

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton
4101 Shuffel Street NW
North Canton, OH 44720
Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-114180-1
Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:
ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Drive
Suite 500
Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey



Authorized for release by:
6/26/2019 3:22:35 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I
(330)497-9396
michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

LINKS

Review your project
results through
TotalAccess

Have a Question?



Visit us at:
www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	6
Sample Summary	7
Detection Summary	8
Client Sample Results	9
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	13
Lab Chronicle	14
Certification Summary	15
Chain of Custody	16
Receipt Checklists	19

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
▫	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 240-114180-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The sample was received on 6/12/2019 8:40 AM; the sample arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperatures of the 3 coolers at receipt time were 2.5° C, 3.9° C and 4.5° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Sample SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719 (240-114180-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The sample was analyzed on 06/20/2019.

There was an MS/MSD analyzed in batch 387242 but could not be reported because the associated sample needed reanalyzed in a different batch: SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719 (240-114180-1).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719 (240-114180-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 06/16/2019.

1,4-Dioxane was detected in method blank MB 240-386387/5 at a level that was above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The value should be considered an estimate, and has been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged. Refer to the QC report for details.

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Job ID: 240-114180-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton (Continued)

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396



Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-114180-1	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	Water	06/07/19 09:45	06/12/19 08:40	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719

Lab Sample ID: 240-114180-1

No Detections.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719

Lab Sample ID: 240-114180-1

Date Collected: 06/07/19 09:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/12/19 08:40

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			06/16/19 06:34	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	90		63 - 125		06/16/19 06:34	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	99		70 - 121		06/20/19 16:05	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91		59 - 120		06/20/19 16:05	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		70 - 123		06/20/19 16:05	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		75 - 128		06/20/19 16:05	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA (70-121)	BFB (59-120)	TOL (70-123)	DBFM (75-128)
240-114180-1	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_0607	99	91	99	104
LCS 240-387242/4	Lab Control Sample	100	111	109	108
MB 240-387242/6	Method Blank	102	97	104	107

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)
BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)
DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	DCA (63-125)
240-114180-1	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_0607	90
240-114181-B-3 MS	Matrix Spike	87
240-114181-B-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	94
LCS 240-386387/4	Lab Control Sample	92
MB 240-386387/5	Method Blank	91

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-387242/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 387242

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			06/20/19 10:55	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			06/20/19 10:55	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			06/20/19 10:55	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			06/20/19 10:55	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			06/20/19 10:55	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			06/20/19 10:55	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		70 - 121		06/20/19 10:55	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		59 - 120		06/20/19 10:55	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		70 - 123		06/20/19 10:55	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	107		75 - 128		06/20/19 10:55	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-387242/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 387242

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	65 - 139
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	11.4		ug/L		114	76 - 128
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	8.50		ug/L		85	74 - 130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	11.1		ug/L		111	78 - 133
Trichloroethene	10.0	9.82		ug/L		98	76 - 125
Vinyl chloride	10.0	8.20		ug/L		82	58 - 143

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	100		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	111		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	109		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		75 - 128

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-386387/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 386387

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	0.951	J	2.0	0.86	ug/L			06/16/19 05:43	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		63 - 125		06/16/19 05:43	1

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-386387/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 386387

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	10.0	12.6		ug/L		126	59 - 131
Surrogate	%Recovery	LCS Qualifier	LCS Limits				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	92		63 - 125				

Lab Sample ID: 240-114181-B-3 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 386387

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	12.0		ug/L		120	52 - 129
Surrogate	%Recovery	MS Qualifier	MS Limits						
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	87		63 - 125						

Lab Sample ID: 240-114181-B-3 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 386387

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	12.6		ug/L		126	52 - 129	4	13
Surrogate	%Recovery	MSD Qualifier	MSD Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		63 - 125								

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 386387

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-114180-1	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-386387/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-386387/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-114181-B-3 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-114181-B-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 387242

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-114180-1	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-387242/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-387242/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	



Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719

Lab Sample ID: 240-114180-1

Date Collected: 06/07/19 09:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/12/19 08:40

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	387242	06/20/19 16:05	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	386387	06/16/19 06:34	TJL2	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State		2927	02-23-20
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19 *
Florida	NELAP		E87225	06-30-19
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19 *
Illinois	NELAP		004498	07-31-19
Iowa	State Program	7	421	06-01-21
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-20
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19 *
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19 *
New Jersey	NELAP		OH001	06-30-19
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-20
New York	NELAP		10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20
Oregon	NELAP		4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *
Pennsylvania	NELAP		68-00340	08-31-19
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19 *
Texas	NELAP		T104704517-18-10	08-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19 *
Virginia	NELAP		010101	09-14-19
Washington	State		C971	01-12-20
Washington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.


Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative
Canton Facility

Login # : 11480

Client Arcadis Site Name _____
 Cooler Received on 6-12-19 Opened on 6-12-19
 FedEx: 1st Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier Other _____

Cooler unpacked by:
[Signature]

Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time _____ Storage Location _____
 TestAmerica Cooler # 77 Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other _____
 Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other _____
 COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None


1. Cooler temperature upon receipt See Multiple Cooler Form ()
 IR GUN# IR-8 (CF +0.1 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. _____ °C Corrected Cooler Temp. _____ °C
 IR GUN #36 (CF +0.6 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. _____ °C Corrected Cooler Temp. _____ °C
2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity / each Yes No
 -Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? Yes No NA
 -Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? Yes No NA
 -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA
3. Shippers' packing-slip attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No
4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No
5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No
6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Yes No
7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Yes No
8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes No
9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No
10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes No
11. Are these work share samples? Yes No
12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# HC984738
13. Were VOAs on the COC? Yes No
14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Yes No NA  Larger than this.
15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # _____ Yes No
16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Yes No

Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving:
 VOAs
 Oil and Grease
 TOC

Contacted PM _____ Date _____ by _____ via Verbal Voice Mail Other _____
 Concerning _____

17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES

Samples processed by:

extra 40 mL Trip blank not on COC received in cooler will add to COC


18. SAMPLE CONDITION

Sample(s) _____ were received after the recommended holding time had expired.
 Sample(s) _____ were received in a broken container.
 Sample(s) _____ were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION

Sample(s) _____ were further preserved in the laboratory.
 Time preserved: _____ Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): _____

VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen: _____

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job Number: 240-114180-1

Login Number: 114180

List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

List Number: 1

Creator: Cribley, Ryan D

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter.		
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.		
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.		
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.		
Samples were received on ice.		
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.		
Cooler Temperature is recorded.		
COC is present.		
COC is filled out in ink and legible.		
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.		
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?		
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.		
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)		
Sample containers have legible labels.		
Containers are not broken or leaking.		
Sample collection date/times are provided.		
Appropriate sample containers are used.		
Sample bottles are completely filled.		
Sample Preservation Verified.		
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs		
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").		
Multiphasic samples are not present.		
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.		
Residual Chlorine Checked.		

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



June 27, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC
Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton
Laboratory submittal: 114180-1
Sample date: 2019-06-07
Report received by CADENA: 2019-06-26
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-06-27
Number of Samples: 1
Sample Matrices: Water
Test Categories: GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

SIM GCMS VOC QC batch 386387 method blank had a detection below the RL for the following analyte: 1,4-DIOXANE. Qualification of client sample results was not required based on this method blank detection.

GCMS VOC QC batch MS/MSD issues as noted in the laboratory submittal case narrative were not used to qualify client sample results as part of this level 2 data package verification review.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 114180-1

Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	Collection Date (mm/yy/dd)	Collection Time (hh:mm:ss)	Volatile Organics by GCMS	8260B with Single Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401141801	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	6/7/2019	9:45:00	X	X	

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 114180-1

Sample Name: SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719

Lab Sample ID: 2401141801

Sample Date: 6/7/2019

Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Report		Valid Qualifier	
			Limit	Units		
GC/MS VOC						
<u>OSW-8260B</u>						
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	
<u>OSW-8260BBSim</u>						
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	---	

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-114180-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-06-27

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica
Canton, Ohio

Report #33482R

Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0004.00002 (30016346)



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-114180-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						VOC (Full Scan)	VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-114180-1	SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	240-114180-1	Water	6/7/2019		X	X	

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

DATA REVIEW

All detected compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:



DATE: July 30, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: August 5, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-114180-1

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34600BEACON-01_060719

Lab Sample ID: 240-114180-1

Date Collected: 06/07/19 09:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/12/19 08:40

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			06/16/19 06:34	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	90		63 - 125		06/16/19 06:34	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			06/20/19 16:05	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	99		70 - 121		06/20/19 16:05	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91		59 - 120		06/20/19 16:05	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		70 - 123		06/20/19 16:05	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		75 - 128		06/20/19 16:05	1

6/17/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga
Project #: MI001454.0003.00002
Workorder #: 1906188

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/11/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1906188

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.0001B
FAX:		PROJECT #	MI001454.0003.00002 Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	06/11/2019	CONTACT:	Off-Site Sampling Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	06/17/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-34600BEACON-01_060619	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619	Modified TO-15	7.5 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-34600BEACON-02_060619	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAG-34600BEACON-01_060619	Modified TO-15	8.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	DUP-34600BEACON-01_060619	Modified TO-15	8.0 "Hg	5 psi
06A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 06/17/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
Modified TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1906188

Five 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on June 11, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

<i>Requirement</i>	<i>TO-15</i>	<i>ATL Modifications</i>
Initial Calibration	</=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	</=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	AA-34600BEACON-01_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 04:20 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.75
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:05 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061209
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.59	1.2	0.25 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	125
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 04:56 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.79
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:11 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061210
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	0.23 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	IAF-34600BEACON-02_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 05:32 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.68
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:08 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061211
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	0.20 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga

Client ID:	IAG-34600BEACON-01_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 06:09 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.83
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 10:01 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061212
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	122
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	DUP-34600BEACON-01_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 06:44 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.83
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061213
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	0.23 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 01:47 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061208a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	123
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 10:32 AM
Lab ID:	1906188-07A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061204
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	81
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	107
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	85
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	111
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	96

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	111

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 11:27 AM
Lab ID:	1906188-08A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061205
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	84
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	106
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	108
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	82
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	113
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	112
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	110

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 12:23 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-08AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061206
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	84
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	106
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	107
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	110
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



June 18, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1906188
Sample date: 2019-06-07
Report received by CADENA: 2019-06-17
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-06-18

5 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1906188

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-06-18

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #33399R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0004.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1906188 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1906188	AA-34600BEACON-01_060619	1906188-01A	Air	6/7/2019		X		
	IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619	1906188-02A	Air	6/7/2019		X		
	IAF-34600BEACON-02_060619	1906188-03A	Air	6/7/2019		X		
	IAG-34600BEACON-01_060619	1906188-04A	Air	6/7/2019		X		
	DUP-34600BEACON-01_060619	1906188-05A	Air	6/7/2019	IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619	X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

DATA REVIEW

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619/ DUP-34600BEACON-01_060619	Tetrachloroethene	0.23 J	0.23 J	AC

Notes:

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: July 8, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 9, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	AA-34600BEACON-01_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 04:20 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.75
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:05 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061209
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.59	1.2	0.25 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	125
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 04:56 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.79
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:11 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061210
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	0.23 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	IAF-34600BEACON-02_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 05:32 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.68
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:08 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061211
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	0.20 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Samplinga

Client ID:	IAG-34600BEACON-01_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 06:09 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.83
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 10:01 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061212
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	122
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	DUP-34600BEACON-01_060619	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 06:44 PM
Lab ID:	1906188-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.83
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22061213
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	0.23 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	128
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

1906188

PID: _____

Workerorder#: _____

Page 1 of 1

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

Client: Arcadis		PID: _____		Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting				Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)				
Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling		P.O.#: MI001454.0003.00002						5 Day Turnaround Time				
Project Manager: Kris Hinskey		Site Name: 34600 BEACON										
Sampler: Seth Turner								Requested Analyses				
Site Name: 34600 BEACON												
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Canister #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Canister Vacuum/Pressure		Lab Use Only		TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)
				Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N2 / He	
01A	AA-34600BEACON-01_060619	6L2380	21933	06/06/2019	10:50	06/07/2019	09:05	-29	-7			X
02A	IAB-34600BEACON-03_060619	000001651	21447	06/06/2019	10:38	06/07/2019	09:11	-29	-7.5			X
03A	IAF-34600BEACON-02_060619	6L1737	22633	06/06/2019	10:35	06/07/2019	09:08	-29	-6			X
04A	IAG-34600BEACON-01_060619	6L0425	21448	06/06/2019	10:43	06/07/2019	10:01	-29	-8			X
05A	DUP-34600BEACON-01_060619	6L0624	22689	06/06/2019		06/07/2019		-29	-8			X
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) <i>Seth Turner / Arcadis</i>				Date: 6/7/19	Time: 1600	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) <i>Jim Tomalia</i>				Date: 06/11/19	Time: 1613	
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date:	Time:	
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date:	Time:	
Lab Use Only												
Shipper Name: <i>Teddy</i>		Custody Seals Intact? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> None										
<p>Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922</p>												

6/17/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling
Project #: MI001454.0003.00002
Workorder #: 1906189

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/11/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1906189

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.0001B
FAX:		PROJECT #	MI001454.0003.00002 Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	06/11/2019	CONTACT:	Off-Site Sampling Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	06/17/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	TO-15	6.3 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 06/17/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)
 Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630
 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1906189

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on June 11, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	SSMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 09:50 PM
Lab ID:	1906189-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.56
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:48 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061214
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.6	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.4	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.3	4.6	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	7.8	8.7	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.1	4.6	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.90	6.2	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.78	2.9	3.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 02:04 PM
Lab ID:	1906189-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061206a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.95	5.0	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.90	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.64	3.0	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.35	2.4	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.30	1.1	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 11:44 AM
Lab ID:	1906189-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061202
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	104
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	103
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	116

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 12:09 PM
Lab ID:	1906189-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061203
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	118
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	124

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 12:34 PM
Lab ID:	1906189-04AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061204
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	116
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	110

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



June 18, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1906189
Sample date: 2019-06-07
Report received by CADENA: 2019-06-17
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-06-18

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1906189

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-06-18

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #33400R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0004.00002

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1906189 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1906189	SSMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	1906189-01A	Air	6/7/2019		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					X
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation


%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: July 8, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 9, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
 Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	SSMP-34600BEACON-01_060719	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/12/19 09:50 PM
Lab ID:	1906189-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.56
Date/Time Collected:	6/7/19 09:48 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p061214
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.6	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.4	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.3	4.6	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	7.8	8.7	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.1	4.6	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.90	6.2	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.78	2.9	3.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody
For Laboratory Use

1906189

PID: _____

Page 1 of 1

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

Client: Arcadis

PID: _____

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)

Project Manager: Kris Hinskey
Sampler: Seth Turner

P.O.# M001454.0003.00002

Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting

5 Day Turnaround Time

Site Name: 34800 BEACON

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Canister #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Canister Vacuum/Pressure		Lab Use Only		Requested Analyses TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)
				Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (ppb) Gas: N2 / He	
01A	SSMP-34600BEACON-01_080719	1L3125	23705	6/7/2019	09:38	6/7/2019	09:48	-29	-6.5			x
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) <i>Seth Turner / Arcadis</i>				Date	6/7/19	Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Date		Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date		Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Date		Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)				Date		Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Date		Time

Shipper Name: *FedEx* Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

Custody Seal Intact?

Y N None Temp NA

FedEx

Jim Earl

*tot 06/11/19
17W
6/11/19 1013*