

3/2/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1902522

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/25/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



WORK ORDER #: 1902522

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 02/25/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 03/02/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021919	TO-15	2.2 "Hg	16.3 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	14	eide Tlayer		
CERTIFIED BY:		00	DATE: 03	3/02/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1902522

One 1 Liter Summa Canister sample was received on February 25, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
 - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
 - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021919

Lab ID: 1902522-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 01:34 AM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 09:50 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.28

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a022724

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.6	4.5	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.3	8.2	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.6	4.5	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	6.2	7.7	2.2 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.5	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.0	4.9	6.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.3	2.9	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1902522-02A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 2/27/19 01:02 PM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a022705a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.4	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.61	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.86	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.48	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1902522-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/27/19 11:07 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a022702

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	113
richloroethene	79-01-6	107
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	89

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1902522-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/27/19 11:32 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a022703

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	91
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	97
richloroethene	79-01-6	106
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	92

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1902522-04AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/27/19 11:57 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a022704

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	108
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	97
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	91

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

March 03, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1902522 Sample date: 2019-02-19

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-02

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-03

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1902522

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-03

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32072R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1902522 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1902522	SSMP- 12131BOSTONPOST- 01_021919	1902522-01A	Air	2/19/2019		x		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Χ	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Χ	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: March 18, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 18, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021919

Lab ID: 1902522-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 01:34 AM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 09:50 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.28

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a022724

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.6	4.5	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.3	8.2	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.6	4.5	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	6.2	7.7	2.2 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.5	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.0	4.9	6.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.3	2.9	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

PID:

For Laboratory Use Only Workorder #:1902522

Click links below to view: Canister Sampling Guide

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. 5 Day Turnaround Time Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454,0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Sampler: Lab Use Only Instructions/Notes) Site Name: 12131 Boston Post TO-15 (See Special Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Receipt Sample Identification Can# Information Information ID Controller # Date Time Time Date SSMP-12131BOSTONPOST-01_(7)2/00 0938 M50 તુંellૃnquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date, Time 0906 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that eamples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection.

handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



3/2/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1902526

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/25/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



WORK ORDER #: 1902526

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 02/25/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 03/02/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A(cancelled)	AA-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021819	Modified TO-15	1.6 "Hg	5.1 psi
02A	IAB-12131BOSTONPOST-04_021819	Modified TO-15	4.7 "Hg	4.9 psi
03A	IAF-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021819	Modified TO-15	5.3 "Hg	5.1 psi
04A	IAG-12131BOSTONPOST-03_021819	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	5 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	The	eide J	layer		02/02/10	
CERTIFIED BY:				DATE:	03/02/19	

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1902526

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on February 25, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Sample AA-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021819 was cancelled on 2/28/2019 per client's request.

Analytical Notes

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.



File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: IAB-12131BOSTONPOST-04_021819

Lab ID: 1902526-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 09:42 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 09:40 AM Dilution Factor: 1.58

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022822

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1.1-Dichloroethene		0.30	0.56	0.63	Not Detected
1.4-Dioxane	75-35-4	0.33	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	123-91-1	0.38	0.56	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	156-59-2	0.53	0.96	0.63 1.1	Not Detected
	127-18-4		0.56		Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.53	0.76	0.63	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.39		0.85	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.31	0.36	0.40	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021819

Lab ID: 1902526-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 10:19 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 09:53 AM Dilution Factor: 1.64

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022823

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.53	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.39	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.79	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: IAG-12131BOSTONPOST-03_021819

Lab ID: 1902526-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 10:57 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 11:00 AM Dilution Factor: 1.68

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022824

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.57	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.39	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1902526-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 2/28/19 11:53 AM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022808c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.21	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.25	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1902526-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 08:09 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022802

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1902526-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 08:46 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	103
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	113
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	116
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	109

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1902526-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 09:24 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	107
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	106
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	118
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	115
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	94
richloroethene	79-01-6	109
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	112

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

March 03, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1902526 Sample date: 2019-02-19

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-02

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-03

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description					
<	Less than the reported concentration.					
>	Greater than the reported concentration.					
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) to blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.					
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.					
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.					
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.					
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.					
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED					
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.					
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.					
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED					
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.					
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)					
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.					
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.					
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.					
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.					



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1902526

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-03

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32073R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1902526 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1902526	IAB-12131BOSTONPOST- 04_021819	1902526-02A	Air	2/19/2019		Х		
	IAF-12131BOSTONPOST- 01_021819	1902526-03A	Air	2/19/2019		Х		
	IAG-12131BOSTONPOST- 03_021819	1902526-04A	Air	2/19/2019		Х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not	
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1.	Sample receipt condition		Х		Х		
2.	Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
3.	Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4.	Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5.	Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6.	Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7.	Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8.	Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9.	Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10.	Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
11.	Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		Х		
12.	Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		orted		rmance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: March 18, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 18, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: IAB-12131BOSTONPOST-04_021819

Lab ID: 1902526-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 09:42 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 09:40 AM Dilution Factor: 1.58

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022822

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1.1-Dichloroethene		0.30	0.56	0.63	Not Detected
1.4-Dioxane	75-35-4	0.33	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	123-91-1	0.38	0.56	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	156-59-2	0.53	0.96	0.63 1.1	Not Detected
	127-18-4		0.56		Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.53	0.76	0.63	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.39		0.85	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.31	0.36	0.40	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: IAF-12131BOSTONPOST-01_021819

Lab ID: 1902526-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 10:19 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 09:53 AM Dilution Factor: 1.64

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022823

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.53	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.39	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.40	0.79	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: IAG-12131BOSTONPOST-03_021819

Lab ID: 1902526-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/28/19 10:57 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/19/19 11:00 AM Dilution Factor: 1.68

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022824

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.57	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	0.60	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.39	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only

Workorder #1902526. PID: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-PID: Ford NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena SiTurner, H. Ladd Sampler: Lab Use Only Site Name: TO-15 (See Special 12131 Boston Post #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Controller Receipt Information Information Sample Identification Can# Date Time Date Time 6L0376 0 AA-12131BOSTONPOST-01_02\8)9 02A IAB-12131BOSTONPOST-04 021819 611333 03A IAF-12131BOSTONPOST-01_02\8\9 6L1390 DLA IAG-12131BOSTONPOST-03_(3)(3)9 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time 0908 2/25/A Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Date Time Lab Use Only RECO Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes None RIGOR Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mile Del Your

Authorized for release by: 2/27/2019 11:31:16 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

LINKS

Review your project results through

Total Access

Have a Question?



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	13
Lab Chronicle	14
Certification Summary	15
Chain of Custody	16

3

4

6

8

46

11

16

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier **Qualifier Description**

Ū Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
n	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basi

Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis

%R Percent Recovery **CFL** Contains Free Liquid **CNF** Contains No Free Liquid

DER Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)

Dil Fac Dilution Factor

DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)

DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample

Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) DLC

Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) **EDL** LOD Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)

MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

MDL Method Detection Limit ML Minimum Level (Dioxin)

NC Not Calculated

Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) ND

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

QC **Quality Control**

RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) RL

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) **TEQ**

Page 3 of 17

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Job ID: 240-108275-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 240-108275-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

TestAmerica Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 2/20/2019 8:45 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.2° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819 (240-108275-1) and SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819 (240-108275-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 02/21/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Samples SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819 (240-108275-1) and SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819 (240-108275-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The samples were analyzed on 02/22/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

4

5

0

8

10

10

13

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Λ

6

Q

10

12

13

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
240-108275-1	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	Water	02/18/19 10:45	02/20/19 08:45
240-108275-2	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	Water	02/18/19 10:50	02/20/19 08:45

9

4

E

6

8

9

10

12

13

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819

Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-1

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819

Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-2

No Detections.

5

6

1

9

4 4

12

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01 021819

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-1

Matrix: Water

Date Collected: 02/18/19 10:45 Date Received: 02/20/19 08:45

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/22/19 16:36	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	89		63 - 125					02/22/19 16:36	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile Org	ganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	114		70 - 121			•		02/21/19 18:53	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91		59 - 120					02/21/19 18:53	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		70 - 123					02/21/19 18:53	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	120		75 - 128					02/21/19 18:53	1

2/27/2019

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-2

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01	021819
Date Collected: 02/18/19 10:50	

Date Received: 02/20/19 08:45

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/22/19 17:01	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	87		63 - 125			•		02/22/19 17:01	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	108		70 - 121			•		02/21/19 19:15	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	90		59 - 120					02/21/19 19:15	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		70 - 123					02/21/19 19:15	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	113		75 - 128					02/21/19 19:15	1

2/27/2019

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-121)	(59-120)	(70-123)	(75-128)
240-108198-C-2 MS	Matrix Spike	105	99	105	108
240-108198-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	103	98	102	102
240-108275-1	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	114	91	101	120
240-108275-2	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01 021819	108	90	99	113
LCS 240-368915/4	Lab Control Sample	104	106	108	99
MB 240-368915/6	Method Blank	111	95	101	110

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)	
240-108274-C-1 MS	Matrix Spike	90	
240-108274-C-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	91	
240-108275-1	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON	89	
	POST-01_021819		
240-108275-2	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON	87	
	POST-01_021819		
LCS 240-369083/4	Lab Control Sample	89	
MB 240-369083/5	Method Blank	87	

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

TestAmerica Canton

3

6

8

4.0

11

12

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-368915/6

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 368915

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 12:15	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/21/19 12:15	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/21/19 12:15	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 12:15	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/21/19 12:15	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/21/19 12:15	1

MB MB

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	1	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	111		70 - 121			02/21/19 12:15	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	95		59 - 120			02/21/19 12:15	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		70 - 123			02/21/19 12:15	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	110		75 - 128			02/21/19 12:15	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-368915/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 368915

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	65 - 139
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	76 - 128
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	74 - 130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	78 - 133
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	76 - 125
Vinyl chloride	10.0	11.4		ug/L		114	58 - 143

LCS LCS

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	104		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	106		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	108		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	99		75 - 128

Lab Sample ID: 240-108198-C-2 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 368915

Client Sample ID: Matrix S	Spike
Prep Type: Total	al/NA

	MS	MS		
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		70 - 121	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	99		59 - 120	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	105		70 - 123	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		75 - 128	

Lab Sample ID: 240-108198-C-2 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 368915

MSD MSD

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 103 70 - 121 Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

TestAmerica Canton

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-108198-C-2 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 368915

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

 Surrogate
 MRD MRD

 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)
 98
 59 - 120

 Toluene-d8 (Surr)
 102
 70 - 123

 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)
 102
 75 - 128

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-369083/5

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 369083

MB MB

 Analyte
 Result qualifier
 RL
 MDL unit
 D Prepared
 Analyzed Qu2/19 11:37
 Dil Fac Qu2/19 11:37

MB MB

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-369083/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 369083

 Analyte
 Added 1,4-Dioxane
 Result 10.0
 Qualifier 11.9
 Unit 12.9
 D was 12.9
 WRec Limits 12.9
 Limits 12.9
 Limits 12.9
 Materials 12.9
 Limits 12.9<

LCS LCS
Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 89 63 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-108274-C-1 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 369083

Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 52 - 129 10.0 ug/L 117 11.7

MS MS Surrogate %Recovery Qua

Surrogate%RecoveryQualifierLimits1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)9063 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-108274-C-1 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 369083

Spike MSD MSD %Rec. RPD Sample Sample Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits RPD Limit 2.0 U 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 11.4 ug/L 114 52 - 129

MSD MSD

Surrogate%RecoveryQualifierLimits1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)9163 - 125

TestAmerica Canton

1

6

8

10

11

16

1 1

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 368915

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-108275-1	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-108275-2	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-368915/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-368915/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-108198-C-2 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-108198-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

Analysis Batch: 369083

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-108275-1	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-108275-2	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-369083/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-369083/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-108274-C-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-108274-C-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Л

5

6

8

9

46

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01 021819 Date Collected: 02/18/19 10:45 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 02/20/19 08:45

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	368915	02/21/19 18:53	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	369083	02/22/19 16:36	SAM	TAL CAN

Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-2 Client Sample ID: SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819

Date Collected: 02/18/19 10:50 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 02/20/19 08:45

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	368915	02/21/19 19:15	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	369083	02/22/19 17:01	SAM	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-19 *
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-19
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-19 *
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-19 *
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	09-06-19
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19
Washington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19

^{*} Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canlon — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Carlon, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Common Name Assettle	T T	regulatory program.				1	_				
Conpany Name: Arcaous	Client Project	Client Project Manager: Kris Hinsk	inskey	Site Contac	Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis		Lab	ontact: 7	Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico	onico	TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500		2000					-				
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377	l elephone: 248-994-2240	8-994-2240		Telephone:	Telephone: 734-320-0065		Telep	hone: 330	Telephone: 330-497-9396		l of f
And the same of the same	Email: kristof	Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com	fis.com	Analysi	Analysis Lurnaround Lime	國際		l	An	Analyses	For lab use only
none: 248-994-2240				TAT if different from below	nt from below						Walk-in client
Project Name: Ford LTP					1 3 weeks 2 weeks						Tab sampling
Project Number: M1001454,0003	Method of Shi	Method of Shipment/Carrier:		5 Day	▼ 1 week			8	_	MI	Similarine
PO#MI001454.0003	Shipping/Tracking No:	king No:		Т	1 day	-danə	_	30928			Job/SDG No:
			Matrix	Contail	Containers & Preservatives	/D=			_		
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Aqueous Sediment Solid Solid	HOS HTSO	NaOH NaOH Unpres	Filtered S Composite	1,1-DCE 8	Trans-1,2-	1CE 8560	Vinyl Chlo 1,4-Dioxa	Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
Sump1-12131 Boston Ast-01-021819	1 3/18/19	10:45 am	×	_	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	NG	×	X	X	×	G. Containers
Plaine 10-+- Gaton Birto - Camina	9/18/19	10:30 08	×	×		9 1	X	X	×	×	(contribute
1000 - 0 1000 miles						-	,	1	+		5 13 11 15 19 19
							+	+	1		
			<u>+</u>	=			-	+			
	+				-		_	+			
	-										
	240-	240-108275 Chain of	of Custody				-				
				=							
Possible Hazard Identification	nt Poison B		Jnknown	Sample I	Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than I month) Return to Client Disposal By Lab Archive For No	e assessed if samp Disposal By Lab	mples are	retained	Archive For	an 1 month) Months	
Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:											
Submit all results through Cadena at Jim.tomalla@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631 Level IV Reporting.	.com, Cadena#	E203631									
Relinguished by:	Company:	Ris	Date/Time:	1530	Received by:	is W Ste	Staron		Company	Arceles	Date/Time: 18 - 1530
Relinquished by: Actor Rich on and	Company:	رد	<u>u</u> -	0825	.,	.5	-		Company	any:	/Time:
Celinquished by:	Company:		Date/Dime.,		Received in Laborat	11.11			Compa		Lime

TestAmerica Canton Sampl Canton Facility	e Receipt Form/Narrative	Logi	in#:	3275
1 15	Site Name		Cooler unj	packed by:
Cooler Received on 2-20-19	Opened on 2-a	20-19 0845	Kuan 1	ribley
	FAS Clipper Client Drop Off	TestAmerica Courier	Other	. 10.67
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off		Storage Location		
	A Foam Box Client Cooler			
Packing material used: TCOOLANT: Wet Cooler temperature upon re IR GUN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °IR GUN #36 (CF +0 °C) Were tamper/custody seals -Were the seals on the out -Were tamper/custody se -Were tamp	Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bay Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Wate eccipt C) Observed Cooler Temp. C) Obs	None Otherer None See Multiple Cooler Fore^C Corrected Cooler Toe^C Corrected Cooler Temp. Yes Quantity U YeeYee Yee	emp°C p(, Z_°C) No ss No NA ss No NA ss No	Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving: VOAs Oil and Grease TOC
	sent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot		s (No)	
	p blank present?		s No	
	Date by		Voice Mail Oth	er
	& SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES		Samples	processed by:
ample(s)	were received after	were receive	d in a broken co	ontainer.
O CAMPIE DECEDATION	ON			
9. SAMPLE PRESERVATI	UN			
ample(s)	Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s	were fu	irther preserved	in the laboratory.



February 27, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory submittal: 108275-1 Sample date: 2019-02-18

Report received by CADENA: 2019-02-27

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-02-27

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

2 Water sample were analyzed for GCMS VOC parameter(s).

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, MS/MSD Recovery, MS/MSD RPD, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
ΠΊ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 108275-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401082751	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021	2/18/2019	10:45:00	Х	Х	
2401082752	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021	2/18/2019	10:50:00	Х	Х	

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 108275-1

Sample Name: SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021 SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021

 Lab Sample ID:
 2401082751
 2401082752

 Sample Date:
 2/18/2019
 2/18/2019

		Sample Date:	2/18/201	.9			2/18/201	.9		
				Report		Valid		Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC										
OSW-8	<u>260B</u>									
	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OSW-8</u>	<u>260BBSim</u>									
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l		ND	2.0	ug/l	



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-108275-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-02-27

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #32341R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-108275-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		A	Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	VOC (SIM)	MISC
0.40.400075.4	SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST- 01_021819	240-108275-1	Water	2/18/2019		X	X	
240-108275-1	SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST- 01_021819	240-108275-2	Water	2/18/2019		Х	Х	

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		rmance ptable	Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
Sample receipt condition		Х		X		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the sample within this SDG.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		X	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 8, 2019

a Kays

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 8, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canlon — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

TestAmerica

Cincon Name Accadie	Regula	Regulatory program:		100	MQ L			NPDES	83	Ē	RCRA		Other	her							
Company come. At Causa	Client Project	Client Project Manager: Kris Hi	Hinskey	>			Site	Comta	ct: An	Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis	Grandis				Lab	Contac	Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico	e Delly	Ionico		COC No:
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500																					
	Telephone: 248-994-2240	8-994-2240					Tele	phone	734	Telephone: 734-320-0065	50				Telep	shone:	Telephone: 330-497-9396	17-939	5		
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377																					of / cocs
	Emnil: kristoff	Email: kristoffer.binskey@arcadis.com	adis,c	1				Annly	BI TR	Analysis Lurnaround Lime	d Time		100					An	Analyses	S	For lab use only
Phone: 248-994-2240							TAT	L'Alth	and from	TAT if different from believe	10000	T									Well, or elicant
Project Name: Ford LTP	T									3 weeks	3 2	T	A CALL								Water-III Citedii
Project Number: M1001454.0003	Method of Ship	Method of Shipment/Carrier:	1				40	5 Day	D. L.	▼ 1 week	*	u				8				WI	Suiding ora
PO# MI001454.0003	Shipping/Tracking No:	king No:			İ		_		-	1 day		(IA)			809	85608			8097	\$ 809	Job/SDG No:
			8	N	Matrix	Section		Conts	iners	Containers & Preservatives	vatives	T	-	_	28 3	CE	1	1	g əp	28 6	The contract of the second
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	aiA	Aqueous	bile8	Other:	+OS7H	EONH	N®OH HCI	HOAN PAAS	Unpres	3 Paried	Eiltered Sa Composite	1,1-DCE 82	cis-1,2-DCE	J-S, f-ensiT	PCE 8260B	TCE 82608	Vinyl Chlori	onsxoid-4,1	Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
SUMPI-1313/ BOStor Ast-01-02/819 2/18/19	1 3/18/19	10:45 an		×					×				NG	X	X	X	X	X	×	×	Go containers
SUMP 2-12131 Boston Post-01-031819	8/18/19	10:50 am		×				- \	V			_	N	×	×	X	×	×	X	×	6 containers
				-								-									
											-		-								
				-	F			-	-		-	\vdash	-	_							
									1		-		-								
									1				-								
	240-1	240-108275 Chain of Custody	Jo (Sust	dy			1													
				-			-		-		-	+	+	-				T	-		

ubmit all results through Cadena at Jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631 wellV Reporting. Date/Time: 21/8/194 - 1530
Date/Time: 21/19/194 0825

1028

2/19/19

Arcoels Company

Jnknown

Poison B

tin Irritant

pecial Instructions/QC Requirements & Co Possible Hazard Identification

Date/Time:

528

2-19-19 230 Date Time: 2-20-19 845

\$2008. TestArsertos Laboratories, Inc. Al rights reserved. TestArrertos & Design IV are trademarks of TestArrectos Laboratories, Inc.

Page 266 of 268

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. TestAmerica Job ID: 240-108275-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP1-12131 BOSTON POST-01 021819	Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-1
---	-----------------------------

Date Collected: 02/18/19 10:45 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 02/20/19 08:45

Method: 8260B SIM - Volati Analyte	_	mpounds Qualifier	(GC/MS) RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L		•	02/22/19 16:36	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	89		63 - 125			•		02/22/19 16:36	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile C	Organic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1

Method. 62000 - Volatile	Organic Compo	ulius (GC/	vio)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/21/19 18:53	1
0	0/ 🗖	0	,					A I	D# 5

Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzea	DII Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	114	70 - 121		02/21/19 18:53	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91	59 - 120		02/21/19 18:53	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101	70 - 123		02/21/19 18:53	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	120	75 - 128		02/21/19 18:53	1

Client Sample ID: SUMP2-12131 BOSTON POST-01_021819 Lab Sample ID: 240-108275-2

Date Collected: 02/18/19 10:50 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 02/20/19 08:45

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/22/19 17:01	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	87		63 - 125			-		02/22/19 17:01	1

Analyte	Result Qu	ualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0 U		1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0 U		1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0 U		1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0 U		1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Trichloroethene	1.0 U		1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0 U		1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/21/19 19:15	1

Surrogate	%Recovery Q	Qualifier Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	108	70 - 121		02/21/19 19:15	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	90	59 - 120		02/21/19 19:15	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99	70 - 123		02/21/19 19:15	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	113	75 - 128		02/21/19 19:15	1