

3/18/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 1903272

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/11/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1903272

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	03/11/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	03/18/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-34669Beacon-01_030519	Modified TO-15	7.1 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAF-34669Beacon-01_030519	Modified TO-15	5.9 "Hg	5.2 psi
03A(cancelled)	IAG-34669Beacon-02_030519	Modified TO-15	1.8 "Hg	5 psi
04A	DUP-34669Beacon-02_030519	Modified TO-15	6.7 "Hg	5.2 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 03/18/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291,
 TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935
 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)
 Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630
 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
Modified TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1903272

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 11, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

<i>Requirement</i>	<i>TO-15</i>	<i>ATL Modifications</i>
Initial Calibration	</=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	</=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

Sample IAG-34669Beacon-02_030519 was cancelled on 03/15/2019 per client's request.

Analytical Notes

As per project specific client request the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. All The canisters used for this project have been certified to the Reporting Limit for the target analytes included in this workorder. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
- J - Estimated value.
- E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S - Saturated peak.
- Q - Exceeds quality control limits.
- U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-34669Beacon-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 08:00 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.76
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 02:30 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031516
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.60	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-34669Beacon-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 08:36 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.69
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 05:32 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031517
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.91	3.1
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669Beacon-02_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 09:12 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.74
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031518
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 01:01 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031506a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	0.18 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 09:37 AM
Lab ID:	1903272-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031502
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	92
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 10:22 AM
Lab ID:	1903272-07A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031503
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	95
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	112
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	108
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	84
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	99
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	103

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 10:55 AM
Lab ID:	1903272-07AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031504
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#		%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4		98
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1		116
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2		109
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4		98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5		85
Trichloroethene	79-01-6		98
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4		105

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	91
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 18, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1903272
Sample date: 2019-03-06
Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-18
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-18

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

METHOD BLANKS had detections BELOW the Reporting Limit (RL) as noted below. Client sample results were either non-detect for these analytes or had concentrations greater than 5X the method blank levels so qualification of client sample results was not required:
TO-15 - 1,4-DIOXANE.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903272

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-18

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32175R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903272 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1903272	AA-34669BEACON-01_030519	1903272-01A	Air	3/6/2019		X		
	IAF-34669BEACON-01_030519	1903272-02A	Air	3/6/2019		X		
	DUP-34669BEACON-02_030519	1903272-04A	Air	3/6/2019	AA-34669BEACON-01_030519	X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 20, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 20, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-34669Beacon-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 08:00 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.76
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 02:30 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031516
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.60	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-34669Beacon-01_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 08:36 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.69
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 05:32 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031517
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.91	3.1
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.43	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669Beacon-02_030519	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/15/19 09:12 PM
Lab ID:	1903272-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.74
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22031518
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Air Toxics

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

1903272

Workorder #:

PID: _____

Click links below to view:

[Canister Sampling Guide](#)

[Helium Shroud Video](#)

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

Client: <u>Ford</u>	PID: _____	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)							
Project Name: <u>Ford LTP</u>			5 day							
Project Manager: <u>Kris Hinskey</u>	P.O.# <u>MI001454.0003</u>		Canister Vacuum/Pressure		Requested Analyses					
Sampler: <u>S. Turner, H. Ladd</u>			Lab Use Only							
Site Name:			Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do NOT Analyze		

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do NOT Analyze		
				Date	Time	Date	Time								
01A	AA-34669 Beacon-01-030519	66800 1198	21338	3/5/19	1742	3/6/19	1430	-29	-8			X			
02A	JAF-34669 Beacon-01-030519	6L1606	21005	3/5/19	1730	3/6/19	1732	-29	-6			X			
03A	JAG-34669 Beacon-02-030519	6L1778	21350	3/5/19	1736	3/6/19	1639	-29	-4			X			
04A	DUP-34669 Beacon-01-030519	6L0932	958164	3/5/19	—	3/6/19	—	-29	-14				X		
05A	DUP-34669 Beacon-02-030519	6L1301	22111	3/5/19	—	3/6/19	—	-24	-8.5			X	X		

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) <u>S. Turner / Arcadis</u>	Date <u>3/7/19</u>	Time <u>1730</u>	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) <u>[Signature] / Arcadis</u>	Date <u>3/6/19</u>	Time <u>0932</u>
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time

Shipper Name: [Signature] Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

3/15/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 1903274

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/11/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1903274

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	03/11/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	03/15/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-34669Beacon-01_030619	TO-15	3.0 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 03/15/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291,
 TX NELAP - T104704434-15-9, UT NELAP CA0093332015-6, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935
 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)
 Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2015, Expiration date: 10/17/2016.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.
 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630
 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1903274

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on March 11, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-34669Beacon-01_030619	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/14/19 04:24 PM
Lab ID:	1903274-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.24
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 05:23 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17031407
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	8.6	12	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.2	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.0	6.1	7.6	3.5 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	4.8	6.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.3	2.9	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/14/19 01:00 PM
Lab ID:	1903274-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17031405a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/14/19 11:29 AM
Lab ID:	1903274-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17031402
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	104
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	117
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	112
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	107
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/14/19 12:06 PM
Lab ID:	1903274-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17031403
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	103
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	116
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	110
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	112

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/14/19 12:32 PM
Lab ID:	1903274-04AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17031404
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	105
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	114
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	111
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	98
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	108
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	114

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 15, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1903274
Sample date: 2019-03-06
Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-15
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-15

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903274

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-15

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32176R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903274 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1903274	SSMP-34669BEACON-01_030619	1903274-01A	Air	3/6/2019		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation


%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: March 20, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 20, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-34669Beacon-01_030619	Date/Time Analyzed:	3/14/19 04:24 PM
Lab ID:	1903274-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.24
Date/Time Collected:	3/6/19 05:23 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17031407
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.0	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	8.6	12	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.2	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.0	6.1	7.6	3.5 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.3	3.6	4.4	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.2	4.8	6.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.1	2.3	2.9	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	90
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

4/8/2019

Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #: MI001454.0003
Workorder #: 1904037

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 4/2/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1904037

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.0001B
FAX:		PROJECT #	MI001454.0003 Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	04/02/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	04/08/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-34669Beacon-01_032719	TO-15	5.3 "Hg	15.3 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 04/08/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291,
 TX NELAP - T104704434-16-11, UT NELAP CA0093332016-7, VA NELAP - 8113, WA NELAP - C935
 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)
 Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2016, Expiration date: 10/17/2017.

Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630
 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1904037

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on April 02, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-34669Beacon-01_032719	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/3/19 10:30 PM
Lab ID:	1904037-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.48
Date/Time Collecte	3/27/19 05:27 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p040318
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.4	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.4	12	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	4.4	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	7.6	8.4	6.8 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.0	4.4	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.87	6.0	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.75	2.8	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/3/19 12:00 PM
Lab ID:	1904037-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collecte	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p040305a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.75	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.95	5.0	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.90	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.64	3.0	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.2	1.8	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.35	2.4	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.30	1.1	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/3/19 09:26 AM
Lab ID:	1904037-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collecte	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p040302
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	114
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	105
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	108
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	104
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	110
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	124

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/3/19 09:52 AM
Lab ID:	1904037-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collecte	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p040303
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	120
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	112
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	129

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/3/19 10:18 AM
Lab ID:	1904037-04AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collecte	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p040304
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	97
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	118
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	104
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	118

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



April 08, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1904037
Sample date: 2019-03-27
Report received by CADENA: 2019-04-08
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-04-08

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1904037

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-04-08

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32476R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 190437 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
190437	SSMP-34669BEACON-01_032719	1904037-01A	Air	3/27/2019		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -1" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-1"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation


%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: April 22, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 23, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-34669Beacon-01_032719	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/3/19 10:30 PM
Lab ID:	1904037-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.48
Date/Time Collecte	3/27/19 05:27 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdp.i / p040318
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.9	4.4	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.4	12	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	4.4	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	7.6	8.4	6.8 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	3.0	4.4	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.87	6.0	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.75	2.8	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

4/29/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 1904513

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 4/23/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1904513

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	04/23/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	04/29/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	SSMP-34669BEACON-01_041719	TO-15	5.7 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 04/29/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
EPA Method TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1904513

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on April 23, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-34669BEACON-01_041719	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/28/19 06:17 PM
Lab ID:	1904513-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.49
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 06:00 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j042813
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.9	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.3	6.8	8.4	10
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.8	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.4	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.3	2.5	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/28/19 02:24 PM
Lab ID:	1904513-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j042806d
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.90	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.91	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.1	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.91	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/28/19 12:54 PM
Lab ID:	1904513-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j042803
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	98
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	94
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	103
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	97
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	92

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/28/19 01:19 PM
Lab ID:	1904513-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j042804
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	114
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	112
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	96
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/28/19 01:44 PM
Lab ID:	1904513-04AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j042805
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	103
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	112
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	115
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	88
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



April 30, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1904513
Sample date: 2019-04-17
Report received by CADENA: 2019-04-29
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-04-30

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1904513

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-04-30

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32677R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1904513 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1904513	SSMP-34669BEACON-01_041719	1904513-01A	Air	4/17/2019		X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					X
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: May 6, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: May 6, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	SSMP-34669BEACON-01_041719	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/28/19 06:17 PM
Lab ID:	1904513-01A	Dilution Factor:	2.49
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 06:00 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msdj.i / j042813
Media:	1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.9	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.3	6.8	8.4	10
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.8	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.4	6.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.3	2.5	3.2	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

Analysis Request / Canister Chain of Custody

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630
 Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

PID: _____

For Laboratory Use Only

Workorder #: **1904513**

Click links below to view:

[Canister Sampling Guide](#)

[Helium Shroud Video](#)

Client: <u>Ford</u> PID: _____	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) 5 day
Project Name: <u>Ford LTP</u>		Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses
Project Manager: <u>Kris Hinskey</u> P.O.# <u>MI001454.0003</u>		
Sampler: <u>J. Lust/H. Ladd</u>		Lab Use Only Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Receipt Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)
Site Name: <u>34669 BEACON</u>		

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)
				Date	Time	Date	Time					
01A	SSMP-34669BEACON-01-041719	1L1510	23508	4/17/19	1748	4/17/19	1800	-29	-6			X

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) <i>[Signature]</i>	Date: <u>4-19-19</u>	Time: <u>1600</u>	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) <i>[Signature]</i>	Date: <u>04/23/19</u>	Time: <u>17w 04/23/19 0950</u>
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time

Lab Use Only

Shipper Name: Fedex Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

4/29/2019
Mr. Jim Tomalia
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
28550 Cabot Dr.
Suite 500
Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #:
Workorder #: 1904508

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 4/23/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,



Ausha Scott
Project Manager

WORK ORDER #: 1904508

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED:	04/23/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott
DATE COMPLETED:	04/29/2019		

<u>FRACTION #</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	<u>RECEIPT VAC./PRES.</u>	<u>FINAL PRESSURE</u>
01A	AA-34669BEACON-01_041619	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	4.9 psi
02A	IAG-34669BEACON-02_041619	Modified TO-15	5.3 "Hg	4.8 psi
03A	IAF-34669BEACON-01_041619	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	4.6 psi
04A	DUP-34669BEACON-01_041619	Modified TO-15	3.5 "Hg	4.9 psi
05A	DUP-34669BEACON-02_041619	Modified TO-15	7.6 "Hg	5 psi
06A	DUP-34669BEACON-03_041619	Modified TO-15	6.7 "Hg	5.1 psi
07A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY: 

 Technical Director

DATE: 04/29/19

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program)

Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630
 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE
Modified TO-15
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Workorder# 1904508

Six 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on April 23, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

<i>Requirement</i>	<i>TO-15</i>	<i>ATL Modifications</i>
Initial Calibration	</=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	</=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-34669BEACON-01_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 01:56 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.63
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 05:04 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042409
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.29	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.067	0.55	1.1	0.14 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.095	0.44	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.21	0.42	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-34669BEACON-02_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 02:35 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.61
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 05:16 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042410
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	0.82
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.55	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	109

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-34669BEACON-01_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 03:23 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.68
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 05:10 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042411
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	0.17 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669BEACON-01_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 03:59 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.51
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042412
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.27	0.54	0.70
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.062	0.51	1.0	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.094	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.088	0.40	0.81	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.055	0.19	0.38	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	108

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669BEACON-02_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 04:35 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.79
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042413
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	0.15 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669BEACON-03_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 05:11 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.74
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042414
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	0.18 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	Lab Blank	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 11:28 AM
Lab ID:	1904508-07A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042406a
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	CCV	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 08:52 AM
Lab ID:	1904508-08A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042402
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	92
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	114
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	92

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 09:33 AM
Lab ID:	1904508-09A	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042403
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	115
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	104
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	84
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	105
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	99

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 10:06 AM
Lab ID:	1904508-09AA	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042404
Media:	NA - Not Applicable		

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	117
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	107
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	106
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	103

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



April 29, 2019

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631
Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater
Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B
Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.
Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom
Laboratory submittal: 1904508
Sample date: 2019-04-17
Report received by CADENA: 2019-04-29
Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-04-29

6 Air sample were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1904508

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-04-29

Analyses Performed By:
Eurofins Air Toxics
Folsom, California

Report #32676R
Review Level: Tier III
Project: MI001454.0003.00002



DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1904508 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis		
						TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1904508	AA-34669BEACON-01_041619	1904508-01A	Air	4/17/2019		X		
	IAG-34669BEACON-02_041619	1904508-02A	Air	4/17/2019		X		
	IAF-34669BEACON-01_041619	1904508-03A	Air	4/17/2019		X		
	DUP-34669BEACON-01_041619	1904508-04A	Air	4/17/2019	IAG-34669BEACON-02_041619	X		
	DUP-34669BEACON-02_041619	1904508-05A	Air	4/17/2019	AA-34669BEACON-01_041619	X		
	DUP-34669BEACON-03_041619	1904508-06A	Air	4/17/2019	IAF-34669BEACON-01_041619	X		

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra. All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
IAG-34669BEACON-02_041619/ DUP-34669BEACON-01_041619	1,4-Dioxane	0.82	0.70	AC
	Tetrachloroethene	0.19 J	0.19 J	AC
AA-34669BEACON-01_041619/ DUP-34669BEACON-02_041619	Tetrachloroethene	0.14 J	0.15 J	AC
IAF-34669BEACON-01_041619/ DUP-34669BEACON-03_041619	Tetrachloroethene	0.17 J	0.18 J	AC

Notes:

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:



DATE: May 6, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: May 6, 2019



**CHAIN OF CUSTODY
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA
SHEETS**



MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	AA-34669BEACON-01_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 01:56 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-01A	Dilution Factor:	1.63
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 05:04 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042409
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.29	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.067	0.55	1.1	0.14 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.095	0.44	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.21	0.42	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAG-34669BEACON-02_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 02:35 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-02A	Dilution Factor:	1.61
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 05:16 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042410
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	0.82
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.55	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.059	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	109

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	IAF-34669BEACON-01_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 03:23 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-03A	Dilution Factor:	1.68
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 05:10 PM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042411
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	0.17 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.21	0.43	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	115
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	102

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669BEACON-01_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 03:59 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-04A	Dilution Factor:	1.51
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042412
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.11	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.27	0.54	0.70
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.13	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.062	0.51	1.0	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.094	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.088	0.40	0.81	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.055	0.19	0.38	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	88
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	108

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669BEACON-02_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 04:35 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-05A	Dilution Factor:	1.79
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042413
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	0.15 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN
Ford LTP

Client ID:	DUP-34669BEACON-03_041619	Date/Time Analyzed:	4/24/19 05:11 PM
Lab ID:	1904508-06A	Dilution Factor:	1.74
Date/Time Collected:	4/17/19 12:00 AM	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22042414
Media:	6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier)		

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	0.18 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	114
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Air Toxics

Analysis Request / Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279

PID: _____

Workorder #: **1904508**

Click links below to view:

[Canister Sampling Guide](#)

[Helium Shroud Video](#)

Client: <u>Ford</u> PID: _____	Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting	Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)		
Project Name: <u>Ford LTP</u>		5 day		
Project Manager: <u>Kris Hinskey</u> P.O.# <u>MI001454.0003</u>		Canister Vacuum/Pressure		Requested Analyses
Sampler: <u>H. Ladd, M. Olander</u>		Lab Use Only		TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)
Site Name: <u>34669 BEACON</u>	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller #	Start Sampling Information		Stop Sampling Information		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)			
				Date	Time	Date	Time								
01A	AA-34669 BEACON-01_041619	6L2396	22463	4/16/19	1819	4/17/19	1704	-29	-6			X			
02A	AG-34664 BEACON-02_041619	6L2341	22874	4/16/19	1812	4/17/19	1716	-29	-6			X			
03A	IAF-34664 BEACON-01_041619	6L2319	21450	4/16/19	1817	4/17/19	1710	-29	-6.5			X			
04A	DUP-34669 BEACON-01_041619	6L2407	22856	4/16/19		4/17/19		-29	-4			X			
05A	DUP-34669 BEACON-02_041619	6L2337	23856	4/16/19		4/17/19		-29	-8			X			
06A	DUP-34669 BEACON-03_041619	6L2339	22175	4/16/19		4/17/19		-29	-7			X			

Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) <u>Madeille Arcadis</u>	Date <u>4/19/19</u>	Time <u>1600</u>	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) <u>JAM EARL</u>	Date <u>04/23/19</u>	Time <u>0950</u>
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliation)	Date	Time

Lab Use Only

Shipper Name: FedEx Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None

Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922