# 🛟 eurofins

## Environment Testing TestAmerica

### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

#### Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

#### Laboratory Job ID: 240-119283-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 10/7/2019 10:34:01 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



## **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

#### **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

3

5

### Qualifiers

Qualifier Description
Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
Percent Recovery
Contains Free Liquid

%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

#### Job ID: 240-119283-1

#### Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

#### CASE NARRATIVE

**Case Narrative** 

#### Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

#### Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Report Number: 240-119283-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

#### RECEIPT

The samples were received on 9/21/2019 9:50 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.3° C.

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples MW-165S\_091919 (240-119283-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-119283-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 10/01/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample MW-165S\_091919 (240-119283-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 09/27/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-119283-1	MW-165S_091919	Water	09/19/19 13:50	09/21/19 09:50	
240-119283-2	TRIP BLANK	Water	09/19/19 13:50	09/21/19 09:50	

#### **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Client Sample ID: MW-165S\_091919

No Detections.

#### **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK**

No Detections.

Job ID: 240-119283-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-2

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Client Sample ID: MW-165S\_091919 Date Collected: 09/19/19 13:50 Date Received: 09/21/19 09:50

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			09/27/19 12:55	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	77		63 - 125			-		09/27/19 12:55	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	· · · ·	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)			70 - 121			-		10/01/19 13:40	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	64		59 - 120					10/01/19 13:40	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	81		70 - 123					10/01/19 13:40	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	114		75 - 128					10/01/19 13:40	1

10/7/2019

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-1

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** Date Collected: 09/19/19 13:50 Date Received: 09/21/19 09:50

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

_ Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		70 - 121					10/01/19 14:04	1

59 - 120

70 - 123

75 - 128

64

82

114

10/01/19 14:04

10/01/19 14:04

10/01/19 14:04

Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-2 **Matrix: Water** 5 8 1 1 1 12 13

#### **Surrogate Summary**

Job ID: 240-119283-1

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Matrix: Matar

			Pe	ercent Surro	ogate Recovery (Ac	ceptance Limits)
ah Samula ID	Client Semula ID	DCA (70-121)	BFB (59-120)	TOL (70-123)	DBFM (75-128)	
ab Sample ID 40-119283-1	Client Sample ID MW-165S 091919	101	64	81	114	
40-119283-2	TRIP BLANK	101	64	82	114	
40-119292-D-1 MS	Matrix Spike	85	92	93	98	
40-119292-E-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	83	89	88	97	
CS 240-403367/4	Lab Control Sample	83	94	93	97	
1B 240-403367/6	Method Blank	101	68	82	111	
Surrogate Legend						
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth	ane-d4 (Surr)					
BFB = 4-Bromofluorob	enzene (Surr)					
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Su	rr)					
DBFM = Dibromofluor	omethane (Surr)					
ethod: 8260B S	IM - Volatile Organic	Compoun	ds (GC/	MS)		
atrix: Water	erganio	pour				Prep Type: Total/N

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)	
		DCA		13
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)		
240-119283-1	MW-165S_091919	77		
240-119294-A-1 MS	Matrix Spike	76		
240-119294-A-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	77		
LCS 240-402866/4	Lab Control Sample	75		
MB 240-402866/5	Method Blank	77		
Surrogate Legend				

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

### Lab Sample ID: MB 240-403367/6

#### **Client Sample ID: Method Blank** Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 403367

	MB	МВ							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 11:40	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/01/19 11:40	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/01/19 11:40	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 11:40	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/01/19 11:40	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/01/19 11:40	1

	MB	МВ				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		70 - 121		10/01/19 11:40	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	68		59 - 120		10/01/19 11:40	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	82		70 - 123		10/01/19 11:40	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	111		75 - 128		10/01/19 11:40	1

#### Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-403367/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 403367

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	65 - 139	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.58		ug/L		96	76 - 128	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	9.68		ug/L		97	74 - 130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.1		ug/L		101	78 - 133	
Trichloroethene	10.0	9.60		ug/L		96	76 - 125	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	8.93		ug/L		89	58 - 143	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	83		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	93		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	97		75 - 128

92

93

#### Lab Sample ID: 240-119292-D-1 MS **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 403367

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Analysis Daten. 400007	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.0		ug/L		100	53 - 140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.15		ug/L		91	64 - 130
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.19		ug/L		92	51 <sub>-</sub> 136
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.66		ug/L		97	68 - 133
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.02		ug/L		90	55 - 131
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	8.84		ug/L		88	43 - 154
	MS	MS							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits						
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	85		70 - 121						

59 - 120

70 - 123

Eurofins	TestAmerica,	Canton
Laronno	1000	ouncon

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

#### QC Sample Results

Lab Sample ID: 240-119292-D-1 MS

Matrix: Water

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

#### Analysis Batch: 403367 MS MS Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 75 - 128 98 Lab Sample ID: 240-119292-E-1 MSD **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate** Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 403367 RPD Sample Sample Spike MSD MSD %Rec. **Result Qualifier** Added **Result Qualifier** Unit %Rec Limits RPD Limit Analyte D 1.0 U 10.0 9.84 2 35 1,1-Dichloroethene ug/L 98 53 - 140 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 64 - 130 10.0 9.01 ug/L 90 2 21 1.0 U Tetrachloroethene 10.0 8.49 ug/L 85 51 - 136 8 23 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 9.50 95 68 - 133 2 24 ug/L ug/L 87 55 - 131 Trichloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 8.69 23 4 Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 10.0 9.20 ug/L 92 43 - 154 4 29 MSD MSD Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier 83 70 - 121 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 89 59 - 120 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 88 70 - 123 97 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 75 - 128 Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Lab Sample ID: MB 240-402866/5 **Client Sample ID: Method Blank Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 402866 MB MB MDL Unit Analyte **Result Qualifier** RI п Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 2.0 0.86 ug/L 09/27/19 11:40 MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 63 - 125 09/27/19 11:40 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 77 1 Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-402866/4 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 402866 LCS LCS Spike %Rec. Analvte Added **Result Qualifier** Unit D %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 11.8 ug/L 118 59 - 131 LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 63 - 125 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 75 **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** Lab Sample ID: 240-119294-A-1 MS Prep Type: Total/NA Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 402866 Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Analyte **Result Qualifier** Added **Result Qualifier** Unit D %Rec Limits

Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** 

118 52-129

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

ug/L

Page 12 of 18

10.0

11.8

2.0 U

1,4-Dioxane

#### Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

	MS	MS										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	76		63 - 125									
Lab Sample ID: 240-1192	94-A-1 MSD					Client	Samp	le ID: N	latrix Spil	ke Dup	licate	
Matrix: Water									Prep Ty			
Analysis Batch: 402866												
-	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	11.6		ug/L		116	52 - 129	1	13	
	MSD	MSD										f
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	77		63 - 125									2

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

#### **QC** Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **GC/MS VOA**

#### Analysis Batch: 402866

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-119283-1	MW-165S_091919	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-402866/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-402866/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-119294-A-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-119294-A-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

#### Analysis Batch: 403367

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch	
240-119283-1	MW-165S_091919	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-119283-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
MB 240-403367/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
LCS 240-403367/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-119292-D-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-119292-E-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B		1

#### Job ID: 240-119283-1

Job ID: 240-119283-1

Matrix: Water

**Matrix: Water** 

Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-2

#### Client Sample ID: MW-165S\_091919 Date Collected: 09/19/19 13:50 Date Received: 09/21/19 09:50

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	403367	10/01/19 13:40	LEE	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	402866	09/27/19 12:55	SAM	TAL CAN

#### Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 09/19/19 13:50 Date Received: 09/21/19 09:50

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	403367	10/01/19 14:04	LEE	TAL CAN

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Job ID: 240-119283-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date	
California	State	2927	02-23-20	
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19	5
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20	
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20	
linois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20	
owa	State	421	06-01-20	
ansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20	
entucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-20	g
entucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-19	
linnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-19	G
/linnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	3506	07-31-21	3
lew Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20	
lew York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20	
hio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21	
Dregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20	
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20	
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20	
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00404	12-28-19	
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-20	1
Vashington	State	C971	01-12-20	_
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-19	

Company Name: Areadis     Company Name: Areadis       Company Name: Areadis     Client Project Jacob Drive, Suite 500       Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500     Telephone: 248       City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377     Email: kristoff       Phone: 248.994-2240     Freiper Samili kristoff       Project Name: Ford LTP     Email: kristoff       Project Name: Ford LTP     Method of Ship       Project Name: Ford LTP     Sample Identification       Sample Identification     Sample Date       Mu - TIS <s_c_cppp< td="">     ProjP       TIL     B (U/AV       TIL     ProjP</s_c_cppp<>	Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Telephone: 248-994-2240 Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadls.com Method of Shipment/Carrier: Shipping/Tracking No: Matrix.	Site Contact: Rachel Biclak Telephone: 248-946-6331	0000	
ite 500 4.00028 A.00028 Contraction		Site Contact: Rachel Bielak Telephone: 248-946-6331		TestAmerica Laboratories. Inc
14.00028 Jentification 509/9		Telephone: 248-946-6331	Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico	COC No:
4.00028 Actification SOPJP			Telephone: 330-497-9396	1 of 1 COCs
17P 00 1454,0004,000218 .000218 .000218 		Analysis Turnaround Time	Analyses	For lab use only
1919				Walk-in client
e Identification 5 S CP 19 19			3 C	Lab sumpling
Sample Identification - 1655_0919 P BULNA	Matrix	∏ 2 days □ 1 day	85608 85608 5608 8 8 8	Job/SDG No:
Sample Identification - 1655_0919 PBULNA		Containers & Preservatives		
-1655_09918	Date Sample Time Aducou	Offict: Configures Naioh Naioh HCI HCI HCO H2CO H2CO H2CO	Comp Comp PCE 8 TCE 8 TCE 8 TCE 8 TCE 8	Special Instructions:
NUAR	1350	+	シアヤケケナナナ	9
		×	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	240-119283 Chain of Custody	istody		
Possible Hazard Identification	Dation B	Sample Disposal (A fee may be as	Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)	
/QC Requirements & Comments: through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Ca g requested.		Trendell'IO CITERIA 12 DEL	structure i to a safety i and for second	
Ame 2	A C( a) Date Time	1800 Received by: 11	ol company. Arcad	is pareting (1800
Relinquished by Company Relinquished by Company.	ACCANK Date T17.01 14	9 (9/10 Received by OUL) Received in Laboratory by	VOID COMPANY: ARCORD	Lr DaterTime 19/19/1900
ier, het de film neueron de conteren. ier er en de film neueron de conteren, ier er de film		1514 Day	T++ 9.2	9-21-19 950

	nton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative		Login # :	
Canton Facility		1	Cooler unp	acked by:
	Site Name		1	
ooler Received on	<u>21-19</u> Opened on <u>9</u> -		R	->
edEx: 1st Grd Exp UP			Other	
eceipt After-hours: Drop-o	off Date/Time	Storage Location		
estAmerica Cooler #	Foam Box Client Cooler			
Packing material used: COOLANT: Wg	effice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water	None		
. Cooler temperature upon IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +	0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 0-6	See Multiple Cooler Form C Corrected Cooler T C Corrected Cooler T	emp. 1.3	°C
	0.9°C) Observed Cooler Temp			C
-Were the seals on the -Were tamper/custody	als on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHs	g/MeHg)? Yes	No NA No NA No NA	
-Were tamper/custody	seals intact and uncompromised?		No NA	
. Shippers' packing slip att	tached to the cooler(s)?	-	No No	
. Did custody papers accor	mpany the sample(s)?		No	Tests that are not
Were the custody papers	relinquished & signed in the appropriate		No	checked for pH by
Was/were the person(s) v	who collected the samples clearly identifi	Vec	No	Receiving:
	good condition (Unbroken)?		No	VOAs
	reconciled with the COC?		No	Oil and Grease
Were correct bottle(s) us	ed for the test(s) indicated?		No	TOC
	ved to perform indicated analyses?		No	
1. Are these work share san	npies? have been checked at the originating labo		C	
If yes, Questions 12-10 h	lave been checked at the originating labo	ratory.		
0 W. II	la(a) at the correct all upon receipt?	Yes	No NA n	Strip Lot# HC991818
2. Were all preserved samp	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	Yes		H Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp.</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> </ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	Yes	No	H Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp.</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> </ol>	ele(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No NA	H Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp.</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> </ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	nan this. Yes	No No NA	H Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> </ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? ?? in any VOA vials?  Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No	
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg t</li> <li>Contacted PM</li> </ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? in any VOA vials?  Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present?	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No	
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg t</li> <li>Contacted PM</li> </ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? by</pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Olice Mail Oth	er
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg t</li> <li>Contacted PM</li> <li>Concerning</li> </ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? by</pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Oice Mail Oth Samples	
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? Date by V &amp; SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES</pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No Soice Mail Oth Samples	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg t</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? Date by V &amp; SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES</pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Soice Mail Oth Samples	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>ontacted PM</li></ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? Date by V &amp; SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES</pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Soice Mail Oth Samples	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? Date by V &amp; SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES N</pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Soice Mail Oth Samples	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg t</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	<pre>le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?  in any VOA vials? Larger th resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # trip blank present? Date by  Z &amp; SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES  Nwere received after </pre>	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Samples ang time had ex	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>ontacted PM</li></ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?         ??         in any VOA vials?         Image: the cooler(s)?         Trip Blank Lot #         trip blank present?	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Samples ang time had ex in a broken co	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>ontacted PM</li></ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?         ??         in any VOA vials?         resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #         trip blank present?	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Samples ang time had ex in a broken co	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg t</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?         ??         in any VOA vials?         resent in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #         trip blank present?	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo	No No No Samples ang time had ex in a broken co	er processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	Ide(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   In any VOA vials?   Image:	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo via Verbal Vo the recommended holdi were received red with bubble >6 mm in	No No No Samples Samples	er processed by: processed by: processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	Ide(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?   In any VOA vials?   Image:	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo via Verbal Vo the recommended holdi were received red with bubble >6 mm in	No No No Samples Samples	er processed by: processed by: processed by:
<ol> <li>Were all preserved samp</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank pr</li> <li>Was a LL Hg or Me Hg to</li> <li>Contacted PM</li></ol>	le(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?         ??         in any VOA vials?	Yes Yes Yes Yes via Verbal Vo via Verbal Vo the recommended holdi were received red with bubble >6 mm in were fur	No No No Samples in a broken con n diameter. (No	er processed by: processed by:

Q

### **DATA VERIFICATION REPORT**



October 07, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0003 30016344 - VI sampling Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 119283-1 Sample date: 2019-09-19 Report received by CADENA: 2019-10-07 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-10-07 Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

#### SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 119283-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401192831	MW-165S_091919	9/19/2019	1:50:00	х	х	
2401192832	TRIP BLANK	9/19/2019	1:50:00	х		

### Analytical Results Summary

**Reportable Results Only** 

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 119283-1

	Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW-1655_091919 2401192831 9/19/2019				TRIP BLANK 2401192832 9/19/2019				
			Report		Valid		Report		Valid	
Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	
GC/MS VOC OSW-8260B										
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l		
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l		
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l		
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l		
OSW-8260BBSim										
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l						



### Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

### Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-119283-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-10-07

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #34456R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00002

### SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-119283-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
	MW-165S_091919	240-119283-1	Water	9/19/2019		Scan) X	Х	<u> </u>
240-119283-1	TRIP BLANK	240-119283-2	Water	9/19/2019		Х		

#### ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Reported			mance ptable	Not	
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1. Sa	ample receipt condition		Х		Х		
2. Re	equested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
3. Ma	aster tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Me	ethods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Re	eporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sa	ample collection date		Х		Х		
7. La	aboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sa	ample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. Sa	ample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fu	ully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
	arrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample oblems provided		Х		Х		
12. Da	ata Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

#### DATA REVIEW

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	ported	Performance Acceptable		Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Requirec	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	MS)				
Tier II Validation						
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х		
Tier III Validation	I	1			1	
System performance and column resolution		X		X		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х		
Internal standard		X		Х		
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х		
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		Х		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference
- %D Percent difference

#### VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:


a Kagt

DATE: October 17, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: October 17, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



# NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



eet Manager: Kris Hinsk 248-994-2240 offer.hinskey@arcadts. ioffer.hinskey@arcadts. irstking.No: retking.No: retking.No: retking.No: retking.No: 240-119 240-119 na #E203631	Site Contract: Rachel Bickin     Lab Contract: Mike Del/Nonico       I telephone: 248-046-031     Telephone: 248-046-031       Telephone: 248-046-031     Telephone: 248-046-031       I telephone: 248-046-031     Telephone: 248-046-031       Totalysis     Totalysis       Analysis     Totalysis       I total     7 Analysis
tite 500 Telephone: 248-994-2240 Telephone: 248-994-2240 Telephone: 248-994-2240 Telephone: 248-994-2240 Email: kristoffer. Alinskey@arcadts.	Site Contract: Rachel Biclak     Lab Contract: Rachel Biclak       Telephone: 248-946-6331     Telephone: 248-946-6331       Telephone: 248-946-6331     Telephone: 330-497-9396       Table:     Analysis Turnarcound Linne       Analysis Turnarcound Linne     T 1,4-DIOXane 8260B       Analysis Turnarcound     T 1,1,4-DIOXane 8260B       Analysis Turnarcound     T 1,1,4-DIOXane       Analysis Turnarcound     T 1,1,4-DIOXane       Analysis Turnarcound     T 1,1,4-DIOXane       Analysis Turnarcound     T 1
Tetephone: 248-994-2240       Hol002B     Email: kristoffer.kliniskey@arcadts.       Hol002B     Method of Shipment/Carrier:       Shipping/Tracking No:     Shipping/Tracking No:       Sample Date     Sample Time       Sample Date     Sample Time       A.0002B     P.19.10       Total     P.19.10       Sample Date     Sample Time       Sample Date     Sample Time       Sample Date     Sample Time       Sample Date     Sample Time	Telephone:         248-945-6531           Telephone:         248-945-6531           Telephone:         248-945-6531           Telephone:         248-945-6531           Telephone:         248-945-6531           Telephone:         248-945-6531           Telephone:         330-197-9396           Total resc         3 weeks           Total resc         3 weeks           Total resc         1 day           Total resc
Email: kristoffer Jünskey@arcadfs.       H.0002B     Method of Shipment/Carrier:       Shipping/Tracking.No:     Shipping/Tracking.No:       Sample Date     Sample Time       SOPD/P     9/19/10       N.M.     9/10/10       N.M. <td>Analysis         Analysis         Analysis</td>	Analysis
JTP     Method of Shipment/Carrier:       001454.0004.00028     Method of Shipment/Carrier:       00028     Shipping/Tracking No:       .00028     Shipping/Tracking No:       .00029     Shipping/Tracking No:   <	TAT It dittlement from below     TAT It dittlement from below       TAT It dittlement from below     3 weeks       10 day     7 weeks       10 day     7 weeks       11 day     1 weeks       12 weeks     1 day       13 weeks     1 day       14 day
Method of ShipmenUCarrier:       Shipping/Tracking No:       Shipping/Tracking No:       COP D/P     P / P/U       DP / P     P / P	1     0 </td
Shipping/Tracting No:       e Identification     Sample Date     Sample Time     Ž       S     S     OP (P) (V)     1350       V/A     OP (P) (V)     140       V/A     OP (P) (V)     140	
Z40-119 2240-119	
Time 2	
240-119	
240-119	
240-119	
240-119	
240-119	
	283 Chain of Custody
	Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are relatined longer than 1 month)
Ame & Company (100)	invention converte in propose of an interference
Comment Comment	949-12 1800 Received by ALDOL Company. Arcadus Date Ting 1/19/1
RELAY Paul Bulat Company ALCANIS	Date Time. Date Time. Company: Arcadir Date Time. Date
are the statement accord to the first the firs	1214 10 The The 921.19 950

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Client Sample ID: MW-165S\_091919 Date Collected: 09/19/19 13:50 Date Received: 09/21/19 09:50

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			09/27/19 12:55	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	77		63 - 125			-		09/27/19 12:55	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte		Qualifier	, RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/01/19 13:40	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		70 - 121			-		10/01/19 13:40	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	64		59 - 120					10/01/19 13:40	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	81		70 - 123					10/01/19 13:40	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	114		75 - 128					10/01/19 13:40	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Job ID: 240-119283-1

Matrix: Water

### Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-1

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** Date Collected: 09/19/19 13:50 Date Received: 09/21/19 09:50

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/01/19 14:04	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		70 - 121					10/01/19 14:04	1

	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits	Prepare
ane-d4 (Surr)	103	70 - 121	
enzene (Surr)	64	59 - 120	
(T)	82	70 - 123	

### Lab Sample ID: 240-119283-2

10/01/19 14:04

10/01/19 14:04

10/01/19 14:04

**Matrix: Water** 

8

13

1

1