

5/14/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1905158

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 5/7/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1905158

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.0001B
FAX: DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	05/07/2019 05/14/2019	PROJECT # CONTACT:	MI001454.0003 Ford LTP Ausha Scott

			KECEH I	I'II (AL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	AA-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAF-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119	Modified TO-15	7.6 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAG-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119	Modified TO-15	7.1 "Hg	5 psi
04A	DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119	Modified TO-15	3.7 "Hg	4.6 psi
05A	DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119	Modified TO-15	4.3 "Hg	4.8 psi
06A	DUP12100BOSTONPOST-03_050119	Modified TO-15	3.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
07A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NĀ
08A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Lai

05/14/19 DATE:

RECEIPT

FINAL

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1905158

Six 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on May 07, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

🛟 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector



r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119 1905158-01A 5/2/19 05:05 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 05:57 PM 1.64 msd20.i / 20050910	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.16	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.48	0.53	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.35	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.69	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.36	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	0.79	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.13	0.38	0.42	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	113
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	94

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119 1905158-02A 5/2/19 05:15 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 06:36 PM 1.79 msd20.i / 20050911	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.52	0.58	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.75	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.40	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.47	0.86	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.41	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	117
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	93

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAG-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119 1905158-03A 5/2/19 05:01 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 07:15 PM 1.76 msd20.i / 20050912	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.51	0.57	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.74	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.39	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.46	0.85	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.40	0.45	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	92

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119 1905158-04A 5/2/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time A Dilution Factor Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 07:55 PM 1.50 msd20.i / 20050913	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.44	0.49	0.54	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.32	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	0.92	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.33	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.72	0.81	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.12	0.34	0.38	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	112
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	95

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119 1905158-05A 5/2/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 08:45 PM 1.55 msd20.i / 20050914	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.15	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.45	0.50	0.56	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.33	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.65	0.95	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.34	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.75	0.83	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.13	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	90

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP12100BOSTONPOST-03_050119 1905158-06A 5/2/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time A Dilution Fac er Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 09:25 PM 1.50 msd20.i / 20050915	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.44	0.49	0.54	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.32	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	0.92	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.33	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.72	0.81	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.12	0.34	0.38	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	93

eurofins

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:**

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 1905158-07A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: **Dilution Factor:** Instrument/Filename:

1.00 msd20.i / 20050906a

5/9/19 11:58 AM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.095	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.29	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.21	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.42	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.22	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.26	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.082	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94

Air Toxics

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	ссч		
Lab ID:	1905158-08A	Date/Time Analyzed:	5/9/19 08:01 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20050902

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	109
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	105
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	109
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	102
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	88

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1905158-09A	Date/Time Analyzed:	5/9/19 08:55 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20050903

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	112
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	110
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	113
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	84
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	102
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	88

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1905158-09AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	5/9/19 09:43 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20050904

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	107
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	120
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	113
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	91
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	95

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	113
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

May 14, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1905158 Sample date: 2019-05-02 Report received by CADENA: 2019-05-14 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-05-14

6 Air samples wer analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1905158 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-05-15

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33014R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0004.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1905158 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		ļ	Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA- 12100BOSTONPOST- 01_050119	1905158-01A	Air	5/2/2019		х		
	IAF- 12100BOSTONPOST- 01_050119	1905158-02A	Air	5/2/2019		х		
	IAG- 12100BOSTONPOST- 02_050119	1905158-03A	Air	5/2/2019		х		
1905158	DUP- 12100BOSTONPOST- 01_050119	1905158-04A	Air	5/2/2019	AA- 12100BOST ONPOST- 01_050119	х		
	DUP- 12100BOSTONPOST- 02_050119	1905158-05A	Air	5/2/2019	IAG- 12100BOST ONPOST- 02_050119	х		
	DUP12100BOSTONPO ST-03_050119	1905158-06A	Air	5/2/2019	IAF- 12100BOST ONPOST- 01_050119	х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

			Reported		rmance ptable	Not
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1.	Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2.	Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3.	Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4.	Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5.	Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6.	Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7.	Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8.	Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9.	Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10.	Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11.	Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		x		х	
12.	Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time Preservatio		Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

DATA REVIEW

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in µg/m³) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
AA-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119/ DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119	All compounds	U	U	AC
IAG-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119/ DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119	All compounds	U	U	AC
IAF-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119/ DUP12100BOSTONPOST-03_050119	All compounds	U	U	AC

Notes: AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	ported	Performance Acceptable		Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	'RY (GC/I	VIS)				
Tier II Validation						
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х		
Tier III Validation		1	!			
System performance and column resolution		X		X		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X		
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X		
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X		
Internal standard		X		X		
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		X		X		
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X		
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		х		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: June 9, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria`

DATE: June 13, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119 1905158-01A 5/2/19 05:05 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 05:57 PM 1.64 msd20.i / 20050910	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.16	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.48	0.53	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.35	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.69	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.36	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	0.79	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.13	0.38	0.42	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	113
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	94

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119 1905158-02A 5/2/19 05:15 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	A Date/Time Analyzed: PM Dilution Factor:		5/9/19 06:36 PM 1.79 msd20.i / 20050911	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.52	0.58	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.75	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.40	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.47	0.86	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.41	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	117
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	93

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAG-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119 1905158-03A 5/2/19 05:01 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	5/9/19 07:15 PM 1.76 msd20.i / 20050912	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.51	0.57	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.74	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.39	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.46	0.85	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.40	0.45	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	92

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_050119 1905158-04A Date/Time ollected: 5/2/19 12:00 AM Dilution Fa 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument		tor:	5/9/19 07:55 PM 1.50 msd20.i / 20050913	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.44	0.49	0.54	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.32	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	0.92	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.33	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.72	0.81	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.12	0.34	0.38	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	112
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	95

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_050119 1905158-05A 5/2/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time Analyzed:5/Dilution Factor:1.		5/9/19 08:45 PM 1.55 msd20.i / 20050914	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.15	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.45	0.50	0.56	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.33	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.65	0.95	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.34	0.55	0.61	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.75	0.83	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.13	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	90

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP12100BOSTONPOST-03_050119 1905158-06A 5/2/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	-06ADate/Time Analyzed:52:00 AMDilution Factor:1		5/9/19 09:25 PM 1.50 msd20.i / 20050915			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.54	0.59	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.44	0.49	0.54	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.32	0.54	0.59	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	0.92	1.0	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.33	0.54	0.59	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.72	0.81	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.12	0.34	0.38	Not Detected		
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	92		
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	107		
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	93		

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

				F	or Laborat	ory Use O	nly			:							
180 B	lue Ravine	Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 956	PID:	v	/orkorder #	*:	05158				en la anteriora de la composición de la	n <mark>ks belo</mark> v "Samplin	w to view a Guide	: ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	La constante de la constante d	77. 19	
Phone	e (800) 985	-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279				1 2. Ci.						Shroud V					
Client		Ford	PID:	NA S	pecial Ins	tructions	/Notes: Repo	rt ONLY: 1,1-D	CE, cis-1,2-	Тт	urnarou	nd Time	(Rush su	rcharges	may ap	ply)	
Projec	t Name:	Ford LTP			CE. trans-	1.2-DCE.	1.4-Dioxane	PCE, TCE and	VC Submit			5 Day	Turnarou	nd Time			_
Projec	t Manager	Kris Hinskey	P.O.# MI00145	54.0003				·			ister Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Requ	ested A	nalys	es
Samp		S. KUNSON S. TURNER		re	sults throu	ugh Cader	na at jim.toma	lia@cadena.co	m. Cadena		[Lab U	se Only	es)		T	
Site N	ame:			#	E203631.	Level IV R	eporting			<u> </u>	6		, e	Not See			
Lab ID		Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Con #	troller		ampling nation	Stop Sa Inform	• •	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	al (psig) :: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)			
2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Date	Time	Date	Time	Initi	Finé	Rec	Final Gas:	linst!			
61A	AA-12607	istallist-d_otal19	621998	2655	2	-1-19	1823	5-2-19	1765	-295	56			X			
	1AF-121Ce	ISTONPOST-CI-OSCIIG	Ca2579	non	S	-1-14	1831	5-2-14	1715	-29.5				\sim			
07A	1AG-1210	COSTONPOST-Q_OSOIIG	C12358	23856	5	-1-19	RC	5-2-19	1701	-29	7.5-			'x			
оца	WP-121a	REFUPST-01-050119	G1912	22189	5	-1-19		5-2-19		-24	-4			X			
05A	DP-1210	allstudest-or_oscily	612463	213-13	5	-1-19		5-2-19		-25	-5			X			
dun	D.H-RKG	RESTONPEST-03-050114	612391	20510	2.	-1-19		5-2-19		-29	-3			X			
	SSMP-121	WRSTONPOST-G1-05014	1-1755	231-12	2	-2-19	1735	5-2-19	1746	-29.5	-6.5			Ά			
alar dara Mari Mari	55-47-121	astallost-2_oseily	11-1599	23610	5	-2-19	1752	5-2-19	1746	-29.5	-7			Χ			
		\sim															
Reling	ished by: (S	Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time	2000	Received by:	(Signature/A	filiation)			Date	/	Time		
		Signature/Affiliation)	1	5-3-1	<u> </u>		<u>30 (B)</u>		$\underline{}$		-SA	a		7((?	09	14	Ĉ
Reinqu	nshed by. (a	sociature/Annation)		Date		Time	.:./.	Received by:	(Signature/A	filiation)	- (Date		Time		
Relinqu	ished by: (S	ignature/Affiliation)	·····	Date		Time		Received by:	(Signature/A	filiation)			Date		Time		
				<u> </u>		Lab Use	- Anly										Santista
Shippe	r Name: 1	VdSv -	Custody Seals In	tact?	105	No	s Only None										
	1	sportation Notice: Relinquishing s			A			· ·	II applicable	local Sta	te. Feder	al, and in	ternations	laws rec	miation	, and	
ordi	nances of a	ny kind. Relinquishing signature als	o indicates agreem	nent to hold har	nless, def	end, and i	ndemnify Euro	ofins Air Toxics	against any	claim, de	mand, or	action, o	any kind.	, related to	the col	lectior	ι,
								e (800) 467-49					-				



6/22/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling Project #: MI001454.0003.00002 Workorder #: 1906312

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/17/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1906312

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.0001B
FAX: DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	06/17/2019 06/22/2019	PROJECT # CONTACT:	MI001454.0003.00002 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling Ausha Scott

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_061119	TO-15	6.5 "Hg	15.4 psi
02A	SSMP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_061119	TO-15	5.5 "Hg	15.3 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Lau

06/22/19 DATE:

DECEIDT

TINAT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1906312

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on June 17, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
- CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	SSMP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_061119 1906312-01A 6/11/19 05:24 PM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2	/19/19 01:53 PM .61 nsdp.i / p061907		
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	4.6	5.2	Not Detected	
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.5	13	19	Not Detected	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	2.4	4.6	5.2	Not Detected	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7	7.9	8.8	Not Detected	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	3.2	4.6	5.2	Not Detected	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.92	6.3	7.0	Not Detected	
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.79	3.0	3.3	Not Detected	
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.					
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	106	
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	97	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101	

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: Date/Time Collected:	SSMP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_061119 1906312-02A 6/11/19 05:20 PM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor: 2.8	19/19 02:21 PM 50 sdp.i / p061908	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.4	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.4	12	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	4.4	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	7.6	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroether	ne 156-60-5	3.1	4.4	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.88	6.0	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.76	2.9	3.2	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the	ne DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	103

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID:Lab BlankLab ID:1906312-03ADate/Time Collected:NA - Not AppMedia:NA - Not App	licable	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.00) 11:25 AM / p061906a	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.95	5.0	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.90	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.64	3.0	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.35	2.4	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.30	1.1	1.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Air Toxics

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1906312-04A	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/19/19 09:32 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061902

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	102
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	102
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	100
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	102
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	130

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	110

🛟 eurofins

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1906312-05A	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/19/19 10:05 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061903

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	107
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	102
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	115
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	104
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	130

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	108

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

🛟 eurofins

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Air Toxics

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1906312-05AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/19/19 10:31 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061904

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	103
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	116
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	104
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	129

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

June 23, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1906312 Sample date: 2019-06-11 Report received by CADENA: 2019-06-22 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-06-23

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1906312 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-06-23

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33373R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0004.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1906312 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection	Parent Sample	Analysis		
				Date		TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1906312	SSMP- 12100BOSTONPOST- 01_061119	1906312-01A	Air	6/11/2019		x		
	SSMP- 12100BOSTONPOST- 02_061119	1906312-02A	Air	6/11/2019		x		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed		Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
		No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition			Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and	sample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list			Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis			Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits			Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date			Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample receiv	ved date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation ver	ification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extra	action/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-	Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Quproblems provided	ality Assurance or sample		х		Х	
12. Data Package Complete	ness and Compliance		Х		Х	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Method Matrix Holding Time		Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15 Air 30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)		Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg	

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					1
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		x		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough C. House

DATE: July 2, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 9, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



🛟 eurofins |

Air Toxics


EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	SSMP-12100BOSTONPOST-01_061119 1906312-01A 6/11/19 05:24 PM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time Analyzed: Dilution Factor: Instrument/Filename:		6/19/19 01:53 PM 2.61 msdp.i / p061907	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	4.6	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.5	13	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	2.4	4.6	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7	7.9	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	3.2	4.6	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.92	6.3	7.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.79	3.0	3.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	97
Toluene-d8 2037-26-5				70-130	101

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: Date/Time Collected:	SSMP-12100BOSTONPOST-02_061119 1906312-02A 6/11/19 05:20 PM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor: 2.5	19/19 02:21 PM 50 sdp.i / p061908	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.4	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.4	12	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	4.4	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	7.6	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroether	ne 156-60-5	3.1	4.4	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.88	6.0	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.76	2.9	3.2	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the	ne DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	103



Custody Seal Intact? (Y)N None Temp<u>NA</u> Fall Ex MIN EAR 6/17/19 0904