any ki	nd, rela	ted to ti	he collection