

11/23/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: 30050315 Workorder #: 2011379

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/17/2020 at Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 2011379

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30050315.0302.01

FAX: PROJECT # 30050315 Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 11/17/2020 CONTACT: Ausha Scott DATE COMPLETED: 11/23/2020

FINAL RECEIPT **PRESSURE FRACTION # NAME TEST** VAC./PRES. AA-34380BEACON-01 111120 Modified TO-15 6.3 "Hg 01A 4.9 psi 02A IAF-34380BEACON-03 111120 Modified TO-15 6.3 "Hg 4.9 psi IAG-34380BEACON-01 111120 Modified TO-15 5.7 "Hg 4.9 psi 03A 04A Lab Blank Modified TO-15 NA NA 04B Lab Blank Modified TO-15 NA NA **CCV** Modified TO-15 05A NA NA 05B **CCV** Modified TO-15 NA NA 06A LCS Modified TO-15 NA NA 06AA **LCSD** Modified TO-15 NA NA Modified TO-15 06B LCS NA NA Modified TO-15 06BBLCSD NA NA

CERTIFIED BY: DATE: 11/23/20

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209220, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-20-16, UT NELAP – CA009332020-12, VA NELAP - 10615, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-014, Effective date: 10/18/2020, Expiration date: 10/17/2021.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2011379

Three 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on November 17, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-34380BEACON-01_111120

Lab ID: 2011379-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/18/20 11:10 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:06 PM Dilution Factor: 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21111823

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.055	0.17	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.042	0.15	0.61	0.060 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.027	0.17	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.087	0.29	1.1	1.4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.076	0.17	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.082	0.23	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.019	0.11	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-34380BEACON-03_111120

Lab ID: 2011379-02A Date/Time Analyzed: 11/19/20 06:05 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:09 PM Dilution Factor: 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111917

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.48	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.49	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.31	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.52	0.92	1.1	3.6
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.30	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.45	0.73	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	118
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: IAG-34380BEACON-01_111120

Lab ID: 2011379-03A Date/Time Analyzed: 11/19/20 06:45 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:16 PM Dilution Factor: 1.65

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111918

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.47	0.52	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.33	0.48	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.30	0.52	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.51	0.90	1.1	1.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.30	0.52	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.71	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	85
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 2011379-04A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 11/18/20 10:49 AM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21111806d

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.032	0.099	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.024	0.090	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.016	0.099	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.051	0.17	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.045	0.099	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.048	0.13	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.011	0.064	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: Lab Blank
Lab ID: 2011379-04B

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 11/19/20 09:32 AM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111906c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.29	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.20	0.29	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.18	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.31	0.54	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.18	0.32	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.26	0.43	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.20	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 2011379-05A Date/Time Analyzed: 11/18/20 07:54 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21111802

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	103
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Гetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	104
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 2011379-05B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/19/20 06:32 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111902

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	89

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 2011379-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/18/20 08:40 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21111803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	98
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
richloroethene	79-01-6	100
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

eurofins Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 2011379-06AA Date/Time Analyzed: 11/18/20 09:24 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21111804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	100
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	99
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 2011379-06B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/19/20 07:20 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111903

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	97
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	93

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

eurofins Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 2011379-06BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/19/20 08:00 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111904

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	92

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



November 23, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford LivoniaTransmission Project - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30050315.0302.01

Client project scopæeference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical

requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins AirToxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 2011379 Sample date: 2020-11-11

Report received by CADENA: 2020-11-23 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-11-23

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No QC non-conformances were observe as part of this level 2 verification review.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2011379

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-11-23

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #39524R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.302.02

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2011379 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA- 34380BEACON -01_111120	2011379-01A	Air	11/11/2020		х		
2011379	IAF- 34380BEACON -03_111120	2011379-02A	Air	11/11/2020		х		
	IAG- 34380BEACON -01_111120	2011379-03A	Air	11/11/2020		Х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		X	
6. Sample collection date		Х		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	ported		ormance eptable	Not Required	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETI	RY (GC/I	MS)				
Tier II Validation						
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х		
Tier III Validation		'		'	,	
System performance and column resolution		X		Х		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х		
Internal standard		Х		Х		
Field Duplicate Sample RPD	Х				Х	
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х		
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 14, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: December 15, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-34380BEACON-01_111120

Lab ID: 2011379-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/18/20 11:10 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:06 PM Dilution Factor: 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21111823

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.055	0.17	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.042	0.15	0.61	0.060 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.027	0.17	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.087	0.29	1.1	1.4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.076	0.17	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.082	0.23	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.019	0.11	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-34380BEACON-03_111120

Lab ID: 2011379-02A Date/Time Analyzed: 11/19/20 06:05 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:09 PM Dilution Factor: 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111917

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.48	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.49	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.31	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.52	0.92	1.1	3.6
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.30	0.54	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.45	0.73	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	118
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: IAG-34380BEACON-01_111120

Lab ID: 2011379-03A Date/Time Analyzed: 11/19/20 06:45 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:16 PM Dilution Factor: 1.65

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v111918

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.47	0.52	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.33	0.48	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.30	0.52	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.51	0.90	1.1	1.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.30	0.52	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.71	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.11	0.34	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	85
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Analysis Request / Canister Chain of Custody

Workorder #: 2011379

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone (800) 985-5955: Fax (916) 351-8279

PID:

Click links below to view:

<u>Canister Sampling Guide</u>

Helium Shroud Video

Client:		Ford	PID:	NA		Special	Instructions/	Notes: Repo	ort ONLY: 1,1-DC	E, cis-1,2-	Tu	rnarour	nd Time	Rush su	rcharges	may ap	oly)		
^o roject	Name:	Ford LTP				DCE tra	nc 1 2 DCE	1 4 Diayana	DOE TOE and	/C Cultura				ay Turnaround Time					
Project	Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.#	DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Subn P.O.# 30050315.0302.01											ested Ar	nalvse:			
Sample	r:	Seth Turner, Andrew Banit		results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena						n. Cadena			·	se Only					
Site Na	me:	34380 BEACON				#E20363	11. Level IV R	eporting			<u></u>	_			e Vote	alyz			
Lab ID	Sample Identification		Sample Identification			Can #	Flow Co		Start S	ampling nation	Stop Sar Informa		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze	
					·		Date	Time	Date	Time	霊	Ϊ	Rec	Fins	Inst	6			
Alc	AA-3	4380BEACON-01_111120	6	L1901	207	764	11/10/2020	15:57	11/11/2020	15:06	-29.5	-6.5			Х				
72A	IAF-3	34380BEACON-03_111120	6	L2164	244	427	11/10/2020	16:00	11/11/2020	15:09	-29.29	-5.5			Х				
34	IAG-:	34380BEACON-01_111120	6	L1044	24	455	11/10/2020	16:02	11/11/2020	15:16	-29.5	-5.5			Х				
		**				. "													
					-														
					_					 -							$\overline{}$		

		44.		**						***									
					-														
		**			~	-	••												
		u _										**					+		
							**			71-71		~~							
					-										†				
		**			-														
		T.			-	-													
	tot	gnature/Affiliation) WWW-/A(()	adis	>	Date	13/2	Time	<i>0</i> 0	Received by: (Signature/Af	filiation)		<u> </u>	Date	7/2	Time	<u> </u>		
Relinquis	shed by: (Si	gnature/Affiliation)	_		Date		Time		Received by: (Signature/Af	filiation)			Date		Time			
Relinquis	shed by: (Si	gnature/Affiliation)			Date		Time		Received by: (Signature/Af	filiation)	****		Date		Time			
			an gaman an n				Lab Use	Only						<u> </u>	-	1			
Shipper	Name: 7	SOLEX	Custody	Seals Intact?)	Yes		Non	e										
Sar	nple Trans	portation Notice; Relinquishing	1			(1			l l	l ammlinelle l	04-4		.1 1 :	:		1.1	 -		



11/22/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 2011378

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/17/2020 at Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 2011378

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30050315.0302.01

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 11/17/2020 CONTACT: Ausha Scott DATE COMPLETED: 11/22/2020

FINAL RECEIPT **PRESSURE FRACTION # NAME TEST** VAC./PRES. SSMP-34380BEACON-02 111120 TO-15 6.9 "Hg 01A 14.9 psi 02A SSMP-34380BEACON-01 111120 TO-15 6.3 "Hg 15 psi Lab Blank TO-15 03A NA NA 04A **CCV** TO-15 NA NA 05A LCS TO-15 NA NA **LCSD** TO-15 05AA NA NA

	Thera	4/	layer		
CERTIFIED BY:		0	0	DATE:	11/22/20

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209220, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-20-16, UT NELAP – CA009332020-12, VA NELAP - 10615, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-014, Effective date: 10/18/2020, Expiration date: 10/17/2021.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2011378

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on November 17, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
 - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
 - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34380BEACON-02_111120

Lab ID: 2011378-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/21/20 06:51 PM

Date/Time Collected:11/11/20 03:27 PMDilution Factor:2.62Media:1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)Instrument/Filename:msdp

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112114

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.8	5.7	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.9	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	4.4	8.9	460
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	3.5	7.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.84	1.7	3.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105



Client ID: SSMP-34380BEACON-01_111120

Lab ID: 2011378-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/21/20 07:21 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/11/20 03:55 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.56

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112115

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	2.5	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.7	5.5	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.8	2.5	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	4.3	8.7	390
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	2.5	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.99	3.4	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.83	1.6	3.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 2011378-03A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 11/21/20 12:59 PM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112105c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.65	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.1	2.2	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.72	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.55	1.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.51	0.99	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.39	1.3	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.64	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 2011378-04A Date/Time Analyzed: 11/21/20 11:01 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	107
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	105
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	112

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 2011378-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/21/20 11:29 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	104
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
richloroethene	79-01-6	103
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	111

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 2011378-05AA Date/Time Analyzed: 11/21/20 11:57 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	93
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	114

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



November 23, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30050315.0302.01

Client project scopæeference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical

requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins AirToxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 2011378 Sample date: 2020-11-11

Report received by CADENA: 2020-11-23 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-11-23 2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No QC non-conformances were observe as part of this level 2 verification review.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2011378

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-11-23

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #39523R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.302.02

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2011378 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full	nalysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 34380BEACON -02_111120	2011378-01A	Air	11/11/2020		Scan)		
2011378	SSMP- 34380BEACON -01_111120	2011378-02A	Air	11/11/2020		х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Reported			mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		X	
6. Sample collection date		Х		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		Reported		ormance eptable	Not		
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required		
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)							
Tier II Validation							
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х			
Tier III Validation		'		'	,		
System performance and column resolution		X		Х			
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х			
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х			
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X			
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х			
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х			
Internal standard		Х		Х			
Field Duplicate Sample RPD	Х				Х		
Compound identification and quantitation							
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х			
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х			
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X			
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х			
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		Х			

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 14, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: December 15, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-34380BEACON-02_111120

Lab ID: 2011378-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/21/20 06:51 PM

Date/Time Collected:11/11/20 03:27 PMDilution Factor:2.62Media:1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)Instrument/Filename:msdp

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112114

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.8	5.7	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.9	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	4.4	8.9	460
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	2.6	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	3.5	7.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.84	1.7	3.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105



Client ID: SSMP-34380BEACON-01_111120

Lab ID: 2011378-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/21/20 07:21 PM

Date/Time Collected:11/11/20 03:55 PMDilution Factor:2.56

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdp.i / p112115

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	2.5	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.7	5.5	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.8	2.5	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	4.3	8.7	390
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	2.5	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.99	3.4	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.83	1.6	3.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laborator 2011378
Workorder #:

Click links below to view:

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date	Tim	Time		Received by: (Signature/At			ffiliation)			Time		
It turner/Arcas			Lis	11/13/	20 11	0 1400 ZOUDA		Ta			11/17/20		1059		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date					<u> </u>	Date	Time						
														1	
										†				1 +	
														11	
														1	
														1 +	
							***							1	
														1	
									**	 		0.000		+-+	
														1-	
	~													+-+	-
									<u> </u>					+-+	-
														+ +	
			~ ~											+	-
	SSMP-34380	BEACON-01_111120	1L2610	25254	11/11/202		11/11/2020	15:55	-29.5	-6			×	++	
OIA	SSMP-34380	0BEACON-02_111120	1L2165	24500	11/11/202	0 15:15	11/11/2020	15:27	-29.5	-6.5	<u> </u>	1110	X	+-+	-
Lab ID	s	ample Identification	Can #	Can # Flow Controller		Sampling rmation Time	Stop Sa Inform Date		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze	
Site Name: 34380 beacon				#E203631. Level IV Reporting				6	6		<u> </u>	Spe	laly.		
Project Name: Ford LTP Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Sampler: Seth Turner			P.O.# 30050315.0302.01 results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena				Lab Us		se Only		e _Z e				
		P.O.# 300503				Cani	ister Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	ure Requested Analyse					
			DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit				5 Day Turnaround Time								
 .		Ford	PID: NA Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-			, 0,0 1,2	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)								