🛟 eurofins

Environment Testing TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison 777 New Durham Road Edison, NJ 08817 Tel: (732)549-3900

Laboratory Job ID: 460-197372-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 12/5/2019 9:52:14 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



Table of Contents

| Cover Page | 1 |
|------------------------|----|
| Table of Contents | 2 |
| Definitions/Glossary | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Detection Summary | 5 |
| Client Sample Results | 6 |
| Surrogate Summary | 7 |
| QC Sample Results | 8 |
| QC Association Summary | 10 |
| Lab Chronicle | 11 |
| Certification Summary | 12 |
| Method Summary | 13 |
| Sample Summary | 14 |
| Chain of Custody | 15 |
| Receipt Checklists | 17 |
| | |

Qualifiers

| Qualifiers | | 3 |
|------------|--|---|
| GC/MS VOA | | |
| Qualifier | Qualifier Description | |
| J | Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value. | |
| U | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. | 5 |

Glossarv

| Glussaly | |
|----------------|---|
| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
| ¤ | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| | |

Job ID: 460-197372-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Off-Site

Report Number: 460-197372-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 11/20/2019 9:30 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 3.5° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples TRIP BLANK (460-197372-1) and MW-152S_111819 (460-197372-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260C. The samples were analyzed on 11/30/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GC/MS)

Sample MW-152S_111819 (460-197372-2) was analyzed for Volatile organic compounds (GC/MS) in accordance with SW-846 Method 8260C SIM. The samples were analyzed on 11/25/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

No Detections.

| Client Sample ID: MW-152S_111819 Lab Sample ID: 460-19737 | | | | | | | | | 60-197372-2 |
|---|--------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Analyte Vinyl chloride | Result | Qualifier | RL 1.0 | MDL 0.17 | Unit ug/L | Dil Fac | D | Method 8260C | Prep Type Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Job ID: 460-197372-1

Lab Sample ID: 460-197372-1

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 11/18/19 12:36 Date Received: 11/20/19 09:30

| Lab Sample I |
|--------------|
| |

D: 460-197372-1 **Matrix: Water**

Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.22 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.24 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.31 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.17 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 94 | | 74 - 132 | | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 80 - 120 | | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 100 | | 72 - 131 | | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 106 | | 77 - 124 | | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-152S_111819 Date Collected: 11/18/19 12:36 Date Received: 11/20/19 09:30

| Method: 8260C SIM - Vola | atile Organic Co | mpounds | (GC/MS) | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | | | 11/25/19 14:26 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 94 | | 72 - 133 | | | - | | 11/25/19 14:26 | 1 |

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

108

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.22 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.24 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.31 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.35 | J | 1.0 | 0.17 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 98 | | 74 - 132 | | | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 80 - 120 | | | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 103 | | 72 - 131 | | | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |

77 - 124

11/30/19 07:18

1

Surrogate Summary

94

MB 460-658046/8

0.010 Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Matrix: Water

Method Blank

| latrix: Water | | | | | | Prep Type: Total/NA |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Pe | ercent Surro | ogate Recovery (A | Acceptance Limits) |
| | | DCA | TOL | DBFM | BFB | |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | (74-132) | (80-120) | (72-131) | (77-124) | |
| 460-197372-1 | TRIP BLANK | 94 | 98 | 100 | 106 | |
| 460-197372-2 | MW-152S_111819 | 98 | 98 | 103 | 108 | |
| LCS 460-659169/3 | Lab Control Sample | 99 | 101 | 100 | 107 | |
| LCSD 460-659169/4 | Lab Control Sample Dup | 96 | 98 | 99 | 105 | |
| MB 460-659169/7 | Method Blank | 95 | 98 | 101 | 106 | |
| Surrogate Legend | | | | | | |
| DCA = 1,2-Dichloroet | () | | | | | |
| TOL = Toluene-d8 (S | , | | | | | |
| DBFM = Dibromofluor | () | | | | | |
| BFB = 4-Bromofluoro | benzene | | | | | |
| lethod: 8260C S | SIM - Volatile Organic (| Compoun | ds (GC/ | MS) | | |
| | | | | = / | | |
| | | | | | | Prep Type: Total/NA |
| | | | Pe | ercent Surro | ogate Recovery (A | Prep Type: Total/NA |
| | | BFB | Pe | ercent Surro | ogate Recovery (A | · · · · |
| latrix: Water | Client Sample ID | BFB (72-133) | Pe | ercent Surro | ogate Recovery (A | · · · · |
| latrix: Water | Client Sample ID MW-152S_111819 | | Pe | ercent Surro | ogate Recovery (A | · · · · |
| Lab Sample ID 460-197372-2 LCS 460-658046/3 | • | (72-133) | Pe | ercent Surro | ogate Recovery (A | · · · · |

Surrogate Legend

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-659169/7 Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 659169

| | MB | MB | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-----|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.22 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.24 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.31 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.17 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |

| | MB | МВ | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 95 | | 74 - 132 | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 80 - 120 | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 101 | | 72 - 131 | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 106 | | 77 - 124 | | 11/30/19 02:26 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-659169/3 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 659169

| Spike | LCS | LCS | | | | %Rec. | |
|-------|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits | |
| 20.0 | 20.9 | | ug/L | | 104 | 74 - 123 | |
| 20.0 | 20.4 | | ug/L | | 102 | 80 - 120 | |
| 20.0 | 20.4 | | ug/L | | 102 | 78 - 122 | |
| 20.0 | 20.8 | | ug/L | | 104 | 79 ₋ 120 | |
| 20.0 | 20.8 | | ug/L | | 104 | 77 - 120 | |
| 20.0 | 19.4 | | ug/L | | 97 | 62 - 138 | |
| | Added 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 | Added Result 20.0 20.9 20.0 20.4 20.0 20.4 20.0 20.8 20.0 20.8 | Added Result Qualifier 20.0 20.9 20.9 20.0 20.4 20.0 20.0 20.4 20.0 20.0 20.4 20.0 20.0 20.8 20.8 | Added Result Qualifier Unit 20.0 20.9 ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.4 ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.4 ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.8 ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.8 ug/L ug/L | Added Result Qualifier Unit D 20.0 20.9 ug/L ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.4 ug/L ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.4 ug/L ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.4 ug/L ug/L ug/L 20.0 20.8 ug/L ug/L | Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec 20.0 20.9 ug/L 104 20.0 20.4 ug/L 102 20.0 20.4 ug/L 102 20.0 20.4 ug/L 102 20.0 20.8 ug/L 104 20.0 20.8 ug/L 104 | Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits 20.0 20.9 ug/L 104 74 - 123 104 74 - 123 20.0 20.4 ug/L 102 80 - 120 102 80 - 120 20.0 20.4 ug/L 102 78 - 122 102 78 - 122 20.0 20.8 ug/L 104 79 - 120 104 77 - 120 |

| | LCS | LCS | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 99 | | 74 - 132 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 101 | | 80 - 120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 100 | | 72 - 131 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 107 | | 77 - 124 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-659169/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 659169

| | Spike | LCSD | LCSD | | | | %Rec. | | RPD |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 20.0 | 21.8 | | ug/L | | 109 | 74 - 123 | 4 | 30 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 20.0 | 21.2 | | ug/L | | 106 | 80 - 120 | 4 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 20.0 | 21.5 | | ug/L | | 107 | 78 - 122 | 5 | 30 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 20.0 | 21.9 | | ug/L | | 109 | 79 - 120 | 5 | 30 |
| Trichloroethene | 20.0 | 22.3 | | ug/L | | 112 | 77 _ 120 | 7 | 30 |
| Vinyl chloride | 20.0 | 21.2 | | ug/L | | 106 | 62 - 138 | 9 | 30 |

| | LCSD | LCSD | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 96 | | 74 - 132 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 80 - 120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 99 | | 72 - 131 |

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

| Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample | Dup |
|---|-----|
| Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Tota | |

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

| Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Si | te | | | | | | | | | | | Job ID: 4 | 60-19 | 7372-1 | 2 |
|--|-------------------|------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|----|
| Method: 8260C - Volat | ile Organio | c C(| ompou | nds by | / GC | /MS (| (Contin | ued) | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 659169 | -659169/4 | | | | | | C | Client S | Samp | le I | ID: Lab | Control Prep Ty | | | 4 |
| Surrogate | LCSD %Recovery | | | Limits | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 105 | | | 77 - 124 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Method: 8260C SIM - V | olatile Org | gan | ic Com | pounc | ls (C | C/M | S) | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: MB 460-6 Matrix: Water | 58046/8 | | | | | | | | C | lieı | nt Sam | ple ID: M Prep Ty | | | 8 |
| Analysis Batch: 658046 | | ΜВ | МВ | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| Analyte | Re | | Qualifier | | RL | | MDL Unit | | D | Pre | epared | Analy | zed | Dil Fac | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | | 2.0 | U | | 2.0 | | 0.33 ug/L | | | | | 11/25/19 | 11:20 | 1 | |
| | | ΜВ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Reco | | Qualifier | | | | | | | Pre | epared | Analy | | Dil Fac | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | | 94 | | 72 - | 133 | | | | | | | 11/25/19 | 11:20 | 1 | |
| Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-0 | 658046/3 | | | | | | | Cli | ient S | am | nple ID | : Lab Cor | ntrol S | ample | |
| Matrix: Water | | | | | | | | | | | | Prep Ty | | | 13 |
| Analysis Batch: 658046 | | | | Spike | | 1.09 | LCS | | | | | %Rec. | | | 1 |
| Analyte | | | | Added | | - | Qualifier | Unit | | D | %Rec | Limits | | | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | | | | 5.00 | | 4.32 | | ug/L | | | 86 | 66 - 135 | | | |
| | LCS | LCS | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | | | Limits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 99 | | | 72 - 133 | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460 Matrix: Water |)-658046/4 | | | | | | C | Client S | Samp | le I | ID: Lab | Control Prep Ty | | | |
| Analysis Batch: 658046 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Spike | | - | LCSD | | | | | %Rec. | | RPD | |
| Analyte | | | | Added | | | Qualifier | Unit | I | D | %Rec | Limits | RPD | | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | | | | 5.00 | | 5.18 | | ug/L | | | 104 | 66 - 135 | 18 | 30 | |
| | LCSD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qua | lifier | Limits | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 99 | | | 72 - 133 | | | | | | | | | | | |

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 658046

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 460-197372-2 | MW-152S_111819 | Total/NA | Water | 8260C SIM | |
| MB 460-658046/8 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260C SIM | |
| LCS 460-658046/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260C SIM | |
| LCSD 460-658046/4 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8260C SIM | |

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 460-197372-1 | TRIP BLANK | Total/NA | Water | 8260C | |
| 460-197372-2 | MW-152S_111819 | Total/NA | Water | 8260C | |
| MB 460-659169/7 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260C | |
| LCS 460-659169/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260C | |
| LCSD 460-659169/4 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8260C | |

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 460-197372-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 11/18/19 12:36 Date Received: 11/20/19 09:30

| | 11/18/19 1 11/20/19 0 | | | | | | | | Matrix: Water | |
|----|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|---|
| | Batch Type Analysis | Batch Method 8260C | Run | Dilution Factor | Batch Number 659169 | Prepared or Analyzed 11/30/19 04:39 | Analyst MZS | Lab TAL EDI | | ļ |
| Id | e ID: MW | -152S 111819 | | | | | Lab Sa | mple ID: | 460-197372-2 | |

Client Sample ID: MW-152S_111819 Date Collected: 11/18/19 12:36 Date Received: 11/20/19 09:30

| | Batch | Batch | _ | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|--------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Prep Type | Туре | Method | Run | Factor | Number | or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260C | | 1 | 659169 | 11/30/19 07:18 | MZS | TAL EDI |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260C SIM | | 1 | 658046 | 11/25/19 14:26 | SZD | TAL EDI |

Laboratory References:

Prep Type Total/NA

TAL EDI = Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 460-197372-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Connecticut | State | PH-0200 | 09-30-20 |
| DE Haz. Subst. Cleanup Act (HSCA) | State | <cert no.=""></cert> | 12-31-21 |
| Georgia | State | 12028 (NJ) | 06-30-20 |
| Massachusetts | State Program | M-NJ312 | 06-30-20 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | 12028 | 06-30-20 |
| New York | NELAP | 11452 | 04-01-20 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00522 | 02-28-20 |
| Rhode Island | State | LAO00132 | 12-30-19 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-18-00135 | 05-03-21 |

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| California | State | 2927 | 02-23-20 |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0590 | 12-31-19 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87225 | 06-30-20 |
| Georgia | State | 4062 | 02-23-20 |
| Illinois | NELAP | 004498 | 07-31-20 |
| Iowa | State | 421 | 06-01-20 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10336 | 04-30-20 |
| Kentucky (UST) | State | 112225 | 02-23-20 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY98016 | 12-31-19 |
| Minnesota | NELAP | OH00048 | 12-31-19 |
| Minnesota (Petrofund) | State Program | 3506 | 07-31-21 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | OH001 | 06-30-20 |
| New York | NELAP | 10975 | 03-31-20 |
| Ohio VAP | State | CL0024 | 06-05-21 |
| Oregon | NELAP | 4062 | 02-23-20 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00340 | 08-31-20 |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704517-18-10 | 08-31-20 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-16-00404 | 12-28-19 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 010101 | 09-14-20 |
| Washington | State | C971 | 01-12-20 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 210 | 12-31-19 |

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 8260C | Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS | SW846 | TAL EDI |
| 8260C SIM | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL EDI |
| 5030C | Purge and Trap | SW846 | TAL EDI |

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL EDI = Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received | Asset ID |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 460-197372-1 | TRIP BLANK | Water | 11/18/19 12:36 | 11/20/19 09:30 | |
| 460-197372-2 | MW-152S_111819 | Water | 11/18/19 12:36 | 11/20/19 09:30 | |

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

| 1 2 3 4 5 GAN | 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 14 15 | | |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 190 Test | Chain of Custouy Record TestAmerica Laboratory location: Brighton 10448 Citation Drive, Suite 200 / Brighton, MI 48116 | Cnain Of Custouy Record 448 Citation Drive, Suite 200 / Brighton, MI 48116 / 810-229-2763 | .763 | THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING |
| Client Contact | Regulatory program: | ☐ NPDES | | mT.J. T.J.A.MAGANIAN Two |
| Company Name: Arcadis | Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey | Site Contact: Rachel Bielak | Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico | COC No: 197372 |
| Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 | Telephone: 248-994-2240 | | Telephone: 330-497-9396 | |
| City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 | - Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com | | Analyses | of COCs Rote lab use only |
| Phone: 248-994-2240 | Commler Name: | rom below | | Walk-in object |
| Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site | Man - Cottierine Call | eeks | | Lab sampling |
| Project Number: 30016346.0002B | - 2 - | □ 1 week | 60B)B | |
| PO # 30016346.0002B | Shipping/Tracking No: | iple (Y C/Cra | 8260B CE 826 de 8260 8260B | Job/SDG No: |
| | 1 | Souther the second s | -1,2-D 3260B 3260B Chloric | Sample Specific Notes / |
| Sample Identification | Sample Date Sample Time A queou Solld Other: | | Trans PCE 8 TCE 8 Vinyl | Special Instructions: |
| TRIP BLANK | | X MGX | | ř. |
| MW-1525-111819 | 11/18/19 12:36 × | X NOX | X X X X X X X I I I I I I I I I I I I I | 3 VCAS 5640B |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Possible Bazard Identification | | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed a samples are retained longer than 1 month) | amples are retained longer than 1 month) | |
| Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631 | | | | |
| Relinquished by: MAUL Cal Maul | Company: AVCULA Date/Time: | 19 1811 Received by: MM CUALIF | Company: Arradis | Date/Time: $1/18/19/1800$ |
| | Company: Arladis | 1640 Received by: Why Cold | Storage Company: Arcalis | Date/Time: 11/18/19/18/10 |
| and ball | | 10-13 Received in Laboratory by: 10-13 Marley Mark | Company: | LAPAN W/ PINI |
| | | C | Ą | inilla 11/20/19 |
| | C2 | CS 105534 /3.5 | 3.5. via Fever | JEY 9:30 |

| EDS-WI-03 10/22/2019 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------|---|------|------|------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| EDS-WI-038, Rev 4.1 10/22/2019 | F | Pre | S | | | | | | | | | | TAIS Sam | | | | | Number of Coolers | Job Number: | | |
| 4.1 | Lot # of Preservative(s): T | Preservative Name/Conc.: | Sample No(s). adjusted: | | | | | | | | 1 | TALO Gampie Mainper | nle Numb | | Cooler #3: | Cooler #1: | | | | | Ę |
| | eservati | Name/C | (s). adju | ך ב | | | | | | | | | | | ; ; | ŧ ∄ Ņ | } | | 2 | | |
| - | ve(s): The ; | onc | sted: | H adjust | | | | | | | | (<u>1</u> | | | CALCENCE IN | א <u>ר</u> ה ר | | - | 1916 | 2 | 8 |
| Initials: | approprie * Samp | | | ments a | | | | | | | | | COD | | d (| * 0 * 0 | ORRECTED | | $\hat{\gamma}^{ }$ | 7 7 7 | |
| | ite Projec les for M | | | re requir | | | | | | | | (2,114) | (nH<2) | Nitrate | | | | | | | |
| AC | t Manag etal analy | | | ed recor | | | | | | | | (2,114) | Metals (pH<2) | | | | | IR Gun # | | | |
| ICCP |):Expiration Date: The appropriate Project Manager and Department Manager should be notified about the samples which were pH ad Samples for Metal analysis which are out of compliance must be acidified at least 24 hours prior to analysis. | | | If pH adjustments are required record the information below: | | | | | | | | | Hardness (pH<2) | | Cooler #6: | Cooler #: | | | | Eur | |
| 1 | partment are out c | ، ج | ł | ormation | | | | | | | | | (pH 5-9) | | | | BAM | Cooler Temperatures | | Eurofins TestAmerica Edison Receipt Temperature and pH Log | 1 |
| | Manager of complia | Volume of Preservative used (ml): _ | | below: | ╎ | | | | | | | | (DH<2) | EPH or | | | CORREC | <u> </u> | | estAme peratur | |
| D | E> should t ance mus | ^o reserva | | ┟ | ╀─ | - | | | | | | - | 2) (pH<2) | | ð ı | .s a | | | | rica Ed e and p | |
| Date: | Expiration Date: d be notified abo ust be acidified a | tive used | | ł | + | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | ĥ | | lison 0H Log | |
| 11 | Date: 1 about th ified at le | (ml): | | ł | _ | | | | | _ | | | sumae (pH>9) (r | | Cool | | | | | | |
| 201 | he sampli hst 24 h | | | | - | | | | | | | | (DH<2) | | Cooler #9: | Cooler #7: | | | | | |
| - D | es which ours prior | | | | | | | | | | | | | 100 | đ | <u>त त</u> | ્રિ | | | | |
| | were pH to analys | | | | | | | | | | | (P | Cyanide (pH>12) | Total | đ 1 | ನ ನ | CORRECTED | | | | |
| | the samples which were pH adjusted. lepst 24 hours prior to analysis. | | | | | | | | | | | (P | Phos (pH<2) | Total | | | | | 2 | | |
| | | | | Ī | | | | | | | | | Other | | | <u>a</u> a a a | | | | Page | |
| | | | | ł | | | | | | | | | Other | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ĺ | | 1 | | L | | | | | | • | | | | | | of I | |

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Login Number: 197372 List Number: 1 Creator: Jara, Kelly D

| A | O |
|--------|---|
| Answer | Comment |
| N/A | |
| True | CS #1055341 |
| True | |
| N/A | |
| | N/A True True True True True True True True |

Job Number: 460-197372-1

List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



December 05, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30016346.0002B Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - Edison Laboratory submittal: 197372-1 Sample date: 2019-11-18 Report received by CADENA: 2019-12-05 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-12-05 Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, LCS/LCD RPD, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

| Valid Qualifiers | Description |
|---------------------|--|
| < | Less than the reported concentration. |
| > | Greater than the reported concentration. |
| В | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. |
| Е | The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated. |
| EMPC | Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only. |
| J | Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies. |
| J- | The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low. |
| JB | NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED |
| JH | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high. |
| JL | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low. |
| JUB | NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED |
| NJ | Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration. |
| R | Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results. |
| U | Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected. |
| UB | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. |
| UJ | The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample. |

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-Edison Laboratory Submittal: 197372-1

| | | Collection Date | Collection Time | | | |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|
| Lab Sample ID | Sample ID | (mm/yy/dd) | (hh:mm:ss) | GCMS VOC Volatiles | GCMS VOC SIM | Comment |
| 4601973721 | TRIP BLANK | 11/18/2019 | 12:36:00 | х | | |
| 4601973722 | MW-152S_111819 | 11/18/2019 | 12:36:00 | х | х | |

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica - Edison Laboratory Submittal: 197372-1

| | | Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date: | TRIP BL/ 4601973 11/18/2 | 3721 019 | | | MW-152 4601973 11/18/2 | | 19 | |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------|
| | Analyte | Cas No. | Result | Report Limit | Units | Valid Qualifier | Result | Report Limit | Units | Valid Qualifier |
| | , mary te | | nesure | 2 | Units | Quanner | nesure | 2 | Units | Quanner |
| GC/MS VOC | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>OSW-826</u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| | Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| | Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| | Vinyl chloride | 75-01-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | 0.35 | 1.0 | ug/l | J |
| GC/MS SVOC | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>OSW-826</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | | | | | ND | 2.0 | ug/l | |



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 460-197372-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-12-05

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Edison, New Jersey

Report #35173R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 460-197372-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

| SDG | Sample ID | Lab ID | Matrix | Sample Collection Date | Parent Sample | VOC (Full Scan) | Analysis VOC (SIM) | MISC |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | TRIP BLANK | 460-197372-1 | Water | 11/18/2019 | | х | | |
| 460-197372-1 | MW-152S_111819 | 460-197372-2 | Water | 11/18/2019 | | Х | Х | |

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

| | | Rep | orted | | mance ptable | Not |
|-------|---|-----|-------|----|-----------------|----------|
| | Items Reviewed | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| 1. 5 | Sample receipt condition | | Х | | Х | |
| 2. F | Requested analyses and sample results | | Х | | Х | |
| 3. N | Master tracking list | | Х | | Х | |
| 4. N | Methods of analysis | | Х | | Х | |
| 5. F | Reporting limits | | Х | | Х | |
| 6. 5 | Sample collection date | | Х | | Х | |
| 7. L | _aboratory sample received date | | Х | | Х | |
| 8. 5 | Sample preservation verification (as applicable) | | Х | | Х | |
| 9. 8 | Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates | | Х | | Х | |
| 10. F | Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form | | Х | | Х | |
| | Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided | | х | | Х | |
| 12. E | Data Package Completeness and Compliance | | Х | | Х | |

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

| Method | Matrix | Holding Time | Preservation |
|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM | Water | 14 days from collection to analysis | Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl |

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

DATA REVIEW

All detected compounds were within the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

| VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM | Re | ported | | ormance eptable | Not |
|---|-----------|--------|----|--------------------|----------|
| | No | Yes | No | Yes | Requirec |
| GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET | 'RY (GC/I | MS) | | | |
| Tier II Validation | | | | | |
| Holding times/Preservation | | Х | | Х | |
| Tier III Validation | | 1 | | | |
| System performance and column resolution | | X | | X | |
| Initial calibration %RSDs | | X | | Х | |
| Continuing calibration RRFs | | X | | Х | |
| Continuing calibration %Ds | | X | | Х | |
| Instrument tune and performance check | | X | | Х | |
| Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used | | X | | Х | |
| Internal standard | | X | | Х | |
| Compound identification and quantitation | | | | | |
| A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms | | X | | Х | |
| B. Quantitation Reports | | X | | Х | |
| C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows | | X | | X | |
| D. Transcription/calculation errors present | | X | | Х | |
| E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions | | Х | | Х | |

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

akaz

DATE: December 18, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: December 31, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



| 1 2 3 4 5 GAN | 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 14 15 | | |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 190 Test | Chain of Custouy Record TestAmerica Laboratory location: Brighton 10448 Citation Drive, Suite 200 / Brighton, MI 48116 | Cnain Of Custouy Record 448 Citation Drive, Suite 200 / Brighton, MI 48116 / 810-229-2763 | .763 | THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING |
| Client Contact | Regulatory program: | ☐ NPDES | | mT.J. T.J.A.MAGANIAN Two |
| Company Name: Arcadis | Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey | Site Contact: Rachel Bielak | Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico | COC No: 197372 |
| Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 | Telephone: 248-994-2240 | | Telephone: 330-497-9396 | |
| City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 | - Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com | | Analyses | of COCs Rote lab use only |
| Phone: 248-994-2240 | Commler Name: | rom below | | Walk-in object |
| Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site | Man - Cottierine Call | eeks | | Lab sampling |
| Project Number: 30016346.0002B | - 2 - | □ 1 week | 60B)B | |
| PO # 30016346.0002B | Shipping/Tracking No: | iple (Y C/Cra | 8260B CE 826 de 8260 8260B | Job/SDG No. |
| | 1 | Souther the second s | -1,2-D 3260B 3260B Chloric | Sample Specific Notes / |
| Sample Identification | Sample Date Sample Time A queou Solld Other: | | Trans PCE 8 TCE 8 Vinyl | Special Instructions: |
| TRIP BLANK | | X MGX | | ř. |
| MW-1525-111819 | 11/18/19 12:36 × | X NOX | X X X X X X X | 3 VCAS 5640B |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Possible Bazard Identification | | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed a samples are retained longer than 1 month) | amples are retained longer than 1 month) | |
| Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631 | | | | |
| Relinquished by: MAUL Cal Maul | Company: AVCULA Date/Time: | 19 1811 Received by: MM CUALIF | Company: Arradis | Date/Time: $1/18/19/1800$ |
| | Company: Arladis | 1640 Received by: Why Cold | Storage Company: Arcalis | Date/Time: 11/18/19/18/10 |
| and ball | | 10-13 Received in Laboratory by: 10-13 Marley Mark | Company: | LAPAN W/ PINI |
| | | C | Ą | inilla 11/20/19 |
| | C2 | CS 105534 /3.5 | 3.5. via Fever | 9:30 |

Analyte

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 11/18/19 12:36 Date Received: 11/20/19 09:30

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Result Qualifier

| Lab Sample I |
|--------------|
| |

D

Prepared

MDL Unit

D: 460-197372-1 Matrix: Water

Job ID: 460-197372-1

Analyzed

| - | | | | | | • | • | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|----------|----------------|--------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.22 | ug/L | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/L | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.24 | ug/L | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.31 | ug/L | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.17 | ug/L | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fa |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 94 | | 74 - 132 | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 80 - 120 | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 100 | | 72 - 131 | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 106 | | 77 - 124 | | | | 11/30/19 04:39 | |

RL

Client Sample ID: MW-152S_111819 Date Collected: 11/18/19 12:36 Date Received: 11/20/19 09:30

4-Bromofluorobenzene

| Method: 8260C SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | | | 11/25/19 14:26 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 94 | | 72 - 133 | | | | | 11/25/19 14:26 | 1 |

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

108

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.22 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.24 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.31 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.35 | J | 1.0 | 0.17 | ug/L | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 98 | | 74 - 132 | | | - | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 80 - 120 | | | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 103 | | 72 - 131 | | | | | 11/30/19 07:18 | 1 |

77 - 124

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

11/30/19 07:18

1