🛟 eurofins

Environment Testing TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-126692-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 3/6/2020 2:04:44 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



www.testamericainc.com

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

3

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA	
Qualifier	Qualifier Description

Quanner	
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
Glossary	
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Job ID: 240-126692-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Off Site

Report Number: 240-126692-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 2/22/2020 9:40 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 4.5° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples TRIP BLANK (240-126692-1) and MW-188S_022020 (240-126692-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 02/26/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample MW-188S_022020 (240-126692-2) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The samples were analyzed on 02/28/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

			0 II (1		
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-126692-1	TRIP BLANK	Water	02/20/20 00:00	02/22/20 09:40	
240-126692-2	MW-188S_022020	Water	02/20/20 10:20	02/22/20 09:40	

Detection	Summary
-----------	---------

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MW-188S_022020

No Detections.

Job ID: 240-126692-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-2

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 02/20/20 00:00 Date Received: 02/22/20 09:40

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-1

Matrix: Water

5 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		75 - 130					02/26/20 19:30	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	63		47 - 134					02/26/20 19:30	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	86		69 - 122					02/26/20 19:30	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	97		78 - 129					02/26/20 19:30	1

Client Sample ID: MW-188S_022020 Date Collected: 02/20/20 10:20 Date Received: 02/22/20 09:40

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-2 Matrix: Water

x: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/28/20 19:46	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96		70 - 133			-		02/28/20 19:46	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1
Fetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		75 - 130			-		02/26/20 19:52	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	66		47 - 134					02/26/20 19:52	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	84		69 - 122					02/26/20 19:52	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	99		78 - 129					02/26/20 19:52	1

Surrogate Summary

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Matrix: Water

latrix: Water	-					Prep Type: Total/NA
			Pe	ercent Surro	ogate Recovery (Ac	ceptance Limits)
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM	
ab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(75-130)	(47-134)	(69-122)	(78-129)	
40-126571-F-4 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	79	81	89	89	
40-126571-H-4 MS	Matrix Spike	78	78	88	88	
40-126692-1	TRIP BLANK	91	63	86	97	
40-126692-2	MW-188S_022020	91	66	84	99	
CS 240-424351/4	Lab Control Sample	77	80	91	89	
/IB 240-424351/7	Method Blank	90	70	88	95	
Surrogate Legend						
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth	nane-d4 (Surr)					
BFB = 4-Bromofluorob	penzene (Surr)					
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Su	ırr)					
DBFM = Dibromofluor	omethane (Surr)					
ethod: 8260B S	IM - Volatile Organic	Compoun	ds (GC/	MS)		
atrix: Water		- compound				Prep Type: Total/NA

Г				
		504	Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)	4 9
		DCA		
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-133)		
240-126552-O-2 MS	Matrix Spike	92		
240-126552-O-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	93		
240-126692-2	MW-188S_022020	96		
LCS 240-424746/4	Lab Control Sample	90		
MB 240-424746/5	Method Blank	91		
Surrogate Legend				

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Job ID: 240-126692-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-424351/7 **Matrix: Water**

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 424351 MB MB Analyte **Result Qualifier** RL MDL Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac D 1,1-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L 02/26/20 12:59 1 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.16 ug/L 02/26/20 12:59 1 Tetrachloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.15 ug/L 02/26/20 12:59 1 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.19 ug/L 1.0 U 1.0 02/26/20 12:59 1 Trichloroethene 1.0 0.10 ug/L 02/26/20 12:59 1.0 U 1 0.20 ug/L Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 1.0 02/26/20 12:59 1

	MB	МВ				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	90		75 - 130		02/26/20 12:59	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	70		47 - 134		02/26/20 12:59	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	88		69 - 122		02/26/20 12:59	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		78 - 129		02/26/20 12:59	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-424351/4 **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 424351

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.69		ug/L		97	73 - 129	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	75 - 124	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	12.0		ug/L		120	70 - 125	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	74 - 130	
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.1		ug/L		101	71 - 121	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	8.21		ug/L		82	61 - 134	

	LCS LCS	S
Surrogate	%Recovery Qua	alifier Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	77	75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	80	47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91	69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	89	78 - 129

Lab Sample ID: 240-126571-F-4 MSD **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 424351

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.03		ug/L		90	68 - 121	2	35
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	52 - 129	9	35
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.24		ug/L		92	69 - 126	3	35
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	8.63		ug/L		86	56 - 124	1	35
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	8.41		ug/L		84	49 - 136	19	35
	MSD	MSD									

	mob	III OB	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	79		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	81		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	89		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	89		78 - 129

MS MS

Prep Type: Total/NA

10

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

%Rec.

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-12657	1-H-4 MS		
Matrix: Water			
Analysis Batch: 424351			
	Sample	Sample	Spike
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0

									/	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	8.82		ug/L		88	68 - 121	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.66		ug/L		97	52 ₋ 129	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	8.97		ug/L		90	69 ₋ 126	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	8.58		ug/L		86	56 ₋ 124	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	6.92		ug/L		69	49 - 136	
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	78		75 - 130							
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	78		47 - 134							
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	88		69 - 122							
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	88		78 - 129							

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

		-		-	•									
Lab Sample ID: MB 240-4 Matrix: Water	24746/5										Clie	ent Sam	ple ID: Method Prep Type: To	
Analysis Batch: 424746														
-		MB	MB											
Analyte	Res	sult	Qualifier		RL	I	MDL	Unit		D	Ρ	repared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane		2.0	U		2.0		0.86	ug/L					02/28/20 12:24	1
		ΜВ	МВ											
Summerate				Lim	:40							ronorod	Analyzad	Dil Fac
Surrogate	%Recov	91	Qualifier		133							repared	Analyzed	DII Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)		91		70-	133								02/28/20 12:24	1
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-	121716/1								CI	iont	Sa	nnio ID	: Lab Control S	Samplo
Matrix: Water	424/40/4									ient	Jai	inple ID	Prep Type: To	
Analysis Batch: 424746													Fieb Type. It	
Analysis Batch. 424/40				Spike		LCS	LCS						%Rec.	
Analyte				Added		Result			Unit		D	%Rec	Limits	
1,4-Dioxane				10.0		10.2	Quu		ug/L			102	80 - 135	
				10.0		10.2			ug/L			102	00-100	
	LCS	LCS	;											
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	lifier	Limits										
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	90			70 - 133										
Lab Sample ID: 240-1265	52-O-2 MS										CI	ient Sa	mple ID: Matrix	
Matrix: Water													Prep Type: To	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 424746														
	Sample		-	Spike		MS	MS						%Rec.	
Analyte	Result		lifier	Added		Result	Qua	lifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U		10.0		9.86			ug/L		_	99	46 - 170	
	MS	мς												
Surrogate	%Recovery		lifior	Limits										
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)		wua		70 - 133										
1,2-Dicilioi de litarie-04 (Sull)	92			10-155										

5 6 7

10

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-12655 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 424746	2-0-2 MSD					Client	Samp	le ID: N	latrix Spil Prep Ty		
· · · · · , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	9.91		ug/L		99	46 - 170	0	26
	MSD	MSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	93		70 - 133								

GC/MS VOA

240-126552-O-2 MS

240-126552-O-2 MSD

Matrix Spike

Matrix Spike Duplicate

Analysis Batch: 424351

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-126692-1	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-126692-2	MW-188S_022020	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-424351/7	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-424351/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-126571-F-4 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-126571-H-4 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
Analysis Batch: 424	746				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-126692-2	MW-188S_022020	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-424746/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-424746/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Total/NA

Total/NA

Water

Water

8260B SIM

8260B SIM

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 02/20/20 00:00 D

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	424351	02/26/20 19:30	LEE	TAL CAN
lient Sam	ple ID: MW	-188S 022020					Lab Sa	mple ID: 240-126692-
ate Collecte	d: 02/20/20 1	0:20						Matrix: Wate
	d: 02/22/20 0	- ··-						

Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	424351	02/26/20 19:52	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	424746	02/28/20 19:46	SAM	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

ient: ARCADIS U.S., Ir			Job ID: 240-126692-1	
oject/Site: Ford LTP O				
	ns TestAmerica, Canton held by this laboratory are listed. Not all ac	creditations/certifications are applicable to	o this report.	
Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date	
N/A	N/A	None on record.		
				Ĩ

1/0	l estAmerica Laboratory location: Digmon	10448 Citation Drive, Suite 200 / Brighton, MI 48116 / 810-229-2763	5017-677-	THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL JESTIM
Client Contact	Regulatory program: DW	□ NPDES □ RCRA □ Other		TestAmerica Laboratories. Inc
anne, cuvaus	Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey	Site Contact: Julia McClafferty	Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico	COC No:
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	Telephone: 248-994-2240	Telephone: 734-644-5131	Telephone: 330-497-9396	the thread
City/State/Lip: Novi, MI, 48377	Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com	Analysis furnaround Time	Analyses	only
Phone: 248-994-2240 Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site	Sampler Name	TAT if different from helpw		Walk-in client
Project Number: 30042006.0402.02	Method of Shipment/Carrier:	1 week 2	£	Sundame or
PO # 30042006.0402.02	Shipping/Tracking No:	/ Y) əiqi	6 82608 CE 8260 82608	Job/SDG No:
Sample Identification	Sample Date Sample Time Air	Continens & Prescontines Filtered Sam NaOH NaOH NaOH NaOH NaOH NaOH Prescontives NaOH NAOH	1,1-DCE 826 cis-1,2-DCE PCE 82608 TCE 82608 Vinyl Chlorid 1,4-Dioxane	Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
TRIP BLANK	- 1	2N 111	XXXXXXX	1 TRP RUALY
079220-5881-MW	thepe 1026 6		X X X X X	3 MAS PLANE
	5	240-126692 Chain of Custody		
ossible Hazard Identification	a	Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)	samples are retained longer than 1 month)	
Pown-Hazard Futurable Curlimitant Poison B Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at }tomalia@cadenaco.com. Cadena #E203631 Level IV Reporting requests	din firritanit ∣ Poison B ∣ Unknown s: §cadenaco.com. Cadena #E203631	Return to Client & Disposal By	Lab Archive For Months	
Relinquised by M. M.	Compare Date/Time /	17CO Received by: CHD STARA	E	Pare Time, 1700
Retinguishedry A Mis My Ulaffer	Tradi, Dater	Received by ULA	MON	Date/Time: 2/2//2/2 1520
Relinquished by OO A Unix and	Company: Date/Time:	Received in Labbar by	Company:	Date/Time:

Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Canton Facility	Login # :_ 12 0 692
lient Accadis Site Name	Cooler unpacked by:
ooler Received on $02/22/2e$ Opened on $02/22/2e$	
	Dop
edEx: 1" Grd Exp) UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Location	
	AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRESS OF THE OWNER
COOLANT: Wellce Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None	
Cooler temperature upon receipt	Form
IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 5-8 °C Corrected Coole	r Temp. 4.5 °C
IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9°C) Observed Cooler Temp °C Corrected Coole	er Temp°C
. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity	es No
-Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated?	es No NA
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)?	es No
-Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?	es No NA
. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?	és No
Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)?	es No
	es No Tests that are not checked for pH by
	es No Receiving:
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?	es No
	es No VOAs
	es No Oil and Grease TOC
	es No
1. Are these work share samples?	es No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.	
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Y	es No NA pH Strip Lot# HC995364
 Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Were VOAs on the COC? 	es No
 Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 	Ves No NA
 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 	res No res No res No
 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 	res No Fes No Fes No
 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 	res No Fes No Fes No
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal	res No Fes No Fes No
 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?Y 2. Contacted PM Date by via Verbal 	res No Fes No Fes No
 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?Y Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning 	res No Fes No Fes No
 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?Y 2. Contacted PM Date by via Verbal 	Ves No Ves No Ves No Voice Mail Other
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?Y ontacted PM Date by via Verbal oncerning	Samples processed by:
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 5. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?Y ontacted PM Date by via Verbal oncerning	Samples processed by:
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning	Samples processed by:
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES	Samples processed by:
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?Y contacted PM Date by via Verbal concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION	Samples processed by:
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Trip Blank Lot #A 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended hore	Yes No Yes No Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: A G A G A G Inding time had expired. A G
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended hc ample(s) were received after the recommended hc ample(s) were received after the recommended hc ample(s)	Yes No Yes No Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A B A B A B A B A B A B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B <t< td=""></t<>
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 5. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 5. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank	Yes No Yes No Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: A U AU AU Olding time had expired. Yed in a broken container.
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended ho ample(s) were received with bubble >6 m	Yes No Yes No Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: A U AU AU Olding time had expired. Yed in a broken container.
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended hc ample(s) were received with bubble >6 m 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	Yes No Yoice Mail Other Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: Ab Ab Image: Solution of the second sec
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended hc ample(s) were received with bubble >6 m 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	Yes No Yes No Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: A.G. A.G. A.G. Olding time had expired. Yed in a broken container.
2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #A 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended hc ample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	Yes No Yoice Mail Other Yoice Mail Other Samples processed by: Atr Supples processed by: Atr Olding time had expired. yed in a broken container. m in diameter. (Notify PM) further preserved in the laboratory.

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



March 06, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30042006.0402.02 off site Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 126692-1 Sample date: 2020-02-20 Report received by CADENA: 2020-03-06 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-03-06 Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC **Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.**

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 126692-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401266921	TRIP BLANK	2/20/2020	12:00:00	х		
2401266922	MW-1885_022020	2/20/2020	10:20:00	х	х	

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 126692-1

		Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:		TRIP BLANK 2401266921 2/20/2020				MW-188S_022020 2401266922 2/20/2020		
				Report		Valid		Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC										
<u>OSW-826</u>	<u>0B</u>									
	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OSW-826</u>	<u>OBBSim</u>									
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1					ND	2.0	ug/l	



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 240-126692-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2020-03-06

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Edison, New Jersey

Report #36146R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30042006.0402.02

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-126692-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III) includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-126692-1	TRIP BLANK	240-126692-1	Water	2/20/2020		Х		
	MW-188S_022020	240-126692-2	Water	2/20/2020		Х	Х	

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Items Reviewed		Reported		mance ptable	Not
Items			Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition			Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and s	ample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list			Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis			Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits			Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date			Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample receive	d date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verifi	cation (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extrac	tion/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-C	ustody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Qua problems provided	lity Assurance or sample		х		Х	
12. Data Package Completene	ess and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the overall precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 30% for water matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

6. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	ported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X		Х	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Field Duplicate RPD		X		Х	
Internal standard		X		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:


a Kapt

DATE: March 16, 2020


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 18, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



170	l estAmerica Laboratory location: Digoton	Challon Drive, Suite 2007 DI		THE TRACK IN THE MORE AND A LOSS AND
Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis	Regulatory program:	DW CRA	Other	TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
enne i enne i enne i enne	Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey	Site Contact: Julia McClafferty	Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico	COC No:
Address: 28550 Cabol Drive, Suite 500	Telephone: 248-994-2240	Telephone: 734-644-5131	Telephone: 330-497-9396	1 at 1 cons
Lity/State/Lip: 1/074, 911, 485 / /	Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com	Analysis Lurnaround Lime	Analyses	only
Phone: 248-99+2240 Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site	Sampler Name:	TAT if different from below		Walk-in client
Project Number: 30042006.0402.02	Method of Shipment/Carrier:		8	Lato samping
PO # 30042006.0402.02	Shipping/Tracking No:		e 85608 55 82608 85608 08 57 Crab	Job/SDG No:
Sample Identification	Sample Date Sample Time A sediment	Contrainers & Preservatives ZaAct HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC Contrainers & NaOH HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC HCC H	Filtered Sam Composite cient, 2-DCE Fiens-1, 2-DCE PCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608	Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
TRIP BLANK	- 1		NG X X X X X X X X X	1 TRP RUNK
079720-5881-MW	7/10/1026 6	9	XXX	3 KBS REE FIN
		240-126692 Chain of Custody		
ossible Hazard Identification	cin Irriant Potcon R Thispoarn	Sample Disposal (A fee may be at C Return to Client [Di	Sumple Disposal (A fee may be assessed if sumples are retained longer than 1 month) Berning Return to Client of Discover Boy Lab. Archive Feer Months	
Provent Instructions/OC Requirements & Comments: Special Instructions/OC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at Jtomalia@cadenaco.com. Cadena #E203631 Level IV Reporting requesting			usposarby Lab i zucine rur i monus	
Reinquised by M. H.	SCADIS	120 1700	STERACE FROMS	Pate/Time, 1700
Reliminished Sy Mile Miles	Company: Aradi', Date/Time: Commany: Aradi', Date/Time:	Received in the	MUNOW COMPANY - MI	Dute/Time: 2/21/202 1520 Date/Time:
I AUUN MARKU)	illa uti	1		(1) 1>1 >1 940

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 02/20/20 00:00 Date Received: 02/22/20 09:40

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-1

Matrix: Water

5 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/26/20 19:30	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		75 - 130					02/26/20 19:30	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	63		47 - 134					02/26/20 19:30	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	86		69 - 122					02/26/20 19:30	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	97		78 - 129					02/26/20 19:30	1

Client Sample ID: MW-188S_022020 Date Collected: 02/20/20 10:20 Date Received: 02/22/20 09:40

Lab Sample ID: 240-126692-2

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			02/28/20 19:46	1	î
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96		70 - 133			-		02/28/20 19:46	1	
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)							ł
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1	i
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			02/26/20 19:52	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		75 - 130			-		02/26/20 19:52	1	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	66		47 - 134					02/26/20 19:52	1	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	84		69 - 122					02/26/20 19:52	1	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	99		78 - 129					02/26/20 19:52	1	