

2/26/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1902367

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

Scott



#### WORK ORDER #: 1902367

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 02/19/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 02/26/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	IAG-34450Beacon-01_021419	Modified TO-15	5.9 "Hg	4.8 psi
02A	AA-34450Beacon-01_021419	Modified TO-15	2.2 "Hg	4.7 psi
03A	IAF-34450Beacon-03_021419	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	5.6 psi
04A	IAF-34450Beacon-04_021419	Modified TO-15	6.3 "Hg	5.2 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	1	eide Tlayer		
CERTIFIED BY:		00	DATE: $\frac{02/26/19}{}$	

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1902367

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on February 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:



- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: IAG-34450Beacon-01\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 11:28 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:06 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.65

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022120

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.80	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



**Client ID:** AA-34450Beacon-01\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 09:02 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:03 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.43

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022123

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.27	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.30	0.46	0.52	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.34	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.48	0.87	0.97	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.48	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.35	0.69	0.77	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.28	0.33	0.36	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



**Client ID:** IAF-34450Beacon-03\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 07:37 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:10 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.76

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022121

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.34	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.37	0.57	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.42	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	0.85	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.34	0.40	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



**Client ID:** IAF-34450Beacon-04\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 08:17 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:12 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.72

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022122

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.33	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.36	0.56	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.41	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.58	1.0	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.58	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.42	0.83	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.33	0.40	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1902367-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 2/21/19 01:08 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022106a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.21	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.34	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.34	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.25	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1902367-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 10:18 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	95
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

## eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1902367-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 11:02 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	108
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	115
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	110
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	91
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

# eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1902367-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 11:39 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	107
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	112
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	112
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
richloroethene	79-01-6	107
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 26, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1902367 Sample date: 2019-02-15

Report received by CADENA: 2019-02-26

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-02-26

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1902367

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-02-26

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32066R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1902367 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	lection Parent			
				2013	Sample			
	IAG-34450BEACON- 01_021419	1902367-01A	Air	2/15/2019		X		
4000007	AA-34450BEACON- 01_021419	1902367-02A	Air	2/15/2019		X		
1902367	IAF-34450BEACON- 03_021419	1902367-03A	Air	2/15/2019		X		
	IAF-34450BEACON- 04_021419	1902367-04A	Air	2/15/2019		X		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		orted		rmance eptable	Not		
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required		
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)							
Tier II Validation							
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		Х		Х			
Tier III Validation							
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х			
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х			
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х			
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х			
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х			
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х			
Internal standard		Х		Х			
Compound identification and quantitation							
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х			
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х			
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х			
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х			
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х			

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: March 14, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 18, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: IAG-34450Beacon-01\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 11:28 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:06 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.65

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022120

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.32	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.35	0.54	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.56	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.55	0.59	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.41	0.80	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.32	0.38	0.42	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



**Client ID:** AA-34450Beacon-01\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 09:02 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:03 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.43

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022123

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.27	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.30	0.46	0.52	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.34	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.48	0.87	0.97	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.48	0.51	0.57	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.35	0.69	0.77	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.28	0.33	0.36	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



**Client ID:** IAF-34450Beacon-03\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 07:37 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:10 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.76

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022121

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.34	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.37	0.57	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.42	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	0.63	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	0.85	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.34	0.40	0.45	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



**Client ID:** IAF-34450Beacon-04\_021419

**Lab ID:** 1902367-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 08:17 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/15/19 09:12 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.72

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msdv.i / v022122

Campanad	0.40#	MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/iii3)	(ug/iii3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.33	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.36	0.56	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.41	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.58	1.0	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.58	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.42	0.83	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.33	0.40	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

1 of 1

### 🐫 eurofins

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

Air Toxics

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

PID:

For Laboratory Use Only
Workorder #: 1902-367

Click links below to view: Canister Sampling Guide

Phone	(800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8	2/9								<u>Helium S</u>	Shroud V	ideo			-	
Client:	Ford	PID:	-	Special In	structions/Note	es: Report ON	LY: 1,1-DCE,	cis-1,2-	T	urnaroui	nd Time	(Rush st	ırcharg	es may	apply)	
Projec	t Name: Ford LTP			DCE, tran	s-1,2-DCE, 1,4	I-Dioxane, PC	E, TCE and V	C. Submit	5 day							*
Projec	t Manager: Kris Hinskey	P.O.# MI001454.	.0003						Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Rec	quested	Analys	ies
Sampl	TRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE OF T	lenter		results thr	ough Cadena	at jim.tomalia	@cadena.com	ı. Cadena			Lab Us	e Only	cial 38)			
Site N	ame: 34450 Beacon			#E203631	. Level IV Rep	orting			_	_		e	Spe /Not			
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Cont	roller#	Start Sa Inforn	ampling nation	Stop Sa Inform		nitial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)			
,,,					Date	Time	Date	Time	ij	Fins	Rec	Fine	OT IS			
0/A	IAG-34450 Bearon-01.0344	hiller	7412		2-14-19	1008	2-15-19	0906	-29	-5.5			Χ			
OZA	AA-34450 Bacon-01_021419	61.0457	8831		2-14-19	1013	2-15-19	0903	-29	-1.5			X			
03A	IAF-34450Bearen-03_021419	66/6/12	8700		2-14-19	1015	2-15-19	0910	-29	-4.5			$\times$			
04A	TAF-24450 Boron-04-021419	66/081	8775		2-14-19	1014	7-15-19	0912	79	-4			X			
	54P-31150 Begon-01-07/519	113102	23132		2-15-19	0935	2-15-19	095)	-29	-5			X			
	<u> </u>		2328	5	2-15-19	6957	2-15-19	1008	-29	-5			X			
	SSMP-34450Beacen-03_02154	1L2973	24351	<u> </u>	2-15-19	0933	2-15-19	0943	-29	-5			X			
	· •••										<u> </u>				ļ	
							<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
<b></b>					<b></b>		<u> </u>		ļ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
ļ									ļ	ļ	<b></b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ
<u> </u>					ļ				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b></b>	<b>_</b>	<b></b>		ļ
ļ				· , ,			<b>_</b>		ļ	ļ	<b>_</b>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
					<u> </u>				ļ	-	<u> </u>	ļ	↓			<u> </u>
New	uished by: (Signature/Affiliation)  (Color)  uished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date  Date	-19	Time		Received by	De	FAR	-		Date 2/19 Date	IA	Time /03	35	
T CHI IC	gorice by Cognicion annatory		Date		Tune		Proceived by	r. (Signature	Ampation	ij.		Date		FHIIC		
Relinq	uished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by	r: (Signature	/Affiliation	1)		Date		Time		
										,						
				75		lse Only										
	r Name: Ped Ex	Custody Seals Inta		( Yes	/	Non										
	ple Transportation Notice: Relinquis															
orama	nces of any kind. Relinquishing signatu	are aiso moicates ag			ss, detend, and poing of sample				iny claim	, demand	i, or actio	n, ot any	Kind, re	aated to	tne col	lection



3/4/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1902372R1

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 

Scott



#### WORK ORDER #: 1902372R1

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 02/19/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott **DATE COMPLETED:** 02/25/2019

**DATE REISSUED:** 03/04/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<b>TEST</b>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A(cancelled)	SSMP-34450Beacon-01_021519	TO-15	0.8 "Hg	15 psi
02A	SSMP-34450Beacon-02_021519	TO-15	4.3 "Hg	15.5 psi
03A	SSMP-34450Beacon-03_021519	TO-15	5.7 "Hg	15.1 psi
04A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04B	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05B	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA
06B	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06BB	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide /	Rayes		
CERTIFIED BY:	0		0	DATE:	03/04/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1902372R1

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister samples were received on February 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

The Work Order was re-issued on 03/04/19 to cancel sample SSMP-34450Beacon-01\_021519 per client's request.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



**Client ID:** SSMP-34450Beacon-02\_021519

 Lab ID:
 1902372R1-02A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 2/22/19 12:22 AM

 Date/Time Collected:
 2/15/19 09:57 AM
 Dilution Factor:
 2.40

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022126

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	8.6	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.5	8.1	1.8 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.2	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	86
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



**Client ID:** SSMP-34450Beacon-03\_021519

 Lab ID:
 1902372R1-03A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 2/22/19 04:06 PM

 Date/Time Collected:
 2/15/19 09:33 AM
 Dilution Factor:
 2.50

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022210

	MDL CAS# (ug/m3)	MDL LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount	
Compound		(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	9.0	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7	6.8	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.4	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.8	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: Lab Blank

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 12:46 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022106a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS# (ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.71	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.68	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.72	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: Lab Blank

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-04B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 09:43 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022205a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS# (ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.71	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.68	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.72	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 10:39 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	85
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	91
richloroethene	79-01-6	94
'inyl Chloride	75-01-4	89

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-05B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 08:17 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	88
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	94
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	91

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	81
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 11:04 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	97
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	81
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	95
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	93

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-06AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/21/19 11:29 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	82
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	96
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-06B **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 08:41 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022203

O-manual.	0.40#	%Recovery
Compound	CAS#	<u>-</u>
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	81
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1902372R1-06BB **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/22/19 09:06 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	79
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	82
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



REVISED REPORT: March 4, 2019

REVISION SUMMARY: Sample SSMP-34450Beacon-01-021519 analysis was cancelled.

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1902372R1

Sample date: 2019-02-15

Report received by CADENA: 2019-02-25

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-02-26

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1902372R1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-02-26

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32067R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1902372R1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 34450BEACON- 02_021519	1902372R1-02A	Air	2/15/2019		x		
1902372R1	SSMP- 34450BEACON- 03_021519	1902372R1-03A	Air	2/15/2019		х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Reported			rmance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		orted		rmance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: March 15, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria`

DATE: March 18, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



**Client ID:** SSMP-34450Beacon-02\_021519

 Lab ID:
 1902372R1-02A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 2/22/19 12:22 AM

 Date/Time Collected:
 2/15/19 09:57 AM
 Dilution Factor:
 2.40

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022126

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	8.6	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.5	8.1	1.8 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.8	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.2	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	86
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



**Client ID:** SSMP-34450Beacon-03\_021519

 Lab ID:
 1902372R1-03A
 Date/Time Analyzed:
 2/22/19 04:06 PM

 Date/Time Collected:
 2/15/19 09:33 AM
 Dilution Factor:
 2.50

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3022210

·		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	9.0	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7	6.8	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.4	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.8	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

### 🐫 eurofins

### Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

Air Toxics
PID:
180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

For Laboratory Use Only

Workorder #:

1902372

Click links below to view: Canister Sampling Guide

Phone	(800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8	3279								<u>Helium</u>	Shroud V	<u>′ideo</u>				
Client:	Ford	PID:	Spe	cial Instruc	ctions/Note	es: Report ON	VLY: 1,1-DCE,	cìs-1,2-	Ţ	urnarou	nd Time	(Rush su	ırcharg	es may	apply)	
Project	Name: Ford LTP	-	DCE	E, trans-1,2	2-DCE, 1,4	4-Dioxane, PC	E, TCE and V	C. Submit	5 day							*
Project	Manager: Kriş Hinskey	P.O.# MI001454							Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Rec	quested	Analys	es
Sample		lenter	resu	ilts through	n Cadena	at jim.tomalia	@cadena.com	. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	cial es)			Carabana and Carab
Site Na	ume: 34450 Beacch		#E2	03631. Le	vel IV Rep	orting		*************	<u></u>			Ф	Special /Notes)			
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controlle	er#		ampling nation	Stop Sa Inform		nitial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	al (psig) s: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Specia Instructions/Notes)			
					Date	Time	Date	Time	Initi	Œ	Rec	Final Gas: I	오르			
The state of the s	IAG-34450 Bearon-01 1244	hiller	7412	2-	14-19	1008	2-15-19	0906	-29	-5.5			X			
	AA-341450 Baron-01_021419	610457	8831	2-	14-19	1013	2-15-19	0903	-20	-1.5			X			
	IAF-31450Bearen-03-021419	661612	8700	2-	14-19	1015	2-15-19	0910	-29	-4.5			X			
	TAF-34450 Baron-04-021419	61.1081	8775	7-	-14-19	1014	7-15-19	0912	-29	-4			X			
OIA	SY1P-31450 Beach-01-07 1519	113102	23132	2-	15-19	0935	2-15-19	095)	-29	-5			Χ			
02A	SS49-34450B2201-02_021519	1L/647	23283	2-	15-19	6957	2-15-19	1008	29	-5			X			
034	SSMP-34450Bears N-03_02154	1L2973	24351	2-	5-19	C933	2-15-19	C943	-29	_5			Χ			
Relings	ishedoby: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Recaived by	· (Signature)	/Δffiliation	1)	1	Date		Time		
Mary 1	rentalis Accordis		7-15-1	N		00	4011	T) Y	A17	·/		2/19	10	/03		
Reling	ished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date	1 .	Time		Received by	: (Signature	/Affiliation	1)		Date	111	Time		
Relinqu	ished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by	: (Signature	/Affiliation	1)		Date		Time		*************
				War To the War I was to a second desiration of					·							
				<del>رم</del>	Lab U	se Only	···			***************************************		**************************************		***************************************	-	
Shipper	1930/	Custody Seals Inta		(Yes)	No	None	ī						<del></del>	······································		
	ole Transportation Notice: Relinquis															
1		2.00					line (800) 467-		my vicinii,	acmente.	, or acco	, or any	restricts PG	iutos to i	" to could	-UtiUti,



3/7/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1903027

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/1/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 

Scott



#### WORK ORDER #: 1903027

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 03/01/2019 CONTACT: Ausha Scott 03/07/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<b>TEST</b>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-34450Beacon-01-022719	TO-15	2.2 "Hg	14.9 psi
02A	DUP-34450Beacon-01-022719	TO-15	2.6 "Hg	15 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	the	idi ]	Payer		
CERTIFIED BY:			0	DATE:	03/07/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903027

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 01, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34450Beacon-01-022719

**Lab ID:** 1903027-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 08:55 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/27/19 02:32 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.17

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030413

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.6	3.4	4.3	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.1	7.8	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.4	4.3	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.3	5.9	7.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	3.4	4.3	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.9	4.7	5.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.0	2.2	2.8	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



**Client ID:** DUP-34450Beacon-01-022719

**Lab ID:** 1903027-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 09:21 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/27/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 2.21

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030414

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.5	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.2	8.0	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.5	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.3	6.0	7.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	3.5	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.9	4.8	5.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.2	2.8	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903027-03A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 03:16 PM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030405a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.4	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.61	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.86	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.48	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1903027-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 12:31 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030402

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	90
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	92
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	85

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1903027-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 12:55 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030403

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	97
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	111
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	112
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1903027-05AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 02:28 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030404

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	107
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	111
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 08, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903027 Sample date: 2019-02-27

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-07

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-08

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903027

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-08

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32509R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903027 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

		Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID				Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 34450BEACON-01- 022719	1903027-01A	Air	2/27/2019		х		
1903027	DUP- 34450BEACON-01- 022719	1903027-02A	Air	2/27/2019	SSMP- 34450BEA CON-01- 022719	х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		orted	Performance Acceptable		Not					
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required					
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)								
Tier II Validation										
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х						
Tier III Validation				·						
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х						
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х						
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х						
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х						
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х						
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х						
Internal standard		Х		Х						
Compound identification and quantitation										
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х						
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х						
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х						
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х						
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х						

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 23, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 24, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-34450Beacon-01-022719

**Lab ID:** 1903027-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 08:55 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 2/27/19 02:32 PM **Dilution Factor:** 2.17

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030413

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.6	3.4	4.3	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.1	7.8	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.4	4.3	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.3	5.9	7.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	3.4	4.3	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.9	4.7	5.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.0	2.2	2.8	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	85
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



**Client ID:** DUP-34450Beacon-01-022719

**Lab ID:** 1903027-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/4/19 09:21 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/27/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 2.21

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msda.i / a030414

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.5	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.2	8.0	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.3	3.5	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.3	6.0	7.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	3.5	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.9	4.8	5.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.2	2.8	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	84
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

1 of 1

## 💸 eurofins |

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

	Air loxics	4	ŀ	or Labo	ratory Use Onl	-				_							
180 BI	lue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folson	PID:	/	Norkorde	er#:	19	03027			Click li	nks belo	w to viev	w:				
	(800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 35										(00400000000000000000000000000000000000	<u>ng Guide</u>					
Client:		PID:	[5	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-					Helium Shroud Video								
Projec	t Name: Ford LTP								Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)								
Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001		— P.O.# MI00145	DCE, trans-1,2-DC		is-1,2-DCE, 1,	4-Dioxane, F	PCE, TCE and V	C. Submit	5 day				r	<del></del>	8.4		
Sampl		<del></del>		results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena		Can	ister Vac					J Analyse	lyses				
Site Name: 34450 Baccon		<del>12</del>		#E203631. Level IV Reporting					Lab Use On		ecial tes)						
	JAN FRACTA		7	E203631					- p	(b)		) 19	Sp No				
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can#	Flow Contro	olier#		ampling nation	Stop Sa Inform		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N <sub>2</sub> / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)				
					Date	Time	Date	Time	<u> </u>	Fin	Rec	Fine	Ç İsi				
	55UP-34450Beacon-01.000719		2428	ì	2127119	1421	2/27/19	1432	-29.5	- 4			$\alpha$			-	
O2 J	DUP-34450Reacon-01.020719	111605	83271		2127/19		2/27/19		-29.5	-4.2			$\propto$			***************************************	
																-	
									<u> </u>	1			ļ				
											6						
	VEN																
X0.75303											100000						
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										····	
					<del>V</del>				<b>†</b>	<u> </u>							
Relinqui	shed by: (Signature/Affiliation)		5.4													<del></del>	
4/1/	Telling & Change	Alicadis	Date 2/27	119	Time	m	Received by:	(Signature/	Affiliation)			Date	.1.	Time		***************************************	
Relingui	shed by: (Signature/Affiliation)	ZVIKCIOUS	Date	117	Time	00				An		3(w	1/19	( 6	201		
	•				l'illite		Received by:	(Signature/,	Affiliation)	)		Date	(	Time			
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date		Time		Received by:	(Signature/	Δffiliation)			Date		Ti	<del></del>		
								(3.5.101016)	omadaorij	•		Date		Time			
					Lab Us	e Only										85.45	
Shipper		Custody Seals Int		Yes	No	None	e										
Samp	le Transportation Notice: Relinqui	shing signature on t	nis document indi	cates tha	t samples are	shipped in c	ompliance with	all applicab	e local, S	itate, Fed	leral, and	internation	onal lav	vs. regu	lations, ar	nd	
or can led to	ces of any kind. Relinquishing signat	ure also indicates a	greement to hold I	harmless	, defend, and i	ndemnify Eu	rofins Air Toxic	s against ar	y claim, d	demand,	or action	, of any ki	ind, rela	ated to t	he collect	ion,	

handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922