

8/25/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 / 30016344 Workorder #: 1908411

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 8/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1908411

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.0001B
FAX: DATE RECEIVED:	08/19/2019	PROJECT # CONTACT:	MI001454.0003 / 30016344 Ford LTP Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	08/25/2019		

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-34480CAPITOL-01_081419	TO-15	6.9 "Hg	15.1 psi
02A	SSMP-34480CAPITOL-02_081419	TO-15	7.1 "Hg	14.9 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes end

08/25/19 DATE:

DECEIDT

ETNIAT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1908411

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on August 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID:1908Date/Time Collected:8/14	IP-34480CAPITOL-01_081419 8411-01A /19 10:29 AM er Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	8/22/19 12:26 AM 2.63 msd17.i / 17082122	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.3	4.2	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	10	14	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	4.2	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.6	7.1	8.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.6	4.2	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.6	7.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the D	oD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	97

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: 1908 Date/Time Collected: 8/14/	P-34480CAPITOL-02_081419 411-02A 19 10:59 AM er Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	8/22/19 12:54 AM 2.64 msd17.i / 17082123	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.3	4.2	5.2	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	10	14	19	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.5	4.2	5.2	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.6	7.2	9.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.6	4.2	5.2	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.6	5.7	7.1	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the Do	D scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	95

eurofins

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:**

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 1908411-03A

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 8/21/19 01:30 PM **Dilution Factor:** 1.00 Instrument/Filename:

msd17.i / 17082106a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	of accreditation.				

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

Air Toxics

🔅 eurofins

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1908411-04A	Date/Time Analyzed:	8/21/19 11:05 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17082102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene		94
	75-35-4	
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	96
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	88

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1908411-05A	Date/Time Analyzed:	8/21/19 11:32 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17082103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	92
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	89
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	91
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1908411-05AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	8/21/19 11:58 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17082104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	91
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	90
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	103
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	104
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	89

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	111
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

August 25, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1908411 Sample date: 2019-08-14 Report received by CADENA: 2019-08-24 Initial Data Verificationcompleted by CADENA: 2019-08-25

2 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1908411 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-08-25

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #34091R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00003 (MI001454.0004.00002)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1908411 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	F TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 34480CAPITOL- 01_081419	1908411-01A	Air	8/14/2019		х		
1908411	SSMP- 34480CAPITOL- 02_081419	1908411-02A	Air	8/14/2019		x		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not	
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1. San	nple receipt condition		Х		Х		
2. Req	uested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
3. Mas	ster tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Met	hods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Rep	porting limits		Х		Х		
6. San	nple collection date		Х		Х		
7. Lab	oratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. San	nple preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. San	nple preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully	y executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
	rative summary of Quality Assurance or sample plems provided		х		Х		
12. Data	a Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

arcadis.com g:\project_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2019\34001-34500\34091\34091r_34480 capitol ave.docx

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	ported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Requirec
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation		-	!		
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. Honsen

DATE: September 17, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: September 18, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-34480CAPITOL-01_081419 Lab ID: 1908411-01A Date/Time Collected: 8/14/19 10:29 AM Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		4411-01A Date/Time Analyzed: 8/22/19 12:2 (19 10:29 AM Dilution Factor: 2.63					
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.3	4.2	5.2	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	10	14	19	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	4.2	5.2	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.6	7.1	8.9	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.6	4.2	5.2	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.6	7.1	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.7	3.4	Not Detected		
D: Analyte not within the D	oD scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	112		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	102		
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	97		

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: SSMP-34480CAPITOL-02_081419 Lab ID: 1908411-02A Date/Time Collected: 8/14/19 10:59 AM Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		1908411-02A Date/Time Analyzed: 8/22 Dilected: 8/14/19 10:59 AM Dilution Factor: 2.64		8/22/19 12:54 AM 2.64 msd17.i / 17082123			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.3	4.2	5.2	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	10	14	19	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.5	4.2	5.2	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.6	7.2	9.0	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.6	4.2	5.2	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.6	5.7	7.1	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.7	3.4	Not Detected		
D: Analyte not within the Do	D scope of accreditation.						
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	110		
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	104		
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	95		

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

		Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 9 -5955; Fax (916) 351-8279	PID: 5630		For Labo Workord	ratory Use Onl er #:	y 	<u>908</u> 41			<u>Canister</u>	<mark>ks below</mark> Sampling	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		a a sa sa Sa sa		
Client		Ford	PID:	NA	Special	Instructions/N	otes: Repor	t ONLY: 1,1-DC	E, cis-1,2-	т			Rush sur	charges	may ar	(ylq	
	t Name:	Ford LTP		01454.0003 /		ne.1 2.DCE+1	4 Diovana I	PCE, TCE and V	/C Submit				Turnarour				
Projec	t Manager	Kris Hinskey	P.O.#	30016344						Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Requested Analyses			
Samp	ier:	Seth Turner, Patrick Laba	die		results th	rough Cadena	at jim.tomal	ia@cadena.com	. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	cial 3S)	e		
Site N	ame:	34480 CAPITOL			#E20363	1. Level IV Re	porting			- .	$\widehat{}$		Û	Sper	alyz		
Lab ID		Sample Identification	Can		ontrolier #	Start Sa Inform	ation	Stop Sa Inform	ation	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Specia Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze		
		·····				Date	Time	Date	Time	Į.	i.	Re	μĒΰ				
OA		30CAPITOL-01_081419	1L1810	23338		8/14/2019	10:17	8/14/2019	10:29	-29	-6.5			Х			
02A	SSMP-3448	BOCAPITOL-02_081419	1L1707	24277		8/14/2019	10:46	8/14/2019	10:59	-29	-7			X			
	**														 		
and die der Sterner				~-									ļ				
															ļ	┝──┥	
																	
																┝───┥	
						~*	***									<u> </u>	
							~+					an an an Ar	the second second				
									**			^{ter} ing dipan Tering					
												<u> </u>	an sa san		ļ	ļļ	
		<u>,</u>															
													1		4		
			~~						**		<u> </u>						
											<u> </u>	L	·				
S	the	ignature/Affiliation)	cadis		/15/		00	Received by:	EA	N			Data 8719	19	Time 09	39	
Reling	Jished by: (5	Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received py:	Stgnature/Af	filiation)			Date		Time		
Relinq	uished by: (S	ignature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by: (Signature/A		Affiliation)			Date		Time		
						Lab Use	Only										
		el Br	Custody Sea		Yes	/	Non		00Y)								
		tation Notice: Relinquishing sig uishing signature also indicates a															

of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



8/25/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 1908432

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 8/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1908432

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003/30016344
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	08/19/2019 08/25/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	AA-34480CAPITOL-01_081419	Modified TO-15	7.6 "Hg	5.1 psi
02A	IAF-34480CAPITOL-01_081419	Modified TO-15	5.9 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-34480CAPITOL-02_081419	Modified TO-15	7.6 "Hg	5.1 psi
04A	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	4.9 psi
04B	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	4.9 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06B	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07B	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

layes end

08/25/19 DATE:

CERTIFIED BY:

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1908432

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on August 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

🛟 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

The results for sample IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419 in this report were acquired from two separate data files originating from the same analytical run. The two data files have the same base file name and are differentiated with a "sim" extension on the SIM data file.

Dilution was performed on sample IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419 due to the presence of high level non-target species.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.



U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-34480CAPITOL-01_081419 1908432-01A 8/14/19 10:34 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	8/21/19 02:58 PM 1.80 msd20.i / 20082109	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.52	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.76	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.40	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.47	0.87	0.97	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.41	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34480CAPITOL-01_081419 1908432-02A 8/14/19 10:04 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	8/21/19 03:38 PM 1.67 msd20.i / 20082110	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.16	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.49	0.54	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	9 156-59-2	0.36	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.70	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.37	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.38	0.43	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34480CAPITOL-02_081419 1908432-03A 8/14/19 10:05 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time An Dilution Fact er Instrument/F	tor:	8/21/19 04:17 PM 1.80 msd20.i / 20082111	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.52	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.76	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.40	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.47	0.87	0.97	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.41	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	l 17060-07-0			70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

🔅 eurofins

70-130

70-130

Air Toxics

96

93

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Toluene-d8

Client ID: .ab ID: Date/Time Collected: /Iedia:	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419 1908432-04A 8/14/19 10:08 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Am	Date/Time A Dilution Fac bier Instrument/F	tor: 4.2	1/19 04:57 PM 5 d20.i / 20082112	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.40	1.5	1.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.2	1.4	1.5	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	9 156-59-2	0.91	1.5	1.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.8	2.6	2.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.95	1.5	1.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.35	0.98	1.1	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	103

460-00-4

2037-26-5

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

Client ID: .ab ID: Date/Time Collected: /ledia:	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419 1908432-04B 8/14/19 10:08 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Dilution Factor:		8/21/19 04:57 PM 4.25 msd20.i / 20082112sim	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.044	0.14	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	99

eurofins

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:**

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 1908432-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

NA - Not Applicable

Dilution Factor: 1.00 Instrument/Filename:

msd20.i / 20082106a

8/21/19 10:02 AM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.095	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.29	0.32	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.21	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.42	0.61	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.22	0.36	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.26	0.48	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.082	0.23	0.26	Not Detected

Date/Time Analyzed:

%Recovery Limits Surrogates CAS# 70-130 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 105 17060-07-0 70-130 96 4-Bromofluorobenzene 460-00-4 Toluene-d8 70-130 98 2037-26-5

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	Lab Blank 1908432-05B NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable	Date/Time / Dilution Fa Instrument/	ctor: 1.	/21/19 10:02 AM .00 isd20.i / 20082106simd	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.010	0.032	0.11	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d	4 17060-07-0			70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzer	ne 460-00-4			70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1908432-06A	Date/Time Analyzed:	8/21/19 06:55 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20082102

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	106
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	88

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

Date/Time Collected:NA - Not ApplicableDilution Factor:1.00Media:NA - Not ApplicableInstrument/Filename:msd20.i / 20082102sim

Compound	CAS#		%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6		100
D: Analyte not within the DoD scop	be of accreditation.		
Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
Surrogates 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	CAS# 17060-07-0	Limits 70-130	%Recovery 93
Surrogates 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 4-Bromofluorobenzene			

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1908432-07A	Date/Time Analyzed:	8/21/19 07:38 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20082103

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	90
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	109
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	102

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1908432-07AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	8/21/19 08:17 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20082104

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	90
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	94
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	84
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	109
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	101
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

Ford LTP

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	LCS 1908432-07B NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable	Date/Time Analyzed: Dilution Factor: Instrument/Filename:	8/21/19 07:38 AM 1.00 msd20.i / 20082103sim	

Compound	CAS#		%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6		99
D: Analyte not within the DoD scop	be of accreditation.		
Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
0			
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
		70-130 70-130	-

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

Ford LTP

 LCSD 1908432-07BB NA - Not Applicable	Date/Time Analyzed: Dilution Factor:	8/21/19 08:17 AM 1.00	
NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd20.i / 20082104sim	

Compound	CAS#		%Recovery
Trichloroethene	79-01-6		99
D: Analyte not within the DoD scop	be of accreditation.		
Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
Surrogates 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	CAS# 17060-07-0	Limits 70-130	%Recovery 93
5			,

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

August 25, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1908432 Sample date: 2019-08-14 Report received by CADENA: 2019-08-24 Initial Data Verificationcompleted by CADENA: 2019-08-25

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1908432 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-08-25

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #34092R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00003 (MI001454.0004.00002)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1908432 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis			
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC	
	AA-34480CAPITOL- 01_081419	1908432-01A	Air	8/14/2019		х			
	IAF-34480CAPITOL- 01_081419	1908432-02A	Air	8/14/2019		х			
1908432	IAF-34480CAPITOL- 02_081419	1908432-03A	Air	8/14/2019		х			
	IAG-34480CAPITOL- 03_081419	1908432-04A	Air	8/14/2019		х	х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. San	nple receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Rec	quested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3. Mas	ster tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Met	hods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Rep	porting limits		Х		Х	
6. San	nple collection date		Х		Х	
7. Lab	oratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. San	nple preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. San	nple preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Full	y executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
	rative summary of Quality Assurance or sample blems provided		х		Х	
12. Data	a Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan) and TO-15-SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15 and USEPA TO-15-SIM	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan) and TO-15 SIM		eported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation	-		!		
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. Honsen

DATE: September 17, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: September 18, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-34480CAPITOL-01_081419 1908432-01A 8/14/19 10:34 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time Ar Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	8/21/19 02:58 PM 1.80 msd20.i / 20082109	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.52	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.76	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.40	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.47	0.87	0.97	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.41	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

	IAF-34480CAPITOL-01_081419 1908432-02A 8/14/19 10:04 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Dilution Factor: 1.67		8/21/19 03:38 PM 1.67 msd20.i / 20082110	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.16	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.49	0.54	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	9 156-59-2	0.36	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.70	1.0	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne 156-60-5	0.37	0.60	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.44	0.81	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.14	0.38	0.43	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within t	he DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	e 460-00-4			70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34480CAPITOL-02_081419 1908432-03A 8/14/19 10:05 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time An Dilution Fact er Instrument/F	tor:	8/21/19 04:17 PM 1.80 msd20.i / 20082111	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.17	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.52	0.58	0.65	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	e 156-59-2	0.38	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.76	1.1	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.40	0.64	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.47	0.87	0.97	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.15	0.41	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	l 17060-07-0			70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

🔅 eurofins

70-130

70-130

Air Toxics

96

93

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Toluene-d8

Client ID: .ab ID: Date/Time Collected: Aedia:	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419 1908432-04A 8/14/19 10:08 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cer	Date/Time A Dilution Fac t Ambier Instrument/I	tor: 4	/21/19 04:57 PM .25 nsd20.i / 20082112	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.40	1.5	1.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.2	1.4	1.5	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	9 156-59-2	0.91	1.5	1.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.8	2.6	2.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.95	1.5	1.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.35	0.98	1.1	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0)		70-130	103

460-00-4

2037-26-5

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

Client ID: .ab ID: Date/Time Collected: /ledia:	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419 1908432-04B 8/14/19 10:08 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Dilution Factor: 4.25		8/21/19 04:57 PM 4.25 msd20.i / 20082112sim	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3	Rpt. Limit) (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.044	0.14	0.46	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	99

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

. A

				For Labo	oratory Use Or	nly	_								
100 Dius D	evine Del Quite D. Catalana OA 000	PID		Workord	ler #:		19084	32		Click lin	nks belov	w to view	:		
	avine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 956)) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279	30									r Samplin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Client:	Ford		NIA	Special	Instructions/	Notes: Pond	ort ONLY: 1,1-D				Shroud V				
Project Nar		_PID:	NA	-					(may a	pply)	
Project Mar		_ M P.O.#	1001454.0003 / 30016344	DCE, tra	ins-1,2-DCE, 1	ns-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit									
Sampler:	Seth Turner, Patrick Labadie		30010344	results ti	hrough Caden:	a at iim.toma	alia@cadena.co	m. Cadena	Can	ister Vac	uum/Pre		· · · · · ·	uested Analyses	
Site Name:	34480 CAPITOL	-					0				Lab U	se Only	e otes)	yze	
	34460 CAPITOL	T		[#E20363	31. Level IV Re		.		(ĝ	(jî		l ⊕ ₽	S/Nc	hai	
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can	#	ontrolier #	Start Sa Inform		Stop Sa Inform	• •	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze	
					Date	Time	Date	Time	<u>n</u> iti	ů Ľ	Re	Gas	Inst.	å	
OA	AA-34480CAPITOL-01_081419	6106	04 20)741	8/13/2019	11:09	8/14/2019	10:34	-29	-7.5		· · · ·	X		
<u>or</u> A	IAF-34480CAPITOL-01_081419	6L07	05 20	0754	8/13/2019	11:01	8/14/2019	10:04	-29	-6	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	1	X		
030	IAF-34480CAPITOL-02_081419	6L.10	95 24	090	8/13/2019	11:02	8/14/2019	10:05	-29	-7.5		1	Х		
øЧА	IAG-34480CAPITOL-03_081419	6L20	87 20	484	8/13/2019	11:05	8/14/2019	10:08	-29	-6.5		1	X		
0								**				1.20			
												1			
an de la composition de la composition Composition de la composition de la comp	an ea			***											
				:				**			1912	12			
an de participantes de la companya de la companya La companya de la comp											1	1			
												e a trans			
Der -	÷-										all stat				
					**							*****			
SOL		alis	Date	15/1	9 16	00	Received by:	(Signature/Af	filiation)			Date 8/19	119	Time (59	39
Relinquished	by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time	l	Received by:	(Signature/Af	filiation)			Date		Time	
Relinquished	by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by:	(Signature/Af	filiation)			Date		Time	
		1			Lab Use	Only									
Shipper Nam	1eg Vr	Custody Se		Yes		None		90D							
Sample	Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature also	gnature on th indicates ag	reement to hold h	armiess,	defend, and in	demnify Eur	mpliance with a ofins Air Toxics le (800) 467-49	against any o	ocal, Sta claim, de	te, Feder mand, or	al, and in action, of	ternationa f any kind	al laws, re , related to	julation o the cr	ns, and ollection,