

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton
4101 Shuffel Street NW
North Canton, OH 44720
Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-125922-1
Client Project/Site: Ford LTP

For:
ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Drive
Suite 500
Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey



Authorized for release by:
2/14/2020 1:18:04 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I
(330)497-9396
michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

LINKS

Review your project
results through
TotalAccess

Have a Question?



Visit us at:
www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	13
Lab Chronicle	14
Certification Summary	15
Chain of Custody	16

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
▫	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP

Report Number: 240-125922-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 2/7/2020 9:00 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.7° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520 (240-125922-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-125922-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 02/10/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520 (240-125922-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The samples were analyzed on 02/10/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396



Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-125922-1	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Water	02/05/20 15:30	02/07/20 09:00	
240-125922-2	TRIP BLANK	Water	02/05/20 00:00	02/07/20 09:00	

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-1

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-2

No Detections.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-1

Date Collected: 02/05/20 15:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/07/20 09:00

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L	-		02/10/20 22:21	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		70 - 133					02/10/20 22:21	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L	-		02/10/20 19:34	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L	-		02/10/20 19:34	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L	-		02/10/20 19:34	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L	-		02/10/20 19:34	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L	-		02/10/20 19:34	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L	-		02/10/20 19:34	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		75 - 130					02/10/20 19:34	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		47 - 134					02/10/20 19:34	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	89		69 - 122					02/10/20 19:34	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	96		78 - 129					02/10/20 19:34	1

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-2

Date Collected: 02/05/20 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/07/20 09:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		75 - 130		02/10/20 19:56	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	101		47 - 134		02/10/20 19:56	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	93		69 - 122		02/10/20 19:56	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	105		78 - 129		02/10/20 19:56	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA (75-130)	BFB (47-134)	TOL (69-122)	DBFM (78-129)
240-125800-C-11 MS	Matrix Spike	106	98	90	103
240-125800-D-11 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	101	100	93	101
240-125922-1	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_0 0520	102	97	89	96
240-125922-2	TRIP BLANK	106	101	93	105
LCS 240-422154/4	Lab Control Sample	100	100	93	98
MB 240-422154/6	Method Blank	102	93	86	99

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA (70-133)
240-125897-G-3 MS	Matrix Spike	99
240-125897-G-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	101
240-125922-1	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_0 0520	98
LCS 240-422139/4	Lab Control Sample	96
MB 240-422139/5	Method Blank	98

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-422154/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422154

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 13:13	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/10/20 13:13	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/10/20 13:13	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 13:13	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/10/20 13:13	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/10/20 13:13	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		75 - 130		02/10/20 13:13	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	93		47 - 134		02/10/20 13:13	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	86		69 - 122		02/10/20 13:13	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	99		78 - 129		02/10/20 13:13	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-422154/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422154

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.60		ug/L		96	73 - 129
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.67		ug/L		97	75 - 124
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	70 - 125
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.39		ug/L		94	74 - 130
Trichloroethene	10.0	8.93		ug/L		89	71 - 121
Vinyl chloride	10.0	7.42		ug/L		74	61 - 134

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	100		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	93		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	98		78 - 129

Lab Sample ID: 240-125800-C-11 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422154

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Surrogate	MS %Recovery	MS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	98		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	90		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		78 - 129

Lab Sample ID: 240-125800-D-11 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422154

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Surrogate	MSD %Recovery	MSD Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		75 - 130

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-125800-D-11 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422154

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Surrogate	MSD %Recovery	MSD Qualifier	Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	93		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101		78 - 129

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-422139/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422139

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/10/20 12:33	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		70 - 133		02/10/20 12:33	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-422139/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422139

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	10.0	9.74		ug/L		97	80 - 135

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96		70 - 133

Lab Sample ID: 240-125897-G-3 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422139

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	46 - 170

Surrogate	MS %Recovery	MS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	99		70 - 133

Lab Sample ID: 240-125897-G-3 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 422139

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	46 - 170	0	26

Surrogate	MSD %Recovery	MSD Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		70 - 133

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 422139

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-125922-1	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-422139/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-422139/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-125897-G-3 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-125897-G-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 422154

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-125922-1	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-125922-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-422154/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-422154/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-125800-C-11 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-125800-D-11 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-1

Date Collected: 02/05/20 15:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/07/20 09:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	422154	02/10/20 19:34	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	422139	02/10/20 22:21	SAM	TAL CAN

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-2

Date Collected: 02/05/20 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/07/20 09:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	422154	02/10/20 19:56	LEE	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State	2927	02-23-20 *
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19 *
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20 *
Illinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20
Iowa	State	421	06-01-21
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-20
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-20
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State	3506	08-01-21
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20 *
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00404	12-28-19 *
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-20
Washington	State	C971	01-12-21
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-20

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Login # : 125922
Canton Facility

Client Arcadis Site Name _____ Cooler unpacked by: Adam Jensen
Cooler Received on 2-7-20 Opened on 2-7-20
FedEx: 1st Grid Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier Other _____
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time _____ **Storage Location** _____

TestAmerica Cooler # 7A Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other _____
Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other _____
COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None

1. Cooler temperature upon receipt See Multiple Cooler Form
IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 1.0 °C Corrected Cooler Temp. 1.7 °C
IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9°C) Observed Cooler Temp. _____ °C Corrected Cooler Temp. _____ °C

2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity 1 Yes No
-Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? Yes No NA
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? Yes No
-Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA

3. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No
4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No
5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No
6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Yes No
7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Yes No
8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes No
9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No
10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes No
11. Are these work share samples? Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.

12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# HC995364
13. Were VOAs on the COC? Yes No
14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Yes No NA
15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 01177016 Yes No
16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Yes No

Contacted PM _____ Date _____ by _____ via Verbal Voice Mail Other _____
Concerning _____

Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving:
VOAs
Oil and Grease
TOC

17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES Samples processed by: Ag

18. SAMPLE CONDITION

Sample(s) _____ were received after the recommended holding time had expired.
Sample(s) _____ were received in a broken container.
Sample(s) _____ were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION

Sample(s) _____ were further preserved in the laboratory.
Time preserved: _____ Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): _____
VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen: _____

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



February 14, 2020

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: 30042006.0402.02
Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC
Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton
Laboratory submittal: 125922-1
Sample date: 2020-02-05
Report received by CADENA: 2020-02-14
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-02-14
Number of Samples:2
Sample Matrices:Water
Test Categories:GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 125922-1

Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	Collection Date (mm/yy/dd)	Collection Time (hh:mm:ss)	Volatile Organics by GCMS	8260B with Single Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401259221	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	2/5/2020	3:30:00	X	X	
2401259222	TRIP BLANK	2/5/2020	12:00:00	X		

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 125922-1

Sample Name: SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520 TRIP BLANK
Lab Sample ID: 2401259221 2401259222
Sample Date: 2/5/2020 2/5/2020

Analyte	Cas No.	Report				Valid				
		Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	
GC/MS VOC										
<u>OSW-8260B</u>										
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
<u>OSW-8260BBSim</u>										
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	---					

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-125922-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-14

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica
Canton, Ohio

Report #35986R

Review Level: Tier III

Project: 30042006.0302.03

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-125922-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						VOC (Full Scan)	VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-125922-1	SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	240-125922-1	Water	2/5/2020		X	X	
	TRIP BLANK	240-125922-2	Water	2/5/2020		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

DATA REVIEW

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:



DATE: February 27, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: March 6, 2020



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



**NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFIERS ADDED
TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS**



Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-1

Date Collected: 02/05/20 15:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/07/20 09:00

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/10/20 22:21	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		70 - 133					02/10/20 22:21	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 19:34	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/10/20 19:34	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/10/20 19:34	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 19:34	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/10/20 19:34	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/10/20 19:34	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		75 - 130					02/10/20 19:34	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		47 - 134					02/10/20 19:34	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	89		69 - 122					02/10/20 19:34	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	96		78 - 129					02/10/20 19:34	1

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-125922-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-125922-2

Date Collected: 02/05/20 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/07/20 09:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/10/20 19:56	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		75 - 130		02/10/20 19:56	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	101		47 - 134		02/10/20 19:56	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	93		69 - 122		02/10/20 19:56	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	105		78 - 129		02/10/20 19:56	1

2/18/2020

Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 2002277

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/11/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 2002277

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	30016344.0002B
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	02/11/2020	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	02/18/2020		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	TO-15	4.0 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 02/18/20

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 351-8279

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 2002277

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on February 11, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 04:30 PM
Lab ID:	2002277-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.33
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:23 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17021409
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	8.9	12	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.2	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	120
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 12:53 PM
Lab ID:	2002277-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17021405a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	117
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 11:32 AM
Lab ID:	2002277-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17021402
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	84
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	98
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	99
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	86

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	126
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 11:58 AM
Lab ID:	2002277-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17021403
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	100
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	84
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	101
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	87

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	126
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 12:25 PM
Lab ID:	2002277-04AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17021404
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	85
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	93
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	104
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 18, 2020

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: 30016344.0002B
Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 2002277
Sample date:2020-02-05
Report received byCADENA: 2020-02-18
Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-02-18
1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2002277

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-18

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #36192R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: 30042006.0302.03

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2002277 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2002277	SSMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	2002277-01A	Air	2/5/2020		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					X
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation


%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 18, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: March 18, 2020



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



**NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFIERS ADDED
TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 04:30 PM
Lab ID:	2002277-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.33
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:23 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17021409
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	8.9	12	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.2	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	120
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94

2/18/2020

Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 2002278

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/11/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 2002278

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	30016344.0002B
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	02/11/2020	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	02/18/2020		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Modified TO-15	4.9 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAF-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAG-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Modified TO-15	4.9 "Hg	4.9 psi
04A(cancelled)	DUP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Modified TO-15		
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 02/18/20

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 351-8279

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
Modified TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 2002278

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on February 11, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

<i>Requirement</i>	<i>TO-15</i>	<i>ATL Modifications</i>
Initial Calibration	$\leq 30\%$ RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to <math>< 40\%</math> RSD	$\leq 30\%$ RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to <math>< 40\%</math> RSD
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

Sample DUP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520 was cancelled on 02/07/2020 per client's request.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/15/20 01:46 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.60
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:02 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021516
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.36	0.51	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.31	0.46	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.098	0.51	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.31	0.87	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.28	0.51	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.29	0.69	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.33	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	123
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/15/20 01:08 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.68
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:08 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021515
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.38	0.53	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.32	0.48	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.10	0.53	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.33	0.91	1.1	0.90 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.29	0.53	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.31	0.72	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	129
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/15/20 12:30 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.59
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:06 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021514
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.36	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.30	0.46	0.57	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.098	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.31	0.86	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.28	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.29	0.68	0.85	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.32	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	125
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	86
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 11:37 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021505a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.23	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.19	0.29	0.36	0.23 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.061	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.20	0.54	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.17	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.18	0.43	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.20	0.26	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	124
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 09:41 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021502
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	114
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	91
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	105
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	116

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	85
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	110

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 10:20 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-07A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021503
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	82
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	108
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	86
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	81
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	87
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	111

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	84
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	108

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/14/20 10:58 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-07AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021504
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	80
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	84
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	81
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	100
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	83
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	110

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 18, 2020

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: 30016344.0002B
Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 2002278
Sample date:2020-02-05
Report received byCADENA: 2020-02-18
Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-02-18

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

TO-15 QC batch method blank had a detection below the RL for 1,4-dioxane. Qualification of client sample results was not required based on this method blank detection.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2002278

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-18

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #36193R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: 30042006.0302.03

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2002278 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2002278	AA-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	2002278-01A	Air	2/5/2020		X		
	IAF-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	2002278-02A	Air	2/5/2020		X		
	IAG-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	2002278-03A	Air	2/5/2020		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					X
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 18, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: March 18, 2020



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



**NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFIERS ADDED
TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS**



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/15/20 01:46 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.60
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:02 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021516
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.36	0.51	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.31	0.46	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.098	0.51	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.31	0.87	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.28	0.51	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.29	0.69	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.33	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	123
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/15/20 01:08 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.68
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:08 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021515
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.38	0.53	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.32	0.48	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.10	0.53	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.33	0.91	1.1	0.90 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.29	0.53	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.31	0.72	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	129
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	Date/Time Analyzed:	2/15/20 12:30 PM
Lab ID:	2002278-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.59
Date/Time Collected:	2/5/20 03:06 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdv.i / v021514
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.36	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.30	0.46	0.57	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.098	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.31	0.86	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.28	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.29	0.68	0.85	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.32	0.41	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	125
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	86
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

PID: _____ Workorder # 2002278

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

Click links below to view:
[Canister Sampling Guide](#)
[Helium Shroud Video](#)

Client: <u>Ford</u>	PID: <u>NA</u>	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)							
Project Name: <u>Ford LTP</u>			5 Day Turnaround Time							
Project Manager: <u>Kris Hinskey</u>	P.O.# <u>30016344.0002B</u>		Canister Vacuum/Pressure		Requested Analyses					
Sampler: <u>Xenia Chan, Seth Turner</u>			Lab Use Only							
Site Name: <u>12075 BREWSTER</u>			Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze		

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze
				Date	Time	Date	Time						
01A	AA-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	6L2276	21937	2/4/2020	16:08	2/5/2020	15:02	-29.7	-6.5			X	
02A	IAF-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	6L0572	30553	2/4/2020	16:03	2/5/2020	15:08	-29.5	-6			X	
03A	IAG-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	6L1528	23876	2/4/2020	16:04	2/5/2020	15:06	-29.6	-6.5			X	
04A	DUP-12075BREWSTER-01_020520	6L2865	23854	2/4/2020	--	2/5/2020	--	-29.6	-3			X	X
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) <u>Kate Arcadis</u>	Date <u>2/7/2020</u>	Time <u>1800</u>	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) <u>Jay JATV</u>	Date <u>02/11/20</u>	Time <u>1049</u>
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time

Lab Use Only	
Shipper Name: <u>JATV</u>	Custody Seals Intact? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922