

2/20/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 2002330

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/13/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 2002330

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30016344.0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 02/13/2020 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 02/20/2020

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120	Modified TO-15	7.8 "Hg	5.3 psi
02A	IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02_021120	Modified TO-15	7.3 "Hg	5.3 psi
03A	IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_021120	Modified TO-15	6.7 "Hg	4.8 psi
04A	IAG-34450CAPITOLSTREET-05_021120	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	5.2 psi
05A(cancelled)	DUP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120	Modified TO-15		
06A	AA-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120	Modified TO-15	5.9 "Hg	5.5 psi
07A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide Tlayer		
CERTIFIED BY:		0 0	DATE: $\frac{02/20/20}{}$	

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2002330

Six 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on February 13, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

Sample DUP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120 was cancelled on 02/11/2020 per client's request.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 04:11 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:05 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.83

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021811

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.26	0.67	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.61	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.26	0.67	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.66	1.2	1.2	1.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.38	0.67	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.91	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.44	0.47	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 04:46 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:04 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.80

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021812

Commound	CACH	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#				
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.26	0.66	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.26	0.66	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.65	1.1	1.2	1.9
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.37	0.66	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.90	0.97	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.43	0.46	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 05:21 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:51 AM Dilution Factor: 1.71

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021813

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.57	0.62	0.43 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	3.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.85	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	86
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: IAG-34450CAPITOLSTREET-05_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 05:57 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:53 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.73

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021814

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.58	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.25	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	1.1	1.2	0.89 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.36	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.86	0.93	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: AA-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 06:32 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 12:02 PM Dilution Factor: 1.71

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021815

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.57	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.85	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	79
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 2002330-07A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 2/18/20 03:08 PM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021810a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.37	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.060	0.34	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.37	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.36	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.20	0.37	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.12	0.50	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.24	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	81
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	93



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 2002330-08A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 08:37 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021802

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	91
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	92
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
richloroethene	79-01-6	95
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	87

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 2002330-09A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 09:21 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021803

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	87
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	80
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	78
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
richloroethene	79-01-6	89
'inyl Chloride	75-01-4	89

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	86
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

eurofins Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 2002330-09AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 10:06 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	83
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	81
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	88
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 20, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30042006.0302.02 RESIDENTIAL

Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 2002330 Sample date: 2020-02-11

Report received by CADENA: 2020-02-19 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-02-20 5 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2002330

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-20

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #36426R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30042006.0302.03

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2002330 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

		Lab ID		Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
2002330	IAF- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-01_021120	2002330-01A	Air	2/11/2020		Х		
	IAF- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-02_021120	2002330-02A	Air	2/11/2020		Х		
	IAF- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-03_021120	2002330-03A	Air	2/11/2020		X		
	IAG- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-05_021120	2002330-04A	Air	2/11/2020		X		
	AA- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-01_021120	2002330-06A	Air	2/11/2020		х		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Χ	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Χ	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation	·		·		
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					X
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 6, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 9, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 04:11 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:05 AM Dilution Factor: 1.83

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021811

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.26	0.67	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.61	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.26	0.67	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.66	1.2	1.2	1.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.38	0.67	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.91	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.44	0.47	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 04:46 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:04 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.80

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021812

Commound	CACH	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Compound	CAS#				
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.26	0.66	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.60	0.65	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.26	0.66	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.65	1.1	1.2	1.9
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.37	0.66	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.90	0.97	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.43	0.46	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: IAF-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 05:21 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:51 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.71

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021813

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.57	0.62	0.43 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	3.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.85	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	86
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: IAG-34450CAPITOLSTREET-05_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 05:57 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:53 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.73

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021814

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.58	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.25	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.63	1.1	1.2	0.89 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.36	0.64	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.86	0.93	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: AA-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120

Lab ID: 2002330-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 06:32 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 12:02 PM Dilution Factor: 1.71

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21021815

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.57	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.24	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.35	0.63	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.85	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.41	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	79
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only
Workorder #2 0 0 2 3 3 0

lient:		955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Ford	PID:	NA	Special	Inctruction						Shroud \	ng Guide /ideo				
rojec	t Name:	Ford LTP		IVA	1			oort ONLY: 1,1-E		1				rcharges	may a	pply)	
гојес	t Manager:	Kris Hinskey	 _P.O.#_300163	44 00020	DCE, tra	ans-1,2-DC	E, 1,4-Dioxane	e, PCE, TCE and	VC. Submit				/ Turnaro			1. 10.14.1) Harrison
ampl	er:	Xenia Chan		T-1.0002B	1			nalia@cadena.co		Cani	ister Vac	uum/Pre	essure	Requ	ested ,	Analy	201
ite Na	me:	34450 CAPITOL	_		1			rana@cadena.co	om. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	<u> </u>	(Q)		T
Lab		mple Identification		Eleve C	#E2036.	31. Level IV Start	Reporting Sampling	Stop Sa	ampling	Initial (in Hg)	Hg)		(psig) N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze		
ID	Jai	whie identification	Can #		#	Info	rmation	Inform	nation	E)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Sd. Z	Spe Sperior	to to		
iΑ	AF-344500	CAPITOLSTREET-01_021120				Date	Time	Date	Time	niţi:	-ina	Š	Final Gas: I	istru T	00		
A		CAPITOLSTREET-02_021120	6L0808	22	172	2/10/2020	12:59	2/11/2020	11:05	-30.24	-7.5	-	1	×	-	-	┿-
A.		CAPITOLSTREET-03_021120	6L2825		487	2/10/2020	13:02	2/11/2020	11:04	-29.6	-7.5		 	×	1	- moreone	+
A		CAPITOLSTREET-05_021120	6L2440		46	2/10/2020		2/11/2020	11:51	-30.45	-5		 	$\frac{\hat{x}}{x}$		-	+
Λ		450CAPITOL-01_021120	6L1169		759	2/10/2020	13:09	2/11/2020	11:53	-29.6	-7.5			X	-		╄
A.		APITOLSTREET-01_021120	6L0550	225		2/10/2020		2/11/2020		-30.29	-7		 	*,00	X	~~	┿
1			6L1839	207	777	2/10/2020	14:05	2/11/2020	12:02	-29.4	-7			X	$ \uparrow \rangle$		┝-
																	
			-	- 						1					-		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					**											_
T							-	7-									
T												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				***************************************	-
				 													-
																	-
				 													i Western
nquis	hed by: (Signa	iture/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Paradas d									
a via	<u>Xelu</u>	1 Arcadi	5	12/11	1202	ر ا رو ج	600	Received by: (Signature/Aff	iliation)		Α.	Date /		Time	***************************************	سيجبة
iquisi	ned by: (Signa	ture/Affiliation)		Date	/	Time	<u> </u>	Received by: (Signatura (A.66	1-4	San J	ZV2		3/20	16	19	
nauisi	ned hv: (Signa	ture/Affiliation)						i iooonoo by: (i	ora rate (AM	Hation)			Date	ľ	Time	-	-
4	.eu oy. (oigila	weakingnon)		Date		Time		Received by: (Signature/Affi	liation)			Date				
		<u> </u>							•			ľ	Date		Time		
er N	ame:	FPHON				Lab Use	Only	_					····			-	يسب
		ation Notion: Polinevisi	Custody Seals Intac	t?	Yes) No	None									والمراوات الم	ويستان
dinan	ces of any kin	ation Notice: Relinquishing signature also	nature on this docum	nent indicati	s that sa	mples are s	hipped in con	oliance with all	anniigable le				·				



2/19/2020 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 2002332

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 2/13/2020 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager



WORK ORDER #: 2002332

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # 30016344,0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 02/13/2020 CONTACT: Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 02/19/2020

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_02112	TO-15	5.7 "Hg	15.3 psi
02A	SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_02112	TO-15	5.3 "Hg	15.1 psi
03A	SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02_02112	TO-15	4.5 "Hg	15.8 psi
04A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	Meide Mayer	
CERTIFIED BY:	0 00	DATE: 02/19/20

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 2002332

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on February 13, 2020. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
 - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
 - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_02112

Lab ID: 2002332-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 12:54 AM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:28 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021718

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.2	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.6	14	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.4	6.8	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_02112

Lab ID: 2002332-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 05:01 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:54 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.46

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021708

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.1	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.4	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.3	6.7	8.3	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	123
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	91



Client ID: SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02_02112

Lab ID: 2002332-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 05:30 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:42 AM Dilution Factor: 2.44

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021709

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.1	3.9	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.3	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.9	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.3	6.6	8.3	3.4 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.9	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.2	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 2002332-04A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 2/17/20 12:17 PM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021705a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	120
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 2002332-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 10:56 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021702

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	103
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	85
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	85

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 2002332-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 11:22 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021703

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	86

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

eurofins Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 2002332-06AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 11:49 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021704

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	86
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	88
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	84

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	122
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



February 20, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30042006.0302.02 RESIDENTIAL

Client project scopereference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 2002332 Sample date: 2020-02-11

Report received by CADENA: 2020-02-19 Initial DataVerification completed: 2020-02-20 3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description				
<	Less than the reported concentration.				
>	Greater than the reported concentration.				
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.				
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.				
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.				
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.				
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.				
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED				
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.				
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.				
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED				
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.				
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)				
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.				
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.				
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.				
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.				



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #2002332

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-02-20

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #36427R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30042006.0302.03

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 2002332 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

		Collec		Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID		Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC	
	SSMP- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-01_021120	2002332-01A	Air	2/11/2020		X		
2002332	SSMP- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-03_021120	2002332-02A	Air	2/11/2020		X		
	SSMP- 34450CAPITOLSTR EET-02_021120	2002332-03A	Air	2/11/2020		X		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of three times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		Reported		ormance eptable	Not
		Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation			<u>'</u>	·	
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 6, 2020

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 9, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01_02112

Lab ID: 2002332-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/18/20 12:54 AM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:28 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.52

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021718

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.2	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.6	14	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.4	6.8	8.5	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_02112

Lab ID: 2002332-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 05:01 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:54 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.46

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021708

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.1	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.4	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.3	6.7	8.3	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	123
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	91



Client ID: SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02_02112

Lab ID: 2002332-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 2/17/20 05:30 PM

Date/Time Collected: 2/11/20 11:42 AM Dilution Factor: 2.44

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd17.i / 17021709

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.1	3.9	4.8	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.3	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	3.9	4.8	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.3	6.6	8.3	3.4 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.9	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.2	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	92

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

Click links below to view:

PID: Canister Sampling Guide 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Helium Shroud Video Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) PID: NA Client: Ford 5 Day Turnaround Time Ford LTP Project Name: DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Canister Vacuum/Pressure **Requested Analyses** Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# 30016344.0002B results through Cadena at iim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Lab Use Only Sampler: Xenia Chan Not Analyze Site Name: 34450 CAPITOL #E203631. Level IV Reporting Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Stop Sampling Start Sampling Receipt Lab Flow Controller Information Information Sample Identification Can # Final Gas: I ID 8 Date Time Date Time 1L2968 24262 SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-01 021120 OLA 2/11/2020 11:15 2/11/2020 11:28 -29.5 -6.5 Х 1L3232 23205 SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-03_021120 Х 2/11/2020 2/11/2020 11:54 -29.5 -6.5 OLA 11:41 1L3223 SSMP-34450CAPITOLSTREET-02 021120 24918 Х 2/11/2020 11:28 2/11/2020 11:42 -29.7 -5.5 ... Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date 1009 Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Yes Custody Seals Intact? Shipper Name: No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922