## **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-140931-1 Client Project/Site: Ford LTP

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mode Del Your

Authorized for release by: 12/9/2020 10:11:15 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

Michael.DelMonico@Eurofinset.com

·····LINKS ······

**Review your project** results through Total Access

**Have a Question?** 



Visit us at:

www.eurofinsus.com/Env

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

Laboratory Job ID: 240-140931-1

## **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

40

11

12

13

#### **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

**Qualifiers** 

**GC/MS VOA** 

Qualifier Qualifier Description

F1 MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.

J Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

**Glossary** 

Abbreviation These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.

Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis

%R Percent Recovery
CFL Contains Free Liquid
CFU Colony Forming Unit
CNF Contains No Free Liquid

DER Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)

Dil Fac Dilution Factor

DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)

DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample

DLC Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)

EDL Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)

LOD Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)

LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)

MCL EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"

MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)

MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

MDL Method Detection Limit
ML Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN Most Probable Number
MQL Method Quantitation Limit

NC Not Calculated

ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

NEG Negative / Absent POS Positive / Present

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

PRES Presumptive
QC Quality Control

RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

TNTC Too Numerous To Count

R

4

6

7

0

10

13

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-140931-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

**Narrative** 

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

**Project: Ford LTP** 

Report Number: 240-140931-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

#### **RECEIPT**

The samples were received on 11/25/2020 9:10 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 2.1° C.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)**

Samples SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 (240-140931-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-140931-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 12/03/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)**

Sample SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 (240-140931-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 12/01/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

4

\_

6

7

10

12

13

#### **Method Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-140931-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

4

5

6

7

8

46

11

40

## **Sample Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-140931-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-140931-1	SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01_111920	Water	11/19/20 16:30	11/25/20 09:10	
240-140931-2	TRIP BLANK	Water	11/19/20 00:00	11/25/20 09:10	

3

4

6

Q

9

11

40

11)

## **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

|--|

An	alyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Tet	trachloroethene	0.22	J	1.0	0.15	ug/L	1		8260B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK	Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-2

No Detections.

5

5

\_

8

10

111

13

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-1

Date Collected: 11/19/20 16:30

Date Received: 11/25/20 09:10

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			12/01/20 14:14	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	97		70 - 133					12/01/20 14:14	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Tetrachloroethene	0.22	J	1.0	0.15	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)			75 - 130			•		12/03/20 12:45	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100		47 - 134					12/03/20 12:45	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		69 - 122					12/03/20 12:45	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	118		78 - 129					12/03/20 12:45	1

**Matrix: Water** 

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

Date Collected: 11/19/20 00:00 Date Received: 11/25/20 09:10 Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-2

**Matrix: Water** 

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)			75 - 130					12/03/20 13:07	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	105		47 - 134					12/03/20 13:07	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	110		69 - 122					12/03/20 13:07	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	125		78 - 129					12/03/20 13:07	1

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

#### **Surrogate Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA** 

			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Rec
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(75-130)	(47-134)	(69-122)	(78-129)
240-140641-A-12 MS	Matrix Spike	115	104	107	125
240-140641-A-12 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	110	113	108	115
240-140931-1	SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01_1119 20	111	100	104	118
240-140931-2	TRIP BLANK	117	105	110	125
LCS 240-463789/4	Lab Control Sample	108	100	99	110
MB 240-463789/6	Method Blank	105	91	96	114
Surrogate Legend					

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-133)	
240-140839-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	102	
240-140839-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	105	
240-140931-1	SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01_1119 20	97	
LCS 240-463494/4	Lab Control Sample	97	
MB 240-463494/5	Method Blank	98	

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Page 10 of 18

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1 Project/Site: Ford LTP

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-463789/6

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 463789

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

MB MB Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Dil Fac Analyte D Prepared Analyzed 1,1-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.19 ug/L 12/03/20 10:09 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.16 ug/L 12/03/20 10:09 1.0 U Tetrachloroethene 1.0 0.15 ug/L 12/03/20 10:09 0.19 ug/L trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 12/03/20 10:09 Trichloroethene 10 U 1.0 0.10 ug/L 12/03/20 10:09 Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 1.0 0.20 ug/L 12/03/20 10:09

MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Dil Fac Analyzed 105 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 75 - 130 12/03/20 10:09 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 91 47 - 134 12/03/20 10:09 96 69 - 122 12/03/20 10:09 Toluene-d8 (Surr) Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 114 78 - 129 12/03/20 10:09

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-463789/4

**Matrix: Water** 

1,1-Dichloroethene

Tetrachloroethene

Trichloroethene

Vinyl chloride

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

trans-1.2-Dichloroethene

Analyte

**Analysis Batch: 463789** 

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 10.0 10.8 108 73 - 129 ug/L 10.0 11.2 ug/L 112 75 - 124 10.0 8.08 ug/L 81 70 - 125 74 - 130 10.0 11.1 ug/L 111 10.0 8.82 88 71 - 121 ug/L 10.0 11.1 ug/L 111 61 - 134

LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 108 75 - 130 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 100 47 - 134 69 - 122 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 99 78 - 129 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 110

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 463789** 

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Lab Sample ID: 240-140641-A-12 MS Prep Type: Total/NA

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	560	F1	250	900	F1	ug/L		135	68 - 121	
Tetrachloroethene	64		250	251		ug/L		75	52 - 129	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	25	U	250	302		ug/L		121	69 - 126	
Trichloroethene	28		250	261		ug/L		93	56 - 124	
Vinyl chloride	25	U	250	305		ug/L		122	49 - 136	

	MS	MS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	115		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	107		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	125		78 - 129

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Page 11 of 18

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-140641-A-12 MSD

**Matrix: Water** 

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Analysis Batch: 463789

Client Sample ID: Ma	trix Spike Duplicate
	Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Analysis Batch: 400703	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	560	F1	250	849		ug/L		114	68 - 121	6	35
Tetrachloroethene	64		250	294		ug/L		92	52 - 129	16	35
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	25	U	250	295		ug/L		118	69 - 126	2	35
Trichloroethene	28		250	269		ug/L		96	56 - 124	3	35
Vinyl chloride	25	U	250	301		ug/L		120	49 - 136	2	35

MSD MSD Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 110 75 - 130 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 113 47 - 134 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 108 69 - 122 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 115 78 - 129

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-463494/5

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 463494

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

MB MB Analyte Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 12/01/20 12:58 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 Ū 2.0 0.86 ug/L

MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier

Limits Prepared Analyzed 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 98 70 - 133 12/01/20 12:58

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-463494/4

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 463494

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits 1.4-Dioxane 10.0 10.2 ug/L 102 80 - 135

LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 70 - 133 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 97

Lab Sample ID: 240-140839-C-7 MS

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 463494

MS MS %Rec. Sample Sample Spike **Analyte** Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 6.5 10.0 17.1 ug/L 106 46 - 170

MS MS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 70 - 133 102

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** 

Dil Fac

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

#### **QC Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 463494

Sample Sample Spike MSD MSD %Rec. RPD

Analysis Batch: 463494

Sample Sample Spike MSD MSD %Rec. RPD

Analysis Batch: 463494

Result Qualifier RPD Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits Limit 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 46 - 170 6.5 17.3 ug/L 108 1 26

MSD MSD

Lab Sample ID: 240-140839-C-7 MSD

**Matrix: Water** 

Surrogate%RecoveryQualifierLimits1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)10570 - 133

## **QC Association Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-140931-1

#### **GC/MS VOA**

#### Analysis Batch: 463494

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-140931-1	SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01_111920	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-463494/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-463494/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-140839-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-140839-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

#### **Analysis Batch: 463789**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-140931-1	SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01_111920	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-140931-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-463789/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-463789/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-140641-A-12 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-140641-A-12 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

Δ

6

8

9

4.0

13

#### **Lab Chronicle**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-1 Date Collected: 11/19/20 16:30

**Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 11/25/20 09:10

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	463789	12/03/20 12:45	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	463494	12/01/20 14:14	SAM	TAL CAN

Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-2 **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

Date Collected: 11/19/20 00:00 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 11/25/20 09:10

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	463789	12/03/20 13:07	LEE	TAL CAN

**Laboratory References:** 

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

## **Accreditation/Certification Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-140931-1

#### **Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton**

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	<b>Expiration Date</b>
California	State	2927	02-23-21
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-21
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-21
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-21
Illinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-21
lowa	State	421	06-01-21
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-21
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-21
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-20
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-20
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State	3506	08-01-21
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-21
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-21
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-24-21
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-21
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-21
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-18-00281	09-17-21
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-21
Washington	State	C971	01-12-21
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-20

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

## Chain of Custody Record

FestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton --- 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

**TestAmerica** 

Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions: 1 of 1 COCs TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. or lab use only Walk-in client ab sampling ob/SDG No: Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month Archive For MIS 80928 anexold-4, Return to Client X Disposal By Lab Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico Telephone: 330-497-9396 80978 3De Lans-1,2-DCE 82608 12-1,2-DCE 8260B 'T-DCE 85008 D=ds10 / J=s1leogmoJ Other: Filtered Sample (Y / N) 240-140931 Chain of Custody Containers & Preservatives Analysis Turnaround Time Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis Saudur Telephone: 734-320-0065 />Anz NPDES 5 Day HOEN IDH MO EONH Regulatory program: #OSZH Other: pilo Juamiba snoənb∀ mail: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com Unknown Hent Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Method of Shipment/Carrier: Sample Time elephone: 248-994-2240 16:30 Poison B Shipping/Tracking No: Sample Date Possible Hazard Identification 11/19/2020 Flammable Skin Irritant Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Sample Identification Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 Project Number: 30050315,302.01 SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis Project Name: Ford LTP PO # 30050315.302.01 Phone: 248-994-2240 rip Blank Page 17 of 18

omit all results through Cadena at Jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631

Date/Time: 1 1/ 2.0/ 2.0

10 30

Date Time:

company: Arcadis

ceived in Laboratory by:

Date/ 11/24/2030

Time: // /

Date/

am

Company: Areadis

Company: Arcadis

Received by: Novi Cold Storage

Arcadis Areals nquished by: elinquished by:

ó Ţ îîé

Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Canton Facility	Login #:	140931
	Cooler un	packed by:
Client Arcadis Site Name	Cooler un	packed by.
Cooler Received on 11-25-20 Opened on 11-25-20	Jan	my Kay gr
FedEx: 1st Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier	Other	1
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Location		0
Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other _ COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None		
1. Cooler temperature upon receipt IR GUN# IR-11 (CF +0.9 °C) IR GUN #IR-12 (CF +0.5 °C) Observed Cooler Temp °C Corrected Cooler COOLER GUN #IR-12 (CF +0.5 °C) Observed Cooler Temp °C Corrected Cooler	Temp Temp No	°C °C Tests that are not
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?	No NA	checked for pH by Receiving:
<ul> <li>4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)?</li> <li>5. Were the custody papers relinquished &amp; signed in the appropriate place?</li> <li>6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC?</li> </ul>	No No No	Oil and Grease TOC
8. Could all bottle labels (ID/Date/Time) be reconciled with the COC?  9. For each sample, does the COC specify preservatives (Y/N), # of containers (Y/N), and		grab/comp(Y/N)?
11. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?	No No No	
If yes, Questions 13-17 have been checked at the originating laboratory.  13. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	5	oH Strip Lot# <b>HC907861</b>
14. Were VOAs on the COC?		
15. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this.	(No) NA	
16. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #		
Contacted PM by via Verbal	Voice Mail Oth	ner
Concerning		
18. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES  additional next page	Samples pro	cessed by:
19. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s) were received after the recommended hole	ding time had e	xpired.
Sample(s) were received after the received a	d in a broken co	ontainer.
Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm		
20. SAMPLE PRESERVATION		
Sample(s) were fi	arther preserved	I in the laboratory.
Sample(s) were fill Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):		

#### DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



December 09, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30050315.302.01 off site

Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory submittal: 140931-1 Sample date: 2020-11-19

Report received by CADENA: 2020-12-09

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-12-09

Number of Samples: 1 Water and 1 trip blank

Sample Matrices: Water Test Categories: GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

MS/MSD recovery outliers or sample duplicate RPD outliers were not determined using a client sample from this submittal for the test and QC batch noted so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers: GCMS VOC QC batch 463789.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <a href="http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm">http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</a>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

## **Analytical Results Summary**

**CADENA Project ID:** E203631

**Laboratory:** TestAmerica - North Canton

**Laboratory Submittal:** 140931-1

	Sample Name:	SUMP-3	4380CAP	ITOL-01	_111920	TRIP BLA	ANK		
	Lab Sample ID:	2401409311				2401409312			
	Sample Date:	11/19/2	020			11/19/2	020		
			Report		Valid		Report		Valid
Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
<u>0B</u>									
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.22	1.0	ug/l	J	ND	1.0	ug/l	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OBBSim</u>									
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l					
	OB  1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride  OBBSim	Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:  Analyte  Cas No.  OB  1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloroethene Trichloroethene Vinyl chloride OBBSim	Lab Sample ID:       2401409         Sample Date:       11/19/20         Analyte       Cas No.       Result         0B       1,1-Dichloroethene       75-35-4       ND         cis-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-59-2       ND         Tetrachloroethene       127-18-4       0.22         trans-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-60-5       ND         Trichloroethene       79-01-6       ND         Vinyl chloride       75-01-4       ND         0BBSim	Lab Sample ID: 2401409311         Sample Date:       11/19/2020         Report         Analyte       Cas No.       Result       Limit         0B       1,1-Dichloroethene       75-35-4       ND       1.0         cis-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-59-2       ND       1.0         Tetrachloroethene       127-18-4       0.22       1.0         trans-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-60-5       ND       1.0         Trichloroethene       79-01-6       ND       1.0         Vinyl chloride       75-01-4       ND       1.0         OBBSim	Lab Sample ID: 2401409311   Sample Date: 11/19/2020   Report	Lab Sample ID: 2401409311         Sample Date:       11/19/2∪2∪       Report       Valid         Analyte       Cas No.       Result       Limit       Units       Qualifier         0B       1,1-Dichloroethene       75-35-4       ND       1.0       ug/l          cis-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-59-2       ND       1.0       ug/l          Tetrachloroethene       127-18-4       0.22       1.0       ug/l       J         trans-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-60-5       ND       1.0       ug/l          Trichloroethene       79-01-6       ND       1.0       ug/l          Vinyl chloride       75-01-4       ND       1.0       ug/l          0BBSim	Lab Sample ID: 2401409311       2401409311       2401409         Sample Date:       11/19/2020       11/19/20       11/19/20         Analyte       Cas No.       Result       Limit       Units       Qualifier       Result         0B         1,1-Dichloroethene       75-35-4       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND         cis-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-59-2       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND         Tetrachloroethene       127-18-4       0.22       1.0       ug/l        ND         trans-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-60-5       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND         Trichloroethene       79-01-6       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND         Vinyl chloride       75-01-4       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND         OBBSim	Lab Sample ID: 2401409311       2401409312       2401409312         Sample Date: 11/19/2∪20       Report Valid Valid Report Result Limit         Analyte       Cas No.       Result Limit Limit       Units Units Units       Qualifier Qualifier       Result Limit         0B       1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 127-18-4 0.22 1.0 ug/l J ND 1.0 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 79-01-6 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 Vinyl chloride 75-01-4 ND 1.0 ug/l	Lab Sample ID: 2401409311       2401409312       2401409312         Sample Date:       11/19/2020       Report       Valid       Report       Units         Analyte       Cas No.       Result       Limit       Units       Qualifier       Result       Limit       Units         0B       1,1-Dichloroethene       75-35-4       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND       1.0       ug/l         cis-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-59-2       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND       1.0       ug/l         Tetrachloroethene       127-18-4       0.22       1.0       ug/l       J       ND       1.0       ug/l         trans-1,2-Dichloroethene       156-60-5       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND       1.0       ug/l         Trichloroethene       79-01-6       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND       1.0       ug/l         Vinyl chloride       75-01-4       ND       1.0       ug/l        ND       1.0       ug/l         0BBSim



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-140931-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-12-09

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #39459R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.302.02

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-140931-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-140931-1	SUMP-34380CAPITOL- 01_111920	240-140931-1	Water	11/19/2020		Х	Х	
	TRIP BLANK	240-140931-2	Water	11/19/2020		Х		

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM		Reported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 9, 2020

a Kaz

PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: December 9, 2020

## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## Chain of Custody Record

FestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton --- 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

**TestAmerica** 

Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions: 1 of 1 COCs TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. or lab use only Walk-in client ab sampling ob/SDG No: Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month Archive For MIS 80928 anexold-4, Return to Client X Disposal By Lab Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico Telephone: 330-497-9396 80978 3De Lans-1,2-DCE 82608 12-1,2-DCE 8260B 'T-DCE 85008 D=ds10 / J=s1leogmoJ Other: Filtered Sample (Y / N) 240-140931 Chain of Custody Containers & Preservatives Analysis Turnaround Time Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis Saudur Telephone: 734-320-0065 />Anz NPDES 5 Day HOEN IDH MO EONH Regulatory program: #OSZH Other: pilo Juamiba snoənb∀ mail: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com Unknown Hent Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Method of Shipment/Carrier: Sample Time elephone: 248-994-2240 16:30 Poison B Shipping/Tracking No: Sample Date Possible Hazard Identification 11/19/2020 Flammable Skin Irritant Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Sample Identification Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 Project Number: 30050315,302.01 SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis Project Name: Ford LTP PO # 30050315.302.01 Phone: 248-994-2240 rip Blank Page 17 of 18

omit all results through Cadena at Jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631

Date/Time: 1 1/ 2.0/ 2.0

10 30

Date Time:

company: Arcadis

ceived in Laboratory by:

Date/ 11/24/2030

Time: // /

Date/

am

Company: Areadis

Company: Arcadis

Received by: Novi Cold Storage

Arcadis Areals nquished by: elinquished by:

ó Ţ îîé

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-1

Date Collected: 11/19/20 16:30 Date Received: 11/25/20 09:10

**Matrix: Water** 

D	ate Rece	ivea: 11/2:	0/20 09:10	
$\overline{}$				

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			12/01/20 14:14	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	97		70 - 133			_		12/01/20 14:14	1

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	•	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0		1.0	0.19		=		12/03/20 12:45	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Tetrachloroethene	0.22	J	1.0	0.15	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			12/03/20 12:45	1
·					· ·				

Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	111	75 - 130		12/03/20 12:45	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	100	47 - 134	7	12/03/20 12:45	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104	69 - 122	7	12/03/20 12:45	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	118	78 - 129		12/03/20 12:45	1

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-140931-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

Date Collected: 11/19/20 00:00 Date Received: 11/25/20 09:10 Lab Sample ID: 240-140931-2

**Matrix: Water** 

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			12/03/20 13:07	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)			75 - 130					12/03/20 13:07	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	105		47 - 134					12/03/20 13:07	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	110		69 - 122					12/03/20 13:07	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	125		78 - 129					12/03/20 13:07	1

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13



12/3/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 2011571

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/24/2020 at Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



#### **WORK ORDER #: 2011571**

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30050315.0302.01

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 11/24/2020 CONTACT: Ausha Scott 12/03/2020

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-34380CAPITOL-01_111920	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAF-34380CAPITOL-02_111920	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAB-34380CAPITOL-03_111920	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAG-34380CAPITOL-01_111920	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
04B	IAG-34380CAPITOL-01_111920	Modified TO-15	5.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

DATE 12/03/20	
CERTIFIED BY: DATE: 12/03/20	

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209220, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-20-16, UT NELAP – CA009332020-12, VA NELAP - 10615, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-014, Effective date: 10/18/2020, Expiration date: 10/17/2021.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



# LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2011571

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on November 24, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Dilution was performed on sample IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 due to the presence of high level target species.

The results for sample IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 was acquired from two separate data files originating from the same analytical run. The two data files have the same base file name and are differentiated with a "sim" extension on the SIM data file.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates



as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



**Client ID:** AA-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 07:13 AM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113008

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.37	0.57	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.52	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.30	0.57	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.43	0.98	1.1	1.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.32	0.57	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.46	0.78	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.13	0.37	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



**Client ID:** IAF-34380CAPITOL-02\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 07:52 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:05 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113009

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.37	0.55	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.33	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.46	1.0	1.2	260
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.49	0.83	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.39	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



**Client ID:** IAB-34380CAPITOL-03\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 08:31 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:08 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113010

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.37	0.55	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.33	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.46	1.0	1.2	280
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.49	0.83	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.39	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



**Client ID:** IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 11:22 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:02 PM **Dilution Factor:** 16.1

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113013

Compound		MDL LOD CAS# (ug/m3) (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit	Amount	
	CAS#		(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	3.6	5.6	6.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.4	5.1	5.8	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.0	5.6	6.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	4.2	9.6	11	3300
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.2	5.6	6.4	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

**Client ID:** IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 11:22 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:02 PM **Dilution Factor:** 16.1

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113013sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.86	1.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: Lab Blank
Lab ID: 2011571-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 06:18 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113007a

		MDL	MDL LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.22	0.35	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.21	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.19	0.35	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.26	0.60	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.20	0.35	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.28	0.47	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.081	0.22	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: Lab Blank

**Lab ID:** 2011571-05B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 06:18 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113007sima

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.013	0.054	0.11	0.086 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.0093	0.013	0.026	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 2011571-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/30/20 06:58 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113002

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	110
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	113
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	106

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 2011571-06B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/30/20 06:58 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113002sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	89
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

# **eurofins**Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 2011571-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/30/20 07:53 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113003

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	106
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	104
richloroethene	79-01-6	95
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	102

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

# eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 2011571-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/30/20 08:42 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113004

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	108
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	110
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	106
richloroethene	79-01-6	97
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 2011571-07B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/30/20 07:53 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113003sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	90
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	96

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 2011571-07BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/30/20 08:42 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113004sim

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	92
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



December 03, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30050315.0302.01

Client project scoperence: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical

requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins AirToxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 2011571 Sample date: 2020-11-19

Report received by CADENA: 2020-12-03 Initial Data Verification completed: 2020-12-03

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

TO-15 method blank from 12/1 batch had a detection below the RL for trichloroethylene. Qualification of field results was not required based on this method blank detection.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2011571

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-12-03

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #39460R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.302.02

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2011571 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Į.	Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA- 34380CAPITOL- 01_111920	2011571-01A	Air	11/19/2020		Х		
343	IAF- 34380CAPITOL- 02_111920	2011571-02A	Air	11/19/2020		X		
2011571	IAB- 34380CAPITOL- 03_111920	2011571-03A	Air	11/19/2020		X		
	IAG- 34380CAPITOL- 01_111920	2011571-04B	Air	11/19/2020		X	Х	

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan) and TO-15-SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15 and USEPA TO-15-SIM	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

The laboratory noted: Dilution was performed on sample IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920 due to the presence of high-level target species.

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan) and TO-15 SIM		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	VIS)				
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation		·		·	
System performance and column resolution		X		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD	Х				Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 9, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: December 9, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

# NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Client ID:** AA-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 07:13 AM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113008

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.37	0.57	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.34	0.52	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.30	0.57	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.43	0.98	1.1	1.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.32	0.57	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.46	0.78	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.13	0.37	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



**Client ID:** IAF-34380CAPITOL-02\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 07:52 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:05 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113009

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.37	0.55	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.33	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.46	1.0	1.2	260
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.49	0.83	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.39	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



**Client ID:** IAB-34380CAPITOL-03\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 08:31 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:08 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.75

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113010

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.39	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.37	0.55	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.33	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.46	1.0	1.2	280
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.61	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.49	0.83	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.39	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



**Client ID:** IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 11:22 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:02 PM **Dilution Factor:** 16.1

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113013

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	AS# (ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	3.6	5.6	6.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.4	5.1	5.8	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.0	5.6	6.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	4.2	9.6	11	3300
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.2	5.6	6.4	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM Ford LTP

**Client ID:** IAG-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011571-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/1/20 11:22 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:02 PM **Dilution Factor:** 16.1

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd20.i / 20113013sim

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.86	1.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only Workorder #2011571

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

Click links below to view:

Canister Sampling Guide

Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit 5 Day Turnaround Time Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# 30050315,0302.01 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses Sampler: results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Seth Turner, Andrew Banitt TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Lab Use Only Do Not Analyze Site Name: 34380 capitol #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N<sub>2</sub> / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) **Start Sampling** Lab Stop Sampling Sample Identification Flow Controller Receipt Can# Information ID Information Date Time Date Time 110 AA-34380CAPITOL-01 111920 6L1945 21352 11/18/2020 11/19/2020 17:47 16:00 -29.5 -5 Х OA IAF-34380CAPITOL-02\_111920 6L2492 24088 11/18/2020 17:53 11/19/2020 16:05 -29.5 -6.5 Х 034 IAB-34380CAPITOL-03\_111920 6L1619 24779 11/18/2020 17:55 11/19/2020 16:08 -29.5 -6.5 nus IAG-34380CAPITOL-01 111920 6L1970 24792 11/18/2020 17:50 11/19/2020 16:02 -29.5 -5 Х -------------Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time 00 1046 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) rime Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



12/3/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 2011572

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/24/2020 at Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 

Scott



#### **WORK ORDER #: 2011572**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30050315.0302.01

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 11/24/2020 CONTACT: Ausha Scott DATE COMPLETED: 12/03/2020

 FRACTION #
 NAME
 TEST
 VAC./PRES.
 PRESSURE

 01A
 SSMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920
 TO-15
 6.0 "Hg
 15 psi

 02A
 Leb Plants
 TO-15
 NA
 NA

02A Lab Blank TO-15 NA NA 03A **CCV** TO-15 NA NA 04A LCS TO-15 NA NA 04AA **LCSD** TO-15 NA NA

	The	udi /	layer		
CERTIFIED BY:	0		0	DATE: 1	12/03/20

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209220, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-20-16, UT NELAP – CA009332020-12, VA NELAP - 10615, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-014, Effective date: 10/18/2020, Expiration date: 10/17/2021.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2011572

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on November 24, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



**Client ID:** SSMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011572-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/20 02:29 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:43 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j120209

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.4	12	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.1	8.5	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.6	4.1	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.58	1.9	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: Lab Blank
Lab ID: 2011572-02A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 12/2/20 01:18 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j120208c

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.67	1.2	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.8	4.9	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.52	1.2	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.95	2.0	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.40	1.2	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.64	1.6	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.23	0.77	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 2011572-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/20 11:13 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j120205

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	112
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	95
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	76

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	88
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	115
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	94



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 2011572-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/20 10:03 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j120203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	92
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	98
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	116
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
richloroethene	79-01-6	99
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	79

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	117
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 2011572-04AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/20 10:31 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j120204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	90
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	91
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	98
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	114
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
richloroethene	79-01-6	98
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	78

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	117
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



December 03, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30050315.0302.01

Client project scoperence: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical

requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins AirToxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 2011572 Sample date: 2020-11-19

Report received by CADENA: 2020-12-03 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-12-03 1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No QC non-conformances were observe as part of this level 2 verification review.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2011572

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-12-03

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #39461R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.302.02

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2011572 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2011572	SSMP- 34380CAPITOL- 01_111920	2011572-01A	Air	11/19/2020		Х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X		
Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		ported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation		'	'		,
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD	Х				Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 9, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: December 9, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Client ID:** SSMP-34380CAPITOL-01\_111920

**Lab ID:** 2011572-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 12/2/20 02:29 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/19/20 04:43 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j120209

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	4.4	12	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.4	5.1	8.5	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.0	3.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.6	4.1	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.58	1.9	3.2	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only Workorder 2011572

Click links below to view:

		Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95 -5955; Fax (916) 351-8279	5630		94 V. A. A.		<del></del>			Caniste	r Samplin	***************************************				
Client:		Ford	PID:	NA Speci	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-   Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may app							anbel				
Projec <sup>e</sup>	t Name:	Ford LTP		***************************************						5 Day Turnaround Time						
Projec	t Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.# 300503	15.0302.01	DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit 0.0302.01				Canister Vacuum/Pressure				ested /	Analyses		
Sample	er:	Seth Turner, Andrew Bani	itt	results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena			T		se Only		1	Ilaiyaca				
Site Na	ame:	34380 capitol		#E20:	3631. Level IV Rep	porting			<b> </b> _		Lab	4. D. 869 P. 958 E. II	peci	lyze		
Lab (		Sample Identification	Can#	Flow Controll	Start Sar	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Final (in Hg)	ipt	(psig) N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze		
				#	Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial (in Hg)	ina i	Receipt	Final Gas:	O-1 Instri	0		
Olar	SSMP-3438	30CAPITOL-01_111920	1L2108	24560	11/19/2020	16:31	11/19/2020	16:43	-29	-6			X	+	<del>-  </del>	
	-		-						<u> </u>				<del></del>	+	<del></del>	
											346			+	_	
						~								+		
														1	,	
			***											1		
								***	<u> </u>		9888			1	_	
				+		***								+		
			-			+-		~-						+		
						***							···	++	_	
	-					***								+		
														1		
								**	f	<del> </del>			ļ	++		
	<u></u>									<del></del>			<b> </b>	++		
					<del>-</del>		+ - +						<del></del>	<del></del>		
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)  Lattury Arcalis  Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date 11/2-0/3	720/20 Time			Received by: (Signature/Af			Pangarin .	Date  Out  Out  Date		Time				
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date	Time Received by: (Signature/.		Signature/Af	Affiliation)			Date		Time					
		-			Lab Use (	Only							(0.05).00.4	received to	20 100 20 30	
Shipper		EelBe	Custody Seals Int		res No	Non	ie						,	-	1000	
Sample	a Transport	tation Notice: Relinquishing sign	nature on this docume	int indicates that sar	nples are shipped	in compliar	nce with all applic	able local, S	state, Fed	eral, and	internatio	onal laws, r	egulation:	s, and c	ordinances	
of any k	ind. Relinqui	uishing signature also indicates ag	preement to hold harm	nless, detend, and in	ndemnify Eurofins . mples. D.O T Hotlin	Air Toxics a	against any claim,	, demand, or	r action, o	f any kind	d, related	to the colle	ection, ha	ndling,	of shipping	