

8/19/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1908227

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 8/12/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



WORK ORDER #: 1908227

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003/30016344

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 08/12/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott 08/19/2019

| | | | RECEIPT | FINAL |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| FRACTION # | <u>NAME</u> | <u>TEST</u> | VAC./PRES. | PRESSURE |
| 01A | AA-34367CAPITOL-01_080819 | Modified TO-15 | 6.5 "Hg | 5.1 psi |
| 02A | IAF-34367CAPITOL-01_080819 | Modified TO-15 | 7.6 "Hg | 4.9 psi |
| 03A | IAF-34367CAPITOL-02_080819 | Modified TO-15 | 6.7 "Hg | 4.8 psi |
| 04A | IAG-34367CAPITOL-03_080819 | Modified TO-15 | 8.2 "Hg | 4.7 psi |
| 05A | Lab Blank | Modified TO-15 | NA | NA |
| 06A | CCV | Modified TO-15 | NA | NA |
| 07A | LCS | Modified TO-15 | NA | NA |
| 07AA | LCSD | Modified TO-15 | NA | NA |
| | | | | |

| | the | idi] | Payer | | |
|---------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| CERTIFIED BY: | | | 0 | DATE: | 08/19/19 |

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1908227

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on August 12, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

| Requirement | TO-15 | ATL Modifications |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Initial Calibration | <pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre> | =30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td |
| Blank and standards | Zero Air | UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air |

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-34367CAPITOL-01_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 11:36 AM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:04 AM Dilution Factor: 1.72

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081407

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.62 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.34 | 0.93 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.32 | 0.74 | 0.92 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.44 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 88 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 96 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 100 |



Client ID: IAF-34367CAPITOL-01_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 12:18 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:06 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.78

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081408

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.70 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.64 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.70 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.35 | 0.96 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.70 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.33 | 0.76 | 0.96 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.46 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 104 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 102 |



Client ID: IAF-34367CAPITOL-02_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 01:03 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:07 AM Dilution Factor: 1.71

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081409

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.62 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.33 | 0.93 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.32 | 0.74 | 0.92 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.44 | Not Detected |

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 110 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 102 |



Client ID: IAG-34367CAPITOL-03_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 02:09 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:12 AM Dilution Factor: 1.81

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081410

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.72 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.65 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.72 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.35 | 0.98 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.72 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.33 | 0.78 | 0.97 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.46 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 111 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 101 |



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1908227-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 8/14/19 10:31 AM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081406a

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.40 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.36 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.061 | 0.32 | 0.40 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.20 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.40 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.54 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.065 | 0.20 | 0.26 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 90 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 101 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 105 |



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1908227-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 07:04 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081402

| Compound | CAS# | %Recovery |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 86 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 99 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 89 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 94 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 89 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 91 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 84 |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 106 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 99 |



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1908227-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 08:12 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081403

| Compound | CAS# | %Recovery |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 88 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 110 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 89 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 101 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 93 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 94 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 89 |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 89 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 104 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 96 |

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

eurofins | Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1908227-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 08:50 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081404

| Compound | CAS# | %Recovery |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 89 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 112 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 91 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 101 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 94 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 96 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 89 |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 88 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 106 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 96 |

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



August 19, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1908227 Sample date: 2019-08-08

Report received by CADENA: 2019-08-19

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-08-19

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

| Valid Qualifiers | Description | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| < | Less than the reported concentration. | | | | |
| > | Greater than the reported concentration. | | | | |
| В | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. | | | | |
| Е | The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated. | | | | |
| EMPC | Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only. | | | | |
| J | Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies. | | | | |
| J- | The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low. | | | | |
| JB | NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED | | | | |
| JH | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high. | | | | |
| JL | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low. | | | | |
| JUB | NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED | | | | |
| NJ | Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration. | | | | |
| R | Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.) | | | | |
| TNTC | Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results. | | | | |
| U | Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected. | | | | |
| UB | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. | | | | |
| UJ | The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample. | | | | |



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1908227

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-08-19

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33942R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0004.00002 (30016346)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1908227 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

| SDG | Sample ID | Lab ID | Matrix | Sample Collection Date | Parent Sample | TO-15 (Full | Analysis TO-15 (SIM) | MISC |
|---------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------|------|
| | | | | | | Scan) | | |
| | AA-34367CAPITOL- 01_080819 | 1908227-01A | Air | 8/8/2019 | | Х | | |
| 1908227 | IAF-34367CAPITOL- 01_080819 | 1908227-02A | Air | 8/8/2019 | | X | | |
| | IAF-34367CAPITOL- 02_080819 | 1908227-03A | Air | 8/8/2019 | | X | | |
| | IAG-34367CAPITOL- 03_080819 | 1908227-04A | Air | 8/8/2019 | | X | | |

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

| | Rep | orted | Performance Acceptable | | Not |
|--|-----|-------|---------------------------|-----|----------|
| Items Reviewed | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| Sample receipt condition | | Х | | Х | |
| 2. Requested analyses and sample results | | Х | | X | |
| Master tracking list | | Х | | Х | |
| 4. Methods of analysis | | Х | | Х | |
| 5. Reporting limits | | Х | | Х | |
| 6. Sample collection date | | Х | | Х | |
| 7. Laboratory sample received date | | Х | | Х | |
| 8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable) | | Х | | Х | |
| 9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates | | Х | | Х | |
| 10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form | | Х | | Х | |
| Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided | | Х | | Х | |
| 12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance | | Х | | Х | |

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

| Method | Matrix | Holding Time | Preservation | Return Canister Pressure |
|-------------|--------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|
| USEPA TO-15 | Air | 30 days from collection to analysis (Canister) | Ambient Temperature | < -2" Hg |

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

| VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan) | Re | ported | Performance Acceptable | | Not | |
|---|----------|--------|---------------------------|-----|----------|--|
| | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required | |
| GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET | RY (GC/I | VIS) | | | | |
| Tier II Validation | | | | | | |
| Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg) | | X | | Х | | |
| Tier III Validation | | | | · | | |
| System performance and column resolution | | X | | Х | | |
| Initial calibration %RSDs | | Х | | Х | | |
| Continuing calibration RRFs | | Х | | Х | | |
| Continuing calibration %Ds | | Х | | Х | | |
| Instrument tune and performance check | | Х | | Х | | |
| Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used | | Х | | Х | | |
| Internal standard | | Х | | Х | | |
| Field Duplicate Sample RPD | | | | | Х | |
| Compound identification and quantitation | | | | | | |
| A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms | | Х | | Х | | |
| B. Quantitation Reports | | Х | | Х | | |
| C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows | | Х | | X | | |
| D. Transcription/calculation errors present | | X | | Х | | |
| E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions | | Х | | Х | | |

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: August 28, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: August 29, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-34367CAPITOL-01_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 11:36 AM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:04 AM Dilution Factor: 1.72

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081407

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.62 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.34 | 0.93 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.32 | 0.74 | 0.92 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.44 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 88 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 96 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 100 |



Client ID: IAF-34367CAPITOL-01_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 12:18 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:06 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.78

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081408

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.70 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.64 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.70 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.35 | 0.96 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.70 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.33 | 0.76 | 0.96 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.46 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 104 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 102 |



Client ID: IAF-34367CAPITOL-02_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 01:03 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:07 AM Dilution Factor: 1.71

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081409

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.62 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.33 | 0.93 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.68 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.32 | 0.74 | 0.92 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.44 | Not Detected |

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 110 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 102 |



Client ID: IAG-34367CAPITOL-03_080819

Lab ID: 1908227-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 02:09 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:12 AM Dilution Factor: 1.81

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v081410

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.72 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.65 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.72 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.35 | 0.98 | 1.2 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.72 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.33 | 0.78 | 0.97 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.46 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 87 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 111 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 101 |

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

1908227 PID: Workorder #: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP MI001454.0003 / 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# 30016344 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sampler: E. Redner / H. Ladd Lab Use Only Do Not Analyze Instructions/Notes) Site Name: 34367 CAPITOL #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He TO-15 (See Initial (in Hg) (in Hg) Special Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Controller Receipt Sample Identification Information Can# Information Final Date Time Date Time DIA AA-34367CAPITOL-01 080819 6L2368 24454 8/7/2019 10:10 8/8/2019 Х 9:04 -29 -7 IAF-34367CAPITOL-01 080819 6L1521 22858 8/7/2019 10:21 8/8/2019 Х 9:06 -29 -8 IAF-34367CAPITOL-02_080819 6L0351 22286 8/7/2019 10:20 8/8/2019 9:07 -29 -7 Х IAG-34367CAPITOL-03 080819 6L1952 22850 8/7/2019 10:24 8/8/2019 9:12 Х -29 -8.5 ----__ Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Arcadia 1500 12/14 0010 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by (Signature/Affiliation) Date Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless; defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



8/19/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1908230

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 8/12/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



WORK ORDER #: 1908230

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003/30016344

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 08/12/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott 08/19/2019

| | | | RECEIPT | FINAL |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| FRACTION # | <u>NAME</u> | TEST | VAC./PRES. | PRESSURE |
| 01A | SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01_080819 | TO-15 | 6.5 "Hg | 15 psi |
| 02A | Lab Blank | TO-15 | NA | NA |
| 03A | CCV | TO-15 | NA | NA |
| 04A | LCS | TO-15 | NA | NA |
| 04AA | LCSD | TO-15 | NA | NA |

| | the | idi] | Payer | | |
|---------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| CERTIFIED BY: | | | 0 | DATE: | 08/19/19 |

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1908230

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on August 12, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
 - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
 - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01_080819

Lab ID: 1908230-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 11:40 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:43 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.58

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a081421

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 5.1 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 2.7 | 14 | 18 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 33 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.69 | 5.5 | 6.9 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.66 | 2.6 | 3.3 | Not Detected |

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 86 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 103 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 98 |



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1908230-02A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 8/14/19 11:56 AM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a081405c

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 0.59 | 1.6 | 2.0 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 7.2 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 0.40 | 1.6 | 2.0 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 0.41 | 2.7 | 3.4 | Not Detected |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 0.75 | 1.6 | 2.0 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.27 | 2.1 | 2.7 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.26 | 1.0 | 1.3 | Not Detected |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 85 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 102 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 95 |



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1908230-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 10:11 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a081402

| Compound | CAS# | %Recovery |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 88 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 81 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 85 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 97 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 84 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 91 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 84 |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 83 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 105 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 98 |



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1908230-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 11:02 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a081403

| Compound | CAS# | %Recovery |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 92 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 81 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 98 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 100 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 76 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 93 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 90 |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 82 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 105 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 98 |

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1908230-04AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 11:29 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a081404

| Compound | CAS# | %Recovery |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 92 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 85 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 98 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 99 |
| rans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 76 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 92 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 90 |

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 83 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 102 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 100 |

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



August 19, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1908230 Sample date: 2019-08-08

Report received by CADENA: 2019-08-19

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-08-19

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

| Valid Qualifiers | Description | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| < | Less than the reported concentration. | | | | | |
| > | Greater than the reported concentration. | | | | | |
| В | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. | | | | | |
| Е | The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated. | | | | | |
| EMPC | Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only. | | | | | |
| J | Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies. | | | | | |
| J- | The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low. | | | | | |
| JB | NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED | | | | | |
| JH | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high. | | | | | |
| JL | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low. | | | | | |
| JUB | NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED | | | | | |
| NJ | Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration. | | | | | |
| R | Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.) | | | | | |
| TNTC | Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results. | | | | | |
| U | Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected. | | | | | |
| UB | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. | | | | | |
| UJ | The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample. | | | | | |



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1908230

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-08-19

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33943R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0004.00002 (30016346)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1908230 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

| SDG | Sample ID | Lab ID | Matrix | Sample Collection Date | Parent Sample | TO-15 (Full Scan) | Analysis TO-15 (SIM) | MISC |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| 1908230 | SSMP- 34367CAPITOL- 01_080819 | 1908230-01A | Air | 8/8/2019 | | Х | | |

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

| | Reported | | Performance Acceptable | | Not |
|--|----------|-----|---------------------------|-----|----------|
| Items Reviewed | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| Sample receipt condition | | Х | | Х | |
| 2. Requested analyses and sample results | | Х | | Х | |
| Master tracking list | | Х | | Х | |
| 4. Methods of analysis | | Х | | Х | |
| 5. Reporting limits | | Х | | Х | |
| 6. Sample collection date | | Х | | Х | |
| 7. Laboratory sample received date | | Х | | Х | |
| 8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable) | | Х | | Х | |
| 9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates | | Х | | Х | |
| 10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form | | Х | | Х | |
| Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided | | Х | | Х | |
| 12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance | | Х | | Х | |

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

| Method | Matrix | Holding Time | Preservation | Return Canister Pressure |
|-------------|--------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| USEPA TO-15 | Air | 30 days from collection to analysis (Canister) | Ambient Temperature | < -2" Hg |

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

| VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan) | Reported | | Performance Acceptable | | Not |
|---|----------|------|---------------------------|-----|----------|
| | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET | RY (GC/I | VIS) | | | |
| Tier II Validation | | | | | |
| Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg) | | X | | Х | |
| Tier III Validation | ' | | ' | | |
| System performance and column resolution | | Х | | Х | |
| Initial calibration %RSDs | | Х | | Х | |
| Continuing calibration RRFs | | Х | | Х | |
| Continuing calibration %Ds | | Х | | Х | |
| Instrument tune and performance check | | Х | | Х | |
| Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used | | Х | | Х | |
| Internal standard | | Х | | Х | |
| Field Duplicate Sample RPD | | | | | Х |
| Compound identification and quantitation | | | | | |
| A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms | | Х | | Х | |
| B. Quantitation Reports | | Х | | Х | |
| C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows | | Х | | Х | |
| D. Transcription/calculation errors present | | X | | Х | |
| E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions | | Х | | Х | |

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: August 28, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: August 29, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01_080819

Lab ID: 1908230-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 8/14/19 11:40 PM

Date/Time Collected: 8/8/19 09:43 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.58

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a081421

| | | MDL | LOD | Rpt. Limit | Amount |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Compound | CAS# | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) | (ug/m3) |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 5.1 | Not Detected |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 2.7 | 14 | 18 | Not Detected |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | Not Detected |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 33 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 | Not Detected |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 0.69 | 5.5 | 6.9 | Not Detected |
| Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 0.66 | 2.6 | 3.3 | Not Detected |

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

| Surrogates | CAS# | Limits | %Recovery |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 17060-07-0 | 70-130 | 86 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70-130 | 103 |
| Toluene-d8 | 2037-26-5 | 70-130 | 98 |

Analysis Request / Canister Chain of Custody

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation)

For Laboratory Use Only
Workorder #: 1908230

Click links below to view:

Date

Time

Canister Sampling Guide

Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Client: Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Ford PID: NA Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time MI001454.0003 / DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# 30016344 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com, Cadena Sampler: na Witherspoon, Heather Wood Lab Use Only TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Do Not Analyze Site Name: 34367 CAPITOL #E203631, Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Receipt Flow Controller Information Information Sample Identification Can # ID Date Time Date Time SSMP-34367CAPITOL-01_080819 1L2676 23381 8/8/2019 9:32 8/8/2019 9:43 -29.5 -6.5 --------Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Accord 5 Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time

Shipper Name:

Custody Seals Intact?

Yes

No

None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

Lab Use Only

Time

Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)

Date