

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton
4101 Shuffel Street NW
North Canton, OH 44720
Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-125755-1
Client Project/Site: Ford LTP

For:
ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Drive
Suite 500
Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey



Authorized for release by:
2/12/2020 3:09:24 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I
(330)497-9396
michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

LINKS

Review your project
results through
TotalAccess

Have a Question?



Visit us at:
www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
α	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP

Report Number: 240-125755-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 2/5/2020 8:20 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperatures of the 2 coolers at receipt time were 2.5° C and 3.0° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920 (240-125755-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-125755-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 02/06/2020.

The pH of the sample was greater than 2. The sample was analyzed within the normal 14 day holding time; however, experimental evidence suggests that some aromatic compounds in wastewater samples, notably, Benzene, Toluene, and Ethylbenzene are susceptible to biological degradation if sample is not preserved to a pH of 2: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920 (240-125755-1).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920 (240-125755-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The samples were analyzed on 02/06/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396



Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-125755-1	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Water	01/29/20 09:00	02/05/20 08:20	
240-125755-2	TRIP BLANK	Water	01/29/20 00:00	02/05/20 08:20	

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-1

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-2

No Detections.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-1

Date Collected: 01/29/20 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/05/20 08:20

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		63 - 125		02/06/20 14:01	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 18:23	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/06/20 18:23	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/06/20 18:23	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 18:23	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/06/20 18:23	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/06/20 18:23	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		75 - 130		02/06/20 18:23	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		47 - 134		02/06/20 18:23	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		69 - 122		02/06/20 18:23	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	117		78 - 129		02/06/20 18:23	1

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-2

Date Collected: 01/29/20 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/05/20 08:20

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	119		75 - 130		02/06/20 18:47	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	85		47 - 134		02/06/20 18:47	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		69 - 122		02/06/20 18:47	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	127		78 - 129		02/06/20 18:47	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)			
		DCA (75-130)	BFB (47-134)	TOL (69-122)	DBFM (78-129)
240-125755-1	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01	106	94	91	117
240-125755-2	TRIP BLANK	119	85	91	127
240-125766-E-3 MS	Matrix Spike	92	99	101	103
240-125766-F-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	98	90	96	100
LCS 240-421769/4	Lab Control Sample	91	100	104	103
MB 240-421769/7	Method Blank	107	71	91	121

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)
BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)
DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA
		(63-125)
240-125683-C-2 MS	Matrix Spike	100
240-125683-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	98
240-125755-1	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01 _012920	94
LCS 240-421767/4	Lab Control Sample	97
MB 240-421767/5	Method Blank	98

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-421769/7
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421769

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/06/20 14:01	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107		75 - 130		02/06/20 14:01	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	71		47 - 134		02/06/20 14:01	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		69 - 122		02/06/20 14:01	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	121		78 - 129		02/06/20 14:01	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-421769/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421769

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	73 - 129
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.9		ug/L		109	75 - 124
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	70 - 125
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	11.7		ug/L		117	74 - 130
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	71 - 121
Vinyl chloride	10.0	8.36		ug/L		84	61 - 134

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		78 - 129

Lab Sample ID: 240-125766-E-3 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421769

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.86		ug/L		99	64 - 132
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	68 - 121
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.52		ug/L		95	52 - 129
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	69 - 126
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.80		ug/L		98	56 - 124
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	7.76		ug/L		78	49 - 136

Surrogate	MS %Recovery	MS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	92		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	99		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		69 - 122

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-125766-E-3 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421769

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Surrogate	MS %Recovery	MS Qualifier	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		78 - 129

Lab Sample ID: 240-125766-F-3 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421769

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	64 - 132	3	35
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	68 - 121	0	35
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	8.55		ug/L		85	52 - 129	11	35
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	69 - 126	1	35
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.96		ug/L		100	56 - 124	2	35
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	7.54		ug/L		75	49 - 136	3	35

Surrogate	MSD %Recovery	MSD Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	90		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	96		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	100		78 - 129

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-421767/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421767

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/06/20 12:43	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		63 - 125		02/06/20 12:43	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-421767/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421767

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	59 - 131

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	97		63 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-125683-C-2 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421767

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	52 - 129

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

<i>Surrogate</i>	<i>MS</i> <i>%Recovery</i>	<i>MS</i> <i>Qualifier</i>	<i>Limits</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	100		63 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-125683-C-2 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 421767

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

<i>Analyte</i>	<i>Sample</i> <i>Result</i>	<i>Sample</i> <i>Qualifier</i>	<i>Spike</i> <i>Added</i>	<i>MSD</i> <i>Result</i>	<i>MSD</i> <i>Qualifier</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>%Rec</i>	<i>%Rec.</i> <i>Limits</i>	<i>RPD</i>	<i>RPD</i> <i>Limit</i>
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	52 - 129	5	13

<i>Surrogate</i>	<i>MSD</i> <i>%Recovery</i>	<i>MSD</i> <i>Qualifier</i>	<i>Limits</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		63 - 125

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 421767

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-125755-1	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-421767/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-421767/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-125683-C-2 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-125683-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 421769

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-125755-1	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-125755-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-421769/7	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-421769/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-125766-E-3 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-125766-F-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-1

Date Collected: 01/29/20 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/05/20 08:20

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	421769	02/06/20 18:23	LRW	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	421767	02/06/20 14:01	SAM	TAL CAN

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-2

Date Collected: 01/29/20 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/05/20 08:20

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	421769	02/06/20 18:47	LRW	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State	2927	02-23-20 *
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19 *
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20 *
Illinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20
Iowa	State	421	06-01-21
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-20 *
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-20
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-20
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State	3506	08-01-21
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20 *
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00404	12-28-19 *
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-20
Washington	State	C971	01-12-21
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-20

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Canton Facility

Client Arcadis Site Name _____ Cooler unpacked by: _____

Cooler Received on 2-5-20 Opened on 2-5-20

FedEx: 1st Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier Other _____

Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time _____ Storage Location _____

TestAmerica Cooler # 77 Foam Box _____ Client Cooler _____ Box _____ Other _____

Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other _____

COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None

1. Cooler temperature upon receipt See Multiple Cooler Form
 IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. _____ °C Corrected Cooler Temp. _____ °C
 IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9°C) Observed Cooler Temp. _____ °C Corrected Cooler Temp. _____ °C
2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity 2 Yes No
 -Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? Yes No NA
 -Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? Yes No
 -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA
3. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No
4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No
5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No
6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Yes No
7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Yes No
8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes No
9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No
10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes No
11. Are these work share samples? Yes No
 If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.
12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# HC995364
13. Were VOAs on the COC? Yes No
14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this. Yes No NA
15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # NA Yes No
16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Yes No

Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving:

VOAs
Oil and Grease
TOC

Contacted PM _____ Date _____ by _____ via Verbal Voice Mail Other _____

Concerning _____

17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES Samples processed by: RC

18. SAMPLE CONDITION

Sample(s) _____ were received after the recommended holding time had expired.

Sample(s) _____ were received in a broken container.

Sample(s) _____ were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION

Sample(s) _____ were further preserved in the laboratory.

Time preserved: _____ Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): _____

VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen: _____

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



February 12, 2020

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: 30042006.0402.02
Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC
Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton
Laboratory submittal: 125755-1
Sample date: 2020-01-29
Report received by CADENA: 2020-02-12
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-02-12
Number of Samples:2
Sample Matrices:Water
Test Categories:GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

SPV - GCMS VOC sample -001 preservation non-compliance as noted in the laboratory submittal should render all associated results as estimated and qualified with J flags if detected and UJ flags if non-detect.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 125755-1

Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	Collection Date (mm/yy/dd)	Collection Time (hh:mm:ss)	Volatile Organics by GCMS	8260B with Single Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401257551	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	1/29/2020	9:00:00	X	X	
2401257552	TRIP BLANK	1/29/2020	12:00:00	X		

Qualified Results Summary

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 125755-1

Sample Name: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920

Lab Sample ID: 2401257551

Sample Date: 1/29/2020

Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Report Limit	Units	Valid Qualifier
GC/MS VOC					
<u>OSW-8260B</u>					
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 125755-1

Sample Name: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920 TRIP BLANK
Lab Sample ID: 2401257551 2401257552
Sample Date: 1/29/2020 1/29/2020

Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Report	Units	Valid	Result	Report	Units	Valid	
			Limit		Qualifier		Limit		Qualifier	
GC/MS VOC										
<u>OSW-8260B</u>										
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	UJ	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
<u>OSW-8260BBSim</u>										
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	---					

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-125755-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-12

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica
Canton, Ohio

Report #36198R

Review Level: Tier III

Project: 30042006.0302.03



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-125755-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						VOC (Full Scan)	VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-125755-1	SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	240-125755-1	Water	1/29/2020		X	X	
	TRIP BLANK	240-125755-2	Water	1/29/2020		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

Sample SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920 was identified as outside preservation criteria in the laboratory case narrative. See the Cadena Inc. validation report for details.

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X	X		
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 18, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: March 18, 2020



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton --- 4101 Shuffel Street NW / North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 Phone: 248-994-2240		Regulatory program: DW NPDES RCRA Other:		TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. Lab Contact: Mike DeMonico Telephone: 330-497-9396	
Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Telephone: 248-994-2240 Email: krstoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com		Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis Telephone: 734-320-0065		COC No: 1 of 1 COCs	
Project Name: Ford LTP Project Number: 30042006.0302.02 PO # 30042006.0302.02		Analysis Turnaround Time 5 Day		For lab use only Walk-in client Lab sampling Job/SDG No:	
Method of Shipment/Carrier: Shipping/Tracking No:		Containers & Preservatives H2SO4 HNO3 HCl NaOH ZnAc/ Unpres Other:		Analyses 1,1-DCE 8260B cis-1,2-DCE 8260B Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B PCE 8260B TCE 8260B Vinyl Chloride 8260B 1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM	
Sample Date 1/29/2020 Sample Time 9:00		Matrix Aqueous Sediment Solid Other:		Filtered Sample (Y / N) Composite=C / Grab=G	
Sample Identification SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920 Trip Blank		Other:		Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:	
Possible Hazard Identification <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown					
Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631 Level IV Reporting					
Requisitioned by: <i>[Signature]</i> Requisitioned by: DAHCEL BIEBAL Requisitioned by: Molly Maxson		Date/ Time: 1/31/2020 Date/ Time: 1/31/2020 Date/ Time: 2/4/20 1603		Company: ARCADIS Company: ARCADIS Company: ARCADIS	
Received by: <i>[Signature]</i> Received in Laboratory: <i>[Signature]</i>		Received by: Molly Maxson Received in Laboratory: <i>[Signature]</i>		Company: Arcadis Company: Arcadis Company: Arcadis	
		Date/ Time: 1/31/2020 Date/ Time: 2/4/20 1136 Date/ Time: 2-5-20 820		Company: Arcadis Company: Arcadis Company: Arcadis	



240-125755 Chain of Custody

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-1

Date Collected: 01/29/20 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/05/20 08:20

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L	-		02/06/20 14:01	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		63 - 125		02/06/20 14:01	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U J	1.0	0.19	ug/L	-		02/06/20 18:23	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L	-		02/06/20 18:23	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L	-		02/06/20 18:23	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L	-		02/06/20 18:23	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L	-		02/06/20 18:23	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L	-		02/06/20 18:23	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		75 - 130		02/06/20 18:23	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		47 - 134		02/06/20 18:23	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		69 - 122		02/06/20 18:23	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	117		78 - 129		02/06/20 18:23	1

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125755-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125755-2

Date Collected: 01/29/20 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/05/20 08:20

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/06/20 18:47	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	119		75 - 130		02/06/20 18:47	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	85		47 - 134		02/06/20 18:47	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		69 - 122		02/06/20 18:47	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	127		78 - 129		02/06/20 18:47	1

2/6/2020

Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 2001753

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/31/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 2001753

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	30016344.0002B
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	01/31/2020	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	02/06/2020		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Modified TO-15	5.3 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAB-12070BOSTONPOST-02_012920	Modified TO-15	7.1 "Hg	4.8 psi
03A	IAF-12070BOSTONPOST-04_012920	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAG-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	4.7 psi
05A	DUP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	4.7 psi
06A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 02/06/20

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 351-8279

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
Modified TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 2001753

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on January 31, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

<i>Requirement</i>	<i>TO-15</i>	<i>ATL Modifications</i>
Initial Calibration	</=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	</=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 04:23 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.63
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:34 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020411
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.55	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.81	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.39	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	73
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAB-12070BOSTONPOST-02_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 04:58 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.74
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:42 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020412
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.64	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.58	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.25	0.64	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.36	0.64	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.87	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	78
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-12070BOSTONPOST-04_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 06:42 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.71
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:36 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020415
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.57	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.85	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	121
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	82
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 06:07 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.62
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:39 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020414
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.54	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.33	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.81	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.38	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	118
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	75
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 07:17 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.62
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020416
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.54	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.33	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.81	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.38	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	122
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	79
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 12:32 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020406a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.37	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.060	0.34	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.37	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.36	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.20	0.37	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.12	0.50	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.24	0.26	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	72
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	109

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 09:44 AM
Lab ID:	2001753-07A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020402
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	103
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	90
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	117

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 10:33 AM
Lab ID:	2001753-08A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020403
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	102
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	116
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	114
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	121

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	86
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	118

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 11:17 AM
Lab ID:	2001753-08AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020404
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	97
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	117
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	93
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	111
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	112
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	104
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	116

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	85
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	111

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 7, 2020

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: 30016344.0002B
Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 2001753
Sample date:2020-01-29
Report received byCADENA: 2020-02-06
Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-02-07
5 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2001753

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-07

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #36194R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: 30042006.0302.03



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2001753 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2001753	AA-12070BOSTON POST-01_012920	2001753-01A	Air	1/29/2020		X		
	IAB-12070BOSTON POST-02_012920	2001753-02A	Air	1/29/2020		X		
	IAF-12070BOSTON POST-04_012920	2001753-03A	Air	1/29/2020		X		
	IAG-12070BOSTON POST-01_012920	2001753-04A	Air	1/29/2020		X		
	DUP-12070BOSTON POST-01_012920	2001753-05A	Air	1/29/2020	IAG-12070BOSTON POST-01_012920	X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
IAG-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920/ DUP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	All compounds	U	U	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation


%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 18, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: March 18, 2020



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



**NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFIERS ADDED
TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS**



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 04:23 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.63
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:34 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020411
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.55	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.81	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.39	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	73
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAB-12070BOSTONPOST-02_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 04:58 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.74
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:42 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020412
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.64	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.58	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.25	0.64	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.36	0.64	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.87	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	78
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-12070BOSTONPOST-04_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 06:42 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.71
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:36 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020415
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.57	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.85	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	121
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	82
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 06:07 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.62
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 08:39 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020414
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.54	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.33	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.81	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.38	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	118
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	75
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/4/20 07:17 PM
Lab ID:	2001753-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.62
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21020416
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.097	0.54	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.23	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.59	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.33	0.60	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.81	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.38	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	122
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	79
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630
 Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

For Laboratory Use Only
 PID: _____ Workorder #: 2001753

Click links below to view:
[Canister Sampling Guide](#)
[Helium Shroud Video](#)

Client: <u>Ford</u>	PID: <u>NA</u>	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)	
Project Name: <u>Ford LTP</u>	P.O.# <u>30016344.0002B</u>		5 Day Turnaround Time	
Project Manager: <u>Kris Hinskey</u>			Canister Vacuum/Pressure	Requested Analyses
Sampler: <u>Seth Turner, Shantel Johnson</u>			Lab Use Only	
Site Name: <u>12070 boston post</u>				

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze
				Date	Time	Date	Time						
01A	AA-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	6L2720	22645	1/28/2020	9:16	1/29/2020	8:34	-29.7	-7.5			X	
02A	IAB-12070BOSTONPOST-02_012920	6L2701	22090	1/28/2020	9:16	1/29/2020	8:42	-29.7	-8			X	
03A	IAF-12070BOSTONPOST-04_012920	6L2425	21919	1/28/2020	9:16	1/29/2020	8:36	-29.5	-7			X	
04A	IAG-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	6L2711	22605	1/28/2020	9:16	1/29/2020	8:39	-29.7	-7.5			X	
05A	DUP-12070BOSTON POST-01_012920	6L2279	20548	1/28/2020	--	1/29/2020	--	-29.7	-7.5			X	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) / ARCS	Date 1/29/20	Time 1330	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) GFA	Date 1/31/20	Time 0950
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time

Shipper Name: fedex Custody Seals intact? Yes No None Lab Use Only

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

2/7/2020

Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 2001755

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/31/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 2001755

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	30016344.0002B
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	01/31/2020	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	02/06/2020		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	TO-15	5.7 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 02/06/20

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 351-8279

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 2001755

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on January 31, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/3/20 10:17 PM
Lab ID:	2001755-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.49
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 09:13 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3020321
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.5	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.94	5.6	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.78	2.5	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.2	8.4	2.5 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.5	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.86	3.3	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.53	1.6	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/3/20 11:33 AM
Lab ID:	2001755-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3020305a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.46	0.99	2.0	0.47 J
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	2.2	7.2	0.70 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.31	0.99	2.0	0.44 J
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.50	1.7	3.4	0.95 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.42	0.99	2.0	0.58 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.34	1.3	2.7	1.6 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.21	0.64	1.3	0.29 J

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/3/20 10:01 AM
Lab ID:	2001755-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3020302
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	101
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/3/20 10:26 AM
Lab ID:	2001755-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3020303
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	107
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/3/20 10:51 AM
Lab ID:	2001755-04AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3020304
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	108
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	89
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	96

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



DATA VERIFICATION REPORT

February 07, 2020

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: 30016344.0002B
Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC
Laboratory: Eurofins-California
Laboratory submittal: 2001755
Sample date: 2020-01-29
Report received by CADENA: 2020-02-07
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-02-07
Number of Samples: 1
Sample Matrices: AIR
Test Categories: TO-15

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

MBK - METHOD BLANKS had detections BELOW the Reporting Limit (RL) for these analytes. The listed client sample results had concentrations LESS than 5X the method blank levels so client sample results reported below the RL are considered non-detect at the RL and qualified with UB flags and results greater than the RL are non-detect at the sample concentration reported and qualified with B flags :
TO-15 - ALL TARGET ANALYTES DETECTED BELOW RL.
SAMPLE -001 - TETRACHLOROETHYLENE - UB flag.

Sample Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia, Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte/ compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with a approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2001755

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-07

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #36195R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: 30042006.0302.03



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2001755 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2001755	SSMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	2001755-01A	Air	1/29/2020		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					X
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation


%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 18, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: March 18, 2020



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12070BOSTONPOST-01_012920	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/3/20 10:17 PM
Lab ID:	2001755-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.49
Date/Time Collected:	1/29/20 09:13 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd3.i / 3020321
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.5	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.94	5.6	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.78	2.5	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.2	8.4	8.4 2.5 J UB
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	2.5	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.86	3.3	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.53	1.6	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

