

3/11/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1903097

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/4/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



#### WORK ORDER #: 1903097

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 03/04/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 03/11/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-12069STARKROAD-01_022719	Modified TO-15	3.5 "Hg	5.2 psi
02A	IAF-12069STARKROAD-01_022719	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	4.9 psi
03A	IAG-12069STARKROAD-03_022719	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	4.5 psi
04A	DUP-12069STARKROAD-01_022719	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	4.8 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	Heide Player	
CERTIFIED BY:	000	DATE: 03/11/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



## LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903097

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 04, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

## **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

## **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates



as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



**Client ID:** AA-12069STARKROAD-01\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 02:34 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 10:05 AM Dilution Factor: 1.53

		MDL LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount	
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.063	0.52	1.0	0.21 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.095	0.30	0.61	0.11 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.089	0.41	0.82	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.20	0.39	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-12069STARKROAD-01\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 03:10 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.070	0.58	1.2	0.22 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.099	0.46	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: IAG-12069STARKROAD-03\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 03:57 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 10:09 AM Dilution Factor: 1.64

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.067	0.56	1.1	0.13 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.095	0.44	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.21	0.42	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: DUP-12069STARKROAD-01\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 04:34 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 1.67

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.068	0.57	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.66	0.12 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.097	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95	



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903097-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 11:46 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22030805a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1903097-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 09:18 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22030802

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	109
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1903097-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 10:02 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22030803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	100
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	118
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	111
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	106

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1903097-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 10:55 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22030804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	124
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	117
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	89
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	102
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	110

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

March 11, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903097 Sample date: 2019-02-28

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-11

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-11

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description				
<	Less than the reported concentration.				
>	Greater than the reported concentration.				
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.				
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.				
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.				
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.				
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.				
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED				
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.				
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.				
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED				
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.				
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)				
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.				
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.				
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.				
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.				



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903097

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-11

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32117R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

## **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903097 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA-12069STARKROAD- 01_022719	1903097-01A	Air	2/28/2019		Х		
1903097	IAF-12069STARKROAD- 01_022719	1903097-02A	Air	2/28/2019		Х		
	IAG- 12069STARKROAD- 03_022719	1903097-03A	Air	2/28/2019		Х		
	DUP- 12069STARKROAD- 01_022719	1903097-04A	Air	2/28/2019	AA- 12069STARK ROAD- 01_022719	х		

## **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Χ	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Χ	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

## 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

## 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		orted		eptable	Not		
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required		
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)							
Tier II Validation							
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х			
Tier III Validation				·			
System performance and column resolution		X		Х			
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х			
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х			
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х			
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х			
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х			
Internal standard		Х		Х			
Compound identification and quantitation							
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х			
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х			
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х			
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х			
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х			

## Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: March 19, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 27, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Client ID:** AA-12069STARKROAD-01\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 02:34 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 10:05 AM Dilution Factor: 1.53

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.063	0.52	1.0	0.21 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.095	0.30	0.61	0.11 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.089	0.41	0.82	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.20	0.39	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-12069STARKROAD-01\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 03:10 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.070	0.58	1.2	0.22 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.099	0.46	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: IAG-12069STARKROAD-03\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 03:57 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 10:09 AM Dilution Factor: 1.64

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.067	0.56	1.1	0.13 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.095	0.44	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.21	0.42	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: DUP-12069STARKROAD-01\_022719

**Lab ID:** 1903097-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 04:34 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 1.67

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.068	0.57	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.66	0.12 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.097	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

# Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

1903097

For Laboratory Use Only

Workorder #:

PID: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sampler: S. LINEW, I WST Lab Use Only Instructions/Notes) Site Name: 12069 Stark TO-15 (See Special #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N<sub>2</sub> / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Controller Receipt Sample Identification Information Information Can # ID Date Time Date Time 640385 AA-12069STARKROAD-01\_6227 K 220 7-27-16 1322 2-28-14 005 -29 COOCO 1AF-12069STARKROAD-01\_072719 22178 2-27-19 2-28-19 1310 136 -29 7001 IAG-12069STARKROAD-03\_ 622719 GL1863 2-27-19 2-28-19 29 22861 1000 44972 DJ-12064STARKROAD-01-022719 2-27-19 22202 2-28-19 74 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Arcedis RZ-28-19 1600 Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



3/11/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1903092

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/4/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1903092**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 03/04/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 03/11/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<b>TEST</b>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-12069STARKROAD-01_022819	TO-15	3.0 "Hg	15 psi
02A	SSMP-12069STARKROAD-02_022819	TO-15	5.0 "Hg	15 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	1	eide Tlayer		
CERTIFIED BY:		00	DATE: 03/11/19	

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



## LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903092

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 04, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

## **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

## **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

## **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-12069STARKROAD-01\_022819

**Lab ID:** 1903092-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 05:25 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 12:25 PM Dilution Factor: 2.24

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	8.6	12	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.2	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.0	6.1	7.6	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	4.8	6.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.3	2.9	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: SSMP-12069STARKROAD-02\_022819

**Lab ID:** 1903092-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 05:53 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.1	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.2	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.3	6.6	8.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.3	5.2	6.5	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903092-03A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 3/8/19 01:07 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17030806a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1903092-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 11:37 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17030803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	103
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	116
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	110
richloroethene	79-01-6	108
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	106

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1903092-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 12:13 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17030804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	117
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	99
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	111

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1903092-05AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 12:39 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17030805

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	118
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	97
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	107
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	110

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

March 11, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903092 Sample date: 2019-02-28

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-11

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-11

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

# **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903092

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-11

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32115R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

## **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903092 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1903092	SSMP- 12069STARKROAD- 01_022819	1903092-01A	Air	2/28/2019		X		
	SSMP- 12069STARKROAD- 02_022819	1903092-02A	Air	2/28/2019		х		

## **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

## 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

## 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Rep	orted		eptable	Not						
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required						
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)											
Tier II Validation											
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х							
Tier III Validation				·							
System performance and column resolution		X		Х							
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х							
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х							
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х							
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х							
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х							
Internal standard		Х		Х							
Compound identification and quantitation											
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х							
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х							
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х							
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х							
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х							

## Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: March 19, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 26, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: SSMP-12069STARKROAD-01\_022819

**Lab ID:** 1903092-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 05:25 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/28/19 12:25 PM Dilution Factor: 2.24

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17030809

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	8.6	12	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.2	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.0	6.1	7.6	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	4.8	6.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.3	2.9	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



# EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: SSMP-12069STARKROAD-02\_022819

**Lab ID:** 1903092-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/8/19 05:53 PM

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17030810

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.1	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.2	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.3	6.6	8.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.3	5.2	6.5	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

# **Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody**

For Laboratory Use Only 1903092 Workorder #: PID:

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

Click links below to view: Canister Sampling Guide

Phone (800) 985-	-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279										r Samplir Shroud V					
Client:	Ford	PID:	NA	Specia	l Instructions	Notes: Rep	ort ONLY: 1,1-E	DCE, cis-1,2-	т,				ırcharges			
Project Name:	Ford LTP		· ·							arrier our		Turnarou		may ap	ъріу)	
Project Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.# MI0014	DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC.				Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre			ested A	nalve	~~		
Sampler:			Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.co			adena.com.				se Only	4	<del></del>	natys	# D		
Site Name:	12069 Stark			Cadena	#E203631. Le	evel IV Repo	orting		<u></u>				dote			
Lab ID Sample Identification		Can #	8	low troller#	Start Sa Inform		Stop Sa Inform	<del></del>	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	I (psig) : N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)			
					Date	Time	Date	Time	Initi	Fin	Rec	Final Gas:	nstr			
4 SSMP-12069	9STARKROAD-01_07239	0100002191	230	75	02-28-19	1210	02-28-19	1225	-375	~ 5		T	×		-	
ΟΩΑ SSMP-12069	9STARKROAD-02_0228月	121775	237	753	02-28-19	1304	02-23-19	1316	-24	-5.5		· · ·	×		-	
												<u> </u>				
															-	
										*****						
															$\neg$	
															-	
														┢─┼	$\dashv$	
				***************************************		<del>VIIIII</del>								$\vdash$	$\dashv$	
															$\dashv$	
Relinquished by: (Signature Relinquished Betweet Re	1 / A.	rcadis		-28-19		©O	Received by:		C	171		Date	M19	Time	91:	~~~
realiquished by. (Si	3ugrae/Williarion)		Date		Tîme		Received by: (Signature/		Affiliation)		Date		******	Time		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by:	(Signature/A	ffiliation)	ation)		Date	178-1	Time			
	Ge/G				Lab Use	Only			:			<u> </u>	(C-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	<u></u>	<b>Ministratur</b>	
Shipper Name:	1 C WY	Custody Seals I		Yes	No	Non	e					<del></del>	-	-	<del>/************************************</del>	
Sample Transpo	ortation Notice: Relinquishing si	ignature on this do	cument indic	cates that	samples are s	hipped in co	mpliance with a	all applicable	local, Sta	ite, Fede	ral, and i	nternation	nal laws, r	egulatio	ns, ar	ıd
ordinances of ally k	kind. Relinquishing signature also	o indicates agreem	ient to noia r	iarmiess,	detend, and in	demnify Eu	rofins Air Toxics	against any	claim, de	mand, o	r action,	of any kin	d, related	to the c	collecti	on,
<u> </u>			nanunng,	ວາ ລາຄປາເມ	ig or samples.	v.v.i Hotiii	ne (800) 467-49	22								İ