

10/30/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1910580

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 10/24/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 



#### WORK ORDER #: 1910580

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30016344.0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 10/24/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott 10/30/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<b>TEST</b>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01_102219	TO-15	5.7 "Hg	15.3 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide Thayes		
CERTIFIED BY:		0 0	DATE: 10/30/19	

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1910580

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on October 24, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910580-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/26/19 10:53 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 10/22/19 09:35 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3102621

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.5	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.95	5.7	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.78	2.5	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.3	8.5	15
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.1	2.5	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.87	3.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.54	1.6	3.2	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1910580-02A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/26/19 10:44 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3102605c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.46	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.38	2.2	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.31	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.50	1.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.42	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.34	1.3	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.21	0.64	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3102602

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	104
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	84

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1910580-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/26/19 09:37 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3102603

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	107
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	87
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	85

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1910580-04AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/26/19 10:01 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3102604

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	106
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	87
richloroethene	79-01-6	94
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	87

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



October 30, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016344.0002B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1910580 Sample date: 2019-10-22

Report received by CADENA: 2019-10-30 Initial DataVerification completed: 2019-10-30 1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1910580

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-10-30

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #34813R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1910580 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	
1910580	SSMP- 34367CAPITOL- 01_102219	1910580-01A	Air	10/22/2019		X		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		X	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		X	
5. Reporting limits		Х		X	
6. Sample collection date		Х		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Re	ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation	'	'	'		
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: November 19, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: November 20, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

# NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Client ID:** SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910580-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/26/19 10:53 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 10/22/19 09:35 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3102621

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.1	2.5	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.95	5.7	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.78	2.5	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.2	4.3	8.5	15
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.1	2.5	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.87	3.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.54	1.6	3.2	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

# Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only

180 Blue Ravine l	Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 9	PID:		aboratory Use On order #:	ly	<u> 1</u> 910	$^{2}8^{0}$	ti Ataas		nks belov er Samplin	w to view:	i Silialian		ved dija	
	955; Fax (916) 351-8279									Shroud V					and the
Client:	Ford	PID:	NA Spec	ial Instructions/l	votes: Repo	ort ONLY: 1,1-DO	E, cis-1,2-	T			(Rush sur	charges	may ar	pply)	
Project Name:	Ford LTP		DCE	trans-1,2-DCE, 1	4 Diayana	DCE TCE and	VC Cubmit				Turnarou				
Project Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.# 30016		tialis-1,2-DOE, 1	,4-DiOXane,	FCE, FCE and	VC. Submit	Cani	ster Vac	cuum/Pre	ssure	Reque	sted A	Inalyse	s
Sampler:	Xenia Chan	<del> </del>	resul	s through Cadena	at jim.toma	alia@cadena.cor	n. Cadena			Lab U	se Only		T 1		
Site Name:	34367 CAPITOL		#E20	3631. Level IV Re	eportina				_	13/2014	1000	ote	lyz(		
Lab Sa	ample Identification	Can#	Flow Control	Start Sa	mpling	Stop Sa Inform		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze		
			"	Date	Time	Date	Time	Initis	Fina	Rec	Fina	TO-1	ದಿ		
O A SSMP-34367	CAPITOL-01_102219	1L3815	23350	10/22/2019	9:24	10/22/2019	9:35	-29	-6	PARAGO.	25,52	Х			
			-							January 1	Albert				
			44	***					<b>*</b>	11/24/2					
<u></u>															
								••							
And the second s		-						***			Eggent .				
201-211							-				1227				
_						-			~	and the second	a <sup>ct</sup>	[			
2007 <b>-</b>			_							<b>100000</b>					
			***							and the second	Line with				
			_								i i i i i i				
											et water it.				
		•••													
				**						2000	1977				
-		44									CEFEE			$\Box$	
Relinationshed by: (Sig			Date 0/22/19	Time 1435		Received by: (	(Signature/Af	filiation)	C./	tecl	Date	Irel	Time	ं ५	_حر
Relipquished by: (Sig	gnatou <b>d</b> Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by: (	(Signature/Af	filiation)			Date	<del>                                     </del>	Time		
Relinquished by: (Sig	nature/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by: (	(Signature/Af	filiation)			Date	***************************************	Time		
	N 16			Lab Use	Only									8 18 E	300 300
Shipper Name:	Xer USX	Custody Seals	Intact?	es No	Non	e						4		-	
Sample Transp ordinances of any	ortation Notice: Relinquishin kind. Relinquishing signature	g signature on this o also indicates agree	ment to hold harmle	nat samples are si ss, defend, and in uping of samples.	demnify Eu	ofins Air Toxics	against any d	ocal, Stat claim, der	e, Feder nand, or	ral, and in action, o	temationa f any kind,	l laws, reg related to	julation the co	is, and offection	٦,



11/8/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1910585R1

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 10/24/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 



#### **WORK ORDER #:** 1910585R1

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: **BILL TO:** Mr. Jim Tomalia Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc. Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 500 Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # 30016344.0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 10/24/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 10/30/2019 DATE REISSUED: 11/08/2019

FRACTION#	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	RECEIPT <u>VAC./PRES.</u>	FINAL <u>PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-34367CAPITOL-01_102219	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	4.9 psi
02A	IAF-34367CAPITOL-01_102219	Modified TO-15	7.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
03A	IAF-34367CAPITOL-02_102219	Modified TO-15	6.7 "Hg	4.6 psi
04A	IAG-34367CAPITOL-03_102219	Modified TO-15	6.7 "Hg	5.1 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	14	cide /	layer		
CERTIFIED BY:	0	0	0	DATE:	11/08/19

**Technical Director** 

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E87680, LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP - CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

> Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1910585R1

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on October 24, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

The workorder was reissued on 11/8/19 to report results in ug/m3 as well as a different format per project specifications.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates



as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



**Client ID:** AA-34367CAPITOL-01\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 01:51 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 10/22/19 09:04 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.70

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102707

•	0.10#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/ilis)	(ug/ilis)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.32	0.49	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.10	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.33	0.92	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.29	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.31	0.73	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.35	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



**Client ID:** IAF-34367CAPITOL-01\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 02:47 PM

Date/Time Collected: 10/22/19 09:12 AM Dilution Factor: 1.77

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102708

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.40	0.56	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.51	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.11	0.56	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.96	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.31	0.56	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.33	0.76	0.95	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.12	0.36	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100	



**Client ID:** IAF-34367CAPITOL-02\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 03:26 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 10/22/19 09:13 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102709

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.38	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.32	0.49	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.10	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.33	0.92	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.29	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.31	0.73	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



**Client ID:** IAG-34367CAPITOL-03\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 04:05 PM

Date/Time Collected: 10/22/19 09:36 AM Dilution Factor: 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102710

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.40	0.55	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.33	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.11	0.55	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.94	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.30	0.55	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.32	0.75	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.36	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: Lab Blank

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 12:12 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102706a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.23	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.19	0.29	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.061	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.20	0.54	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.17	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.18	0.43	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.20	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 09:09 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102702

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	107
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	107
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	107

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88	
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100	



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 09:58 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102703

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	98
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	103
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

# eurofins Air Toxics

# MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 10:43 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102704

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	106
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	110
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	109

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



REVISED REPORT: November 8, 2019

REVISION SUMMARY: Lab report format and sample results units revised at client request.

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016344.0002B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1910585 Sample date: 2019-10-22

Report received by CADENA: 2019-10-30
Initial DataVerification completed: 2019-10-30

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1910585R1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-10-30

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #34814R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1910585R1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample Collection		Analysis		
SDG			Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC		
	AA-34367CAPITOL- 01_102219	1910585R1- 01A	Air	10/22/2019		Х		
404050554	IAF-34367CAPITOL- 01_102219	1910585R1- 02A	Air	10/22/2019		Х		
1910585R1	IAF-34367CAPITOL- 02_102219	1910585R1- 03A	Air	10/22/2019		Х		
	IAG-34367CAPITOL- 03_102219	1910585R1- 04A	Air	10/22/2019		X		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		mance ptable	Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X		
Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation	'		'	'	
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: November 19, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: November 20, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

# NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Client ID:** AA-34367CAPITOL-01\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 01:51 PM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102707

•	0.40#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/ilis)	(ug/ilis)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.32	0.49	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.10	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.33	0.92	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.29	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.31	0.73	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.35	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



**Client ID:** IAF-34367CAPITOL-01\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 02:47 PM

Date/Time Collected: 10/22/19 09:12 AM Dilution Factor: 1.77

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102708

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.40	0.56	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.51	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.11	0.56	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.96	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.31	0.56	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.33	0.76	0.95	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.12	0.36	0.45	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



**Client ID:** IAF-34367CAPITOL-02\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 03:26 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 10/22/19 09:13 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102709

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.38	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.32	0.49	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.10	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.33	0.92	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.29	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.31	0.73	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



**Client ID:** IAG-34367CAPITOL-03\_102219

**Lab ID:** 1910585R1-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 10/27/19 04:05 PM

Date/Time Collected: 10/22/19 09:36 AM Dilution Factor: 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v102710

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.40	0.55	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.33	0.50	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.11	0.55	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.94	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.30	0.55	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.32	0.75	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.36	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

### Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

1910585 PID: Workorder #: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1.1-DCE, cis-1.2-Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Client: Ford PID: NA Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# 30016344,0002B Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sampler: Shantel Johnson, Xenia Chan Lab Use Only Instructions/Notes) Do Not Analyze 34367 CAPITOL Site Name: #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N<sub>2</sub> / He TO-15 (See (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Special Start Sampling **Stop Sampling** Lab Receipt Flow Controller Information Information Sample Identification Can# Initial ID Date Time Date Time AA-34367CAPITOL-01 102219 10/22/2019 Х nia 6L0701 40339 10/21/2019 10:26 9:04 -29.5 -6.5 IAF-34367CAPITOL-01 102219 6L1861 23897 10/21/2019 10:13 10/22/2019 -29.5 Х 9:12 -6.5 IAF-34367CAPITOL-02 102219 6L2133 24453 10/21/2019 10/22/2019 Х 10:14 9:13 -29.5 -6 DUA IAG-34367CAPITOL-03 102219 Х 6L1862 24470 10/21/2019 10:24 10/22/2019 -29.5 9:36 -6.5 ---------\_\_ Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation): ict zer ha e937 1430 Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: 61 X Custody Seals Intact? No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection. handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922