ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-135457-1 Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Revision: 1

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mode Del Your

Authorized for release by: 9/22/2020 2:23:03 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

Michael.DelMonico@Eurofinset.com

·····LINKS ······

Review your project results through Total Access

Have a Question?



Visit us at:

www.eurofinsus.com/Env

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Laboratory Job ID: 240-135457-1

Table of Contents

| Cover Page | 1 |
|------------------------|----|
| Table of Contents | 2 |
| Definitions/Glossary | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Method Summary | 5 |
| Sample Summary | 6 |
| Detection Summary | 7 |
| Client Sample Results | 8 |
| Surrogate Summary | 10 |
| QC Sample Results | 11 |
| QC Association Summary | 14 |
| Lab Chronicle | 15 |
| Certification Summary | 16 |
| Chain of Custody | 17 |

-6

4

5

7

0

10

4.0

13

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier **Qualifier Description**

F1 MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits. U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Χ Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|--------------|--|
| n | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |

Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis

%R Percent Recovery **CFL** Contains Free Liquid CFU Colony Forming Unit **CNF** Contains No Free Liquid

Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) **DER**

Dil Fac **Dilution Factor**

Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) DL

DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample

DLC Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)

Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) EDL LOD Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)

MCL EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

Method Detection Limit MDL ML Minimum Level (Dioxin) MPN Most Probable Number MQL Method Quantitation Limit

NC Not Calculated

ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

NEG Negative / Absent POS Positive / Present

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

PRES Presumptive **Quality Control** QC

RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) **TEF TEQ** Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

TNTC Too Numerous To Count

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 240-135457-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Off-Site

Report Number: 240-135457-1

Revision

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Report revised on 9/22/2020 to correct reported sample ID.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 8/22/2020 10:00 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 5.0° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples TRIP BLANK (240-135457-1) and MW-159S_082120 (240-135457-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 09/01/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample MW-159S_082120 (240-135457-2) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 08/29/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

5

3

4

5

6

9

10

13

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 240-135457-1

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|-----------|------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 8260B | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL CAN |
| 8260B SIM | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL CAN |
| 5030B | Purge and Trap | SW846 | TAL CAN |

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

3

4

5

O

8

9

11

12

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 240-135457-1

| Lab Sample ID Client Sample ID Matrix Collected Received As | Asset ID |
|---|----------|
| 240-135457-1 TRIP BLANK Water 08/21/20 00:00 08/22/20 10:00 | 00 |
| 240-135457-2 MW-159S_082120 Water 08/21/20 08:40 08/22/20 10:00 | 00 |

3

4

6

Q

9

11

40

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-1

No Detections.

No Detections.

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-1 Date Collected: 08/21/20 00:00

Matrix: Water Date Received: 08/22/20 10:00

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 88 | | 75 - 130 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 65 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 91 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 106 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: MW-159S_082120

Date Collected: 08/21/20 08:40 Date Received: 08/22/20 10:00 Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-2

Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/L | | | 08/29/20 12:39 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 87 | | 70 - 133 | | | | | 08/29/20 12:39 | 1 |
| Method: 8260B - Volatile O | rganic Compo | unds (GC/I | MS) | | | | | | |
| Analyte | • | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 89 | | 75 - 130 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 62 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 87 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 107 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 240-135457-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

| | | | Pe | rcent Surre | ogate Reco |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| | | DCA | BFB | TOL | DBFM |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | (75-130) | (47-134) | (69-122) | (78-129) |
| 240-135342-C-28 MS | Matrix Spike | 69 X | 88 | 99 | 87 |
| 240-135342-C-28 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | 66 X | 86 | 98 | 86 |
| 240-135457-1 | TRIP BLANK | 88 | 65 | 91 | 106 |
| 240-135457-2 | MW-159S_082120 | 89 | 62 | 87 | 107 |
| LCS 240-449570/4 | Lab Control Sample | 82 | 91 | 100 | 91 |
| MB 240-449570/7 | Method Blank | 99 | 67 | 90 | 103 |

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

| | | | Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits) |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------|--|
| | | DCA | |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | (70-133) | |
| 240-135457-2 | MW-159S_082120 | 87 | |
| 240-135515-B-2 MS | Matrix Spike | 91 | |
| 240-135515-B-2 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | 88 | |
| LCS 240-449273/4 | Lab Control Sample | 86 | |
| MB 240-449273/5 | Method Blank | 88 | |
| Surrogate Legend | | | |

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

2

5

b

0

4.0

11

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-449570/7

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449570

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

MB MB Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Dil Fac Analyte D Prepared Analyzed 1,1-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.46 ug/L 09/01/20 15:02 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.38 ug/L 09/01/20 15:02 1.0 U Tetrachloroethene 1.0 0.33 ug/L 09/01/20 15:02 0.43 ug/L trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 09/01/20 15:02 Trichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.36 ug/L 09/01/20 15:02 Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 1.0 0.50 ug/L 09/01/20 15:02

MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Dil Fac Analyzed 99 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 75 - 130 09/01/20 15:02 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 67 47 - 134 09/01/20 15:02 69 - 122 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 90 09/01/20 15:02 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 103 78 - 129 09/01/20 15:02

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-449570/4

Matrix: Water

1,1-Dichloroethene

Tetrachloroethene

Trichloroethene

Vinyl chloride

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

Analyte

Analysis Batch: 449570

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 10.0 97 73 - 129 9.73 ug/L 10.0 9.96 ug/L 100 75 - 124 10.0 70 - 125 11.4 ug/L 114 10.0 10.5 ug/L 105 74 - 130 10.0 9.49 95 71 - 121 ug/L 10.0 9.83 ug/L 98 61 - 134

LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 82 75 - 130 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 91 47 - 134 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 100 69 - 122 78 - 129 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 91

Lab Sample ID: 240-135342-C-28 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449570

| Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike |
|--------------------------------|
| Prep Type: Total/NA |

| Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec 1,1-Dichloroethene 5.0 U 50.0 48.0 ug/L 96 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 130 F1 50.0 159 F1 ug/L 61 Tetrachloroethene 5.0 U 50.0 53.1 ug/L 106 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 2.2 J 50.0 51.2 ug/L 102 | • | Sample | Sample | Spike | MS | MS | | | | %Rec. |
|---|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 130 F1 50.0 159 F1 ug/L 61 Tetrachloroethene 5.0 U 50.0 53.1 ug/L 106 | Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits |
| Tetrachloroethene 5.0 U 50.0 53.1 ug/L 106 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 5.0 | U | 50.0 | 48.0 | | ug/L | | 96 | 64 - 132 |
| | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 130 | F1 | 50.0 | 159 | F1 | ug/L | | 61 | 68 - 121 |
| trans-1 2-Dichloroethene 2.2 J 50.0 51.2 ug/l 102 | Tetrachloroethene | 5.0 | U | 50.0 | 53.1 | | ug/L | | 106 | 52 - 129 |
| 1013 1,2 Didilioroctilene 2.2 0 00.0 01.2 dg/L 102 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 2.2 | J | 50.0 | 51.2 | | ug/L | | 102 | 69 - 126 |
| Trichloroethene 4.7 J 50.0 46.1 ug/L 83 | Trichloroethene | 4.7 | J | 50.0 | 46.1 | | ug/L | | 83 | 56 - 124 |
| Vinyl chloride 5.0 U 50.0 51.6 ug/L 103 | Vinyl chloride | 5.0 | U | 50.0 | 51.6 | | ug/L | | 103 | 49 - 136 |

| | MS | MS | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 69 | X | 75 - 130 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 88 | | 47 - 134 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 99 | | 69 - 122 |

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

_

4

6

8

10

11

12

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site Job ID: 240-135457-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-135342-C-28 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449570

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike **Prep Type: Total/NA**

MS MS

%Recovery Qualifier Limits Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 87 78 - 129

Lab Sample ID: 240-135342-C-28 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449570

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

| | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | | | | %Rec. | | RPD |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 5.0 | U | 50.0 | 45.4 | | ug/L | | 91 | 64 - 132 | 6 | 35 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 130 | F1 | 50.0 | 158 | F1 | ug/L | | 59 | 68 - 121 | 1 | 35 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 5.0 | U | 50.0 | 52.0 | | ug/L | | 104 | 52 - 129 | 2 | 35 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 2.2 | J | 50.0 | 54.2 | | ug/L | | 108 | 69 - 126 | 6 | 35 |
| Trichloroethene | 4.7 | J | 50.0 | 46.4 | | ug/L | | 83 | 56 - 124 | 1 | 35 |
| Vinyl chloride | 5.0 | U | 50.0 | 49.6 | | ug/L | | 99 | 49 - 136 | 4 | 35 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

MSD MSD

| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 66 | X | 75 - 130 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 86 | | 47 - 134 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 98 | | 69 - 122 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 86 | | 78 - 129 |

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-449273/5

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449273

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

MB MB **Analyte** Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 2.0 08/29/20 06:52 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 0.86 ug/L

MB MB

%Recovery Qualifier Surrogate Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 08/29/20 06:52 88 70 - 133

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-449273/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449273

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Limits Analyte Unit D %Rec 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 10.5 ug/L 105 80 - 135

LCS LCS

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 86 70 - 133

Lab Sample ID: 240-135515-B-2 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 449273

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Prep Type: Total/NA

Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit Limits Analyte %Rec 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 10.0 9.50 ug/L 95 46 - 170

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1 Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

MSD

Qualifier

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

| | MS | MS | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 91 | | 70 - 133 |

| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | LIMI |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 91 | | 70 - |
| Lab Sample ID: 240-13551 | 5-B-2 MSD | | |

| Eas Campio IS: E-TO | 1000 TO D | |
|---------------------|-----------|--|
| Matrix: Water | | |

| Analysis Batch: 449273 | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 10.0 | 10.1 |
| | MSD | MSD | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 88 | | 70 - 133 | |

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

%Rec. RPD

D %Rec Limits RPD Limit 101 46 - 170 6

Unit ug/L

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Job ID: 240-135457-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 449273

| Lab Sample ID 240-135457-2 | Client Sample ID MW-159S_082120 | Prep Type Total/NA | Matrix Water | Method 8260B SIM | Prep Batch |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|
| MB 240-449273/5 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| LCS 240-449273/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| 240-135515-B-2 MS | Matrix Spike | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| 240-135515-B-2 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |

Analysis Batch: 449570

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 240-135457-1 | TRIP BLANK | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | _ <u> </u> |
| 240-135457-2 | MW-159S_082120 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| MB 240-449570/7 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| LCS 240-449570/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| 240-135342-C-28 MS | Matrix Spike | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| 240-135342-C-28 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |

7-1

3

4

6

9

10

-

13

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-1 Date Collected: 08/21/20 00:00 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 08/22/20 10:00

| | Batch | Batch | | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|-----|----------|--------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Prep Type | Туре | Method | Run | Factor | Number | or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260B | | 1 | 449570 | 09/01/20 20:40 | LRW | TAL CAN |

Client Sample ID: MW-159S_082120

Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-2 Date Collected: 08/21/20 08:40 **Matrix: Water**

Date Received: 08/22/20 10:00

| | Batch | Batch | | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----|----------|--------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Prep Type | Type | Method | Run | Factor | Number | or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260B | | 1 | 449570 | 09/01/20 22:15 | LRW | TAL CAN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260B SIM | | 1 | 449273 | 08/29/20 12:39 | SAM | TAL CAN |

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1 Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority Program | | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| California | State | 2927 02- | |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0590 | 12-31-21 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87225 | 06-30-21 |
| Georgia | State | 4062 | 02-23-21 |
| Illinois | NELAP | 004498 | 07-31-20 * |
| lowa | State | 421 | 06-01-21 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10336 | 04-30-21 |
| Kentucky (UST) | State | 112225 | 02-23-21 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY98016 | 12-31-20 |
| Minnesota | NELAP | OH00048 | 12-31-20 |
| Minnesota (Petrofund) | State | 3506 | 08-01-21 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | OH001 | 06-30-21 |
| New York | NELAP | 10975 | 03-31-21 |
| Ohio VAP | State | CL0024 | 06-05-21 |
| Oregon | NELAP | 4062 | 02-24-21 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00340 | 08-31-21 |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704517-18-10 | 08-31-21 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-18-00281 | 09-17-21 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 010101 | 09-14-20 |
| Washington | State | C971 | 01-12-21 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 210 | 12-31-20 |

 $^{^{\}star} \ \text{Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid}.$

000

Date Time. 73

05:21

Date Time: (2)

Company

Cold Storage

100

5/7/ Date/Time

4 cadis

Company of the Park

Received in Laborathry th:

15,21

Date/Time Date/

ompany Man

0

3

\$2008 TestAmence Laboratories, Inc., All rights reservinestAccessing & Design of and trademarks, of TestAment

| Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Canton Facility | Login #: 135457 |
|---|--|
| Client Ar Cadi Site Name | Cooler unpacked by: |
| Cooler Received on 6-22-20 Opened on 8-22-20 | Matt Sayall |
| | Other |
| Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Location | |
| | |
| Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other | |
| COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None | |
| 1. Cooler temperature upon receipt IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. C Corrected Cooler Temp. C Corrected Cooler Temp. C Corrected Cooler Temp. | emp. P.O°C |
| -Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? 3. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? 4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? 5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? 6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? 7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? 8. Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? 9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? 10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? 11. Are these work share samples? 12. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 13. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # | No NA No N |
| Contacted PM Date by via Verbal Vo | pice Mail Other |
| Concerning | |
| 17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES | Samples processed by: |
| 10. CAMPLE COMPUTION | |
| 18. SAMPLE CONDITION | as time had evalued |
| Sample(s) were received after the recommended holding | in a broken container. |
| Sample(s) were received : Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in | Company of the Compan |
| were received with bubble >0 min in | diameter. (140thy 1 141) |
| 19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION | |
| Sample(s) were furt | her preserved in the laboratory. |
| Sample(s) were furt Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): | |
| VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen: | |

WI-NC-099

CADENA INC.

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT

REVISED REPORT: September 22, 2020

REVISION SUMMARY: Sample ID revised to reconcile with sample COC for sample -002.

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30050315.0402.04 off site

Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory submittal: 135457-1 Sample date: 2020-08-21

Report received by CADENA: 2020-09-08

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-09-09

Number of Samples: 1 Water and 1 trip blank

Sample Matrices: Water Test Categories: GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

MS/MSD recovery outliers or sample duplicate RPD outliers were not determined using a client sample from this submittal for the test and QC batch noted so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific OC outliers:

GCMS VOC QC batch 449570.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

| Valid Qualifiers | Description |
|---------------------|--|
| < | Less than the reported concentration. |
| > | Greater than the reported concentration. |
| В | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. |
| Е | The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated. |
| EMPC | Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only. |
| J | Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies. |
| J- | The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low. |
| JB | NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED |
| JH | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high. |
| JL | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low. |
| JUB | NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED |
| NJ | Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration. |
| R | Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results. |
| U | Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected. |
| UB | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. |
| UJ | The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample. |

Analytical Results Summary

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 135457-1

| Sample Name: | TRIP BLA | ANK | | | MW-159 | 9S_0821 | 20 | |
|----------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| Lab Sample ID: | 2401354 | 4571 | | | 2401354 | 1572 | | |
| Sample Date: | 8/21/20 | 20 | | | 8/21/20 | 20 | | |
| | | Report | | Valid | | Report | | Valid |
| Cas No. | Result | Limit | Units | Qualifier | Result | Limit | Units | Qualifier |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 75-35-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| 156-59-2 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| 127-18-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| 156-60-5 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| 79-01-6 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| 75-01-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 123-91-1 | | | | | ND | 2.0 | ug/l | |
| | Tab Sample ID: Sample Date: Cas No. 75-35-4 156-59-2 127-18-4 156-60-5 79-01-6 75-01-4 | Cas No. Result 75-35-4 ND 156-59-2 ND 127-18-4 ND 156-60-5 ND 79-01-6 ND 75-01-4 ND | Lab Sample ID: 2401354571 Sample Date: 8/21/2020 Report Cas No. Result Limit 75-35-4 ND 1.0 156-59-2 ND 1.0 127-18-4 ND 1.0 156-60-5 ND 1.0 79-01-6 ND 1.0 75-01-4 ND 1.0 | Lab Sample ID: 240135√571 Sample Date: 8/21/20√0 Result limit Units 75-35-4 ND 1.0 ug/l 156-59-2 ND 1.0 ug/l 127-18-4 ND 1.0 ug/l 156-60-5 ND 1.0 ug/l 79-01-6 ND 1.0 ug/l 75-01-4 ND 1.0 ug/l | Lab Sample ID: 2401354571 Sample Date: 8/21/2020 Report Valid Cas No. Result Limit Units Qualifier 75-35-4 ND 1.0 ug/l 156-59-2 ND 1.0 ug/l 127-18-4 ND 1.0 ug/l 156-60-5 ND 1.0 ug/l 79-01-6 ND 1.0 ug/l 75-01-4 ND 1.0 ug/l | Lab Sample ID: 2401354571 2401354 Sample Date: 8/21/2020 Report Valid Cas No. Result Limit Units Qualifier Result 75-35-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 156-59-2 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 127-18-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 156-60-5 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 79-01-6 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 75-01-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND | Lab Sample ID: 2401354571 2401354572 Sample Date: 8/21/2∪∪ 8/21/2∪∪ Result Limit Units Qualifier Result Limit 75-35-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 156-59-2 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 127-18-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 156-60-5 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 156-60-5 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 79-01-6 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 75-01-4 ND 1.0 ug/l ND 1.0 | Lab Sample ID: 240135+571 |



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 240-135457-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2020-09-09

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica

Edison, New Jersey

Report #38348R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30050315.402.02

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-135457-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III) includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

| SDG | Sample ID | Lab ID | Matrix | Sample Collection Date | Parent Sample | VOC (Full Scan) | Analysis VOC (SIM) | MISC |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | TRIP BLANK | 240-135457-1 | Water | 8/21/2020 | | Х | | |
| 240-135457-1 | MW-159S_082120 | 240-135457-2 | Water | 8/21/2020 | | Х | Х | |

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

| | Rep | orted | Performance Acceptable | | Not |
|--|-----|-------|---------------------------|-----|----------|
| Items Reviewed | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| Sample receipt condition | | Х | | X | |
| 2. Requested analyses and sample results | | Х | | X | |
| Master tracking list | | Х | | Х | |
| 4. Methods of analysis | | Х | | Х | |
| 5. Reporting limits | | Х | | Х | |
| 6. Sample collection date | | Х | | Х | |
| 7. Laboratory sample received date | | Х | | Х | |
| 8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable) | | Х | | X | |
| 9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates | | Х | | X | |
| 10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form | | Х | | X | |
| Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided | | Х | | Х | |
| 12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance | | Х | | Х | |

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

| Method | Matrix | Holding Time | Preservation |
|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM | Water | 14 days from collection to analysis | Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl |

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the overall precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 30% for water matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

6. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

| VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM | Re | ported | Performance Acceptable | | Not | |
|---|----------|--------|---------------------------|-----|----------|--|
| | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required | |
| GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET | RY (GC/I | VIS) | | | | |
| Tier II Validation | | | | | | |
| Holding times/Preservation | | X | | Х | | |
| Tier III Validation | ' | | ' | | | |
| System performance and column resolution | | Х | | Х | | |
| Initial calibration %RSDs | | Х | | Х | | |
| Continuing calibration RRFs | | Х | | Х | | |
| Continuing calibration %Ds | | Х | | Х | | |
| Instrument tune and performance check | | Х | | Х | | |
| Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used | | Х | | Х | | |
| Field Duplicate RPD | Х | | | | Х | |
| Internal standard | | Х | | Х | | |
| Compound identification and quantitation | | | | | | |
| A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms | | Х | | Х | | |
| B. Quantitation Reports | | Х | | Х | | |
| C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows | | Х | | X | | |
| D. Transcription/calculation errors present | | X | | Х | | |
| E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions | | Х | | Х | | |

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: September 24, 2020

a Kaza

PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: September 29, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

000

Date Time. 73

05:21

Date Time: (2)

Company

Cold Storage

100

5/7/ Date/Time

4 cadis

Company of the Park

Received in Laborathry th:

15,21

Date/Time Date/

ompany May

0

3

\$2008 TestAmence Laboratories, Inc., All rights reservinestAccessing & Design of and trademarks, of TestAment

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-1 Date Collected: 08/21/20 00:00

Matrix: Water Date Received: 08/22/20 10:00

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 88 | | 75 - 130 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 65 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 91 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 106 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | 09/01/20 20:40 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 240-135457-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: MW-159S_082120

Date Collected: 08/21/20 08:40 Date Received: 08/22/20 10:00 Lab Sample ID: 240-135457-2

Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/L | | | 08/29/20 12:39 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 87 | | 70 - 133 | | | | | 08/29/20 12:39 | 1 |
| Method: 8260B - Volatile O | rganic Compo | unds (GC/I | MS) | | | | | | |
| Analyte | • | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 89 | | 75 - 130 | | | , | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 62 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 87 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 107 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | 09/01/20 22:15 | 1 |