

7/2/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling Project #: Workorder #: 1906537

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/26/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1906537

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.00002
FAX: DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	06/26/2019 07/02/2019	PROJECT # CONTACT:	Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling Ausha Scott

			KEUEIF I	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-34920BEACON-01_062119	TO-15	5.9 "Hg	15.8 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes end

DATE: 07/02/19

DECEIDT

ETNIAT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1906537

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on June 26, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: 1906537-01 Date/Time Collected: 6/21/19 04:4		Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.58	19 11:43 PM a.i / a062714	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.7	14	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.0	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.9	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.69	5.5	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.66	2.6	3.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scop	be of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98

🔅 eurofins

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID:Lab BlankLab ID:1906537-02ADate/Time Collected:NA - Not AppMedia:NA - Not App	licable	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 1.00	9 12:14 PM / a062705d	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.0	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.40	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.41	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.75	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.27	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.26	1.0	1.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	95

🔅 eurofins

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Air Toxics

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1906537-03A	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/27/19 10:56 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msda.i / a062702

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	86
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	86
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	87
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	85

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1906537-04A	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/27/19 11:22 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msda.i / a062703

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	73
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	95
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	77
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	92
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	90

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Air Toxics

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1906537-04AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/27/19 11:47 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msda.i / a062704

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	99
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	79
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

July 02, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1906537 Sample date: 2019-06-21 Report received by CADENA: 2019-07-02 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-07-02

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1906537 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-07-02

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33565R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0004.00002 (30016346)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1906537 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	لم TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	
1906537	SSMP- 34920BEACON- 01_062119	1906537-01A	Air	6/21/2019		х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1.	Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2.	Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3.	Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4.	Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5.	Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6.	Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7.	Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8.	Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9.	Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10.	Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
	Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		х	
12.	Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

arcadis.com \arcadis-us.com\officedata\syracuse-ny\project_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2019\33501-34000\33565\33565r_34920 beacon ave.docx

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Requirec
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation	I	1	!		
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: July 24, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 30, 2019


CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: 1906537-01 Date/Time Collected: 6/21/19 04:4		Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor: 2.58	19 11:43 PM a.i / a062714	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.5	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	2.7	14	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.0	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.9	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.69	5.5	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.66	2.6	3.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scop	be of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98



Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing stignature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local. State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of samples, D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



7/2/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling Project #: Workorder #: 1906540

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/26/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1906540

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0004.00002
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	06/26/2019 07/02/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			KEUEIPI	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	AA-34920BEACON-01_062019	Modified TO-15	6.0 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAG-34920BEACON-01_062019	Modified TO-15	5.5 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-34920BEACON-01_062019	Modified TO-15	6.5 "Hg	5 psi
04A	DUP-34920BEACON-01_062019	Modified TO-15	8.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes end

DATE: 07/02/19

DECEIDT

ETNIAT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1906540

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on June 26, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates

Page 3 of 12



as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-01A 6/21/19 04:12 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	6/28/19 04:54 PM 1.68 msd21.i / 21062813	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.24	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.61	0.57	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.34	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.21	0.43	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAG-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-02A 6/21/19 04:10 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Dilution Fact	Date/Time Analyzed:6/28/19 05:3Dilution Factor:1.64Instrument/Filename:msd21.i / 21		
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.098	0.30	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.24	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	0.56	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.34	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.44	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.21	0.42	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-03A 6/21/19 04:06 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Dilution Fact	Date/Time Analyzed: 6/28/19 06:06 PM Dilution Factor: 1.71 Instrument/Filename: msd21.i / 21062815		
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.24	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	0.58	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.35	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.46	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.22	0.44	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	97

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-04A 6/21/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor: 1	5/28/19 06:41 PM .83 nsd21.i / 21062816	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.26	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.26	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.66	0.62	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.38	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.47	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzen	460-00-4			70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

🔅 eurofins

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID:Lab BlankLab ID:1906540-05ADate/Time Collected:NA - Not AppMedia:NA - Not App	licable	Date/Time Analyzed:6/28/19 01:23 PMDilution Factor:1.00Instrument/Filename:msd21.i / 21062808a			
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.060	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.36	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.20	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.12	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.10	0.13	0.26	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	e of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4			70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1906540-06A	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/28/19 10:42 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21062804

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	99
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	100
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	105
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	96

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	109

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

E.

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1906540-07A	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/28/19 11:31 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21062805

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	96
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	105
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	85
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	107

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling

Г

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1906540-07AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	6/28/19 12:06 PM
Date/Time Collected	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd21.i / 21062806

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	106
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	85
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	101
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	104

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

July 02, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1906540 Sample date: 2019-06-21 Report received by CADENA: 2019-07-02 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-07-02

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1906540 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-07-02

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #33566R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0004.00002 (30016346)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1906540 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1906540	AA- 34920BEACON- 01_062019	1906540-01A	Air	6/21/2019		х		
	IAG- 34920BEACON- 01_062019	1906540-02A	Air	6/21/2019		х		
	IAF- 34920BEACON- 01_062019	1906540-03A	Air	6/21/2019		х		
	DUP- 34920BEACON- 01_062019	1906540-04A	Air	6/21/2019	IAF- 34920BEACON- 01_062019	х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not	
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1.	Sample receipt condition		Х		X		
2.	Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X		
3.	Master tracking list		Х		X		
4.	Methods of analysis		Х		X		
5.	Reporting limits		Х		X		
6.	Sample collection date		Х		X		
7.	Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8.	Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9.	Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10.	Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
11.	Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		Х		
12.	Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

DATA REVIEW

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in $\mu g/m^3$) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
IAF-34920BEACON-01_062019/ DUP-34920BEACON-01_062019	All Compounds	U	U	AC

Notes:

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	ported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTRO	METRY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation		-	!		
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		X		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established windows	RT	X		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutio	ns	Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. House

DATE: July 24, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: July 30, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-01A 6/21/19 04:12 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	6/28/19 04:54 PM 1.68 msd21.i / 21062813	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.30	0.60	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.24	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.61	0.57	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.34	0.33	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.45	0.90	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.21	0.43	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	95
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	98

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAG-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-02A 6/21/19 04:10 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	6/28/19 05:30 PM 1.64 msd21.i / 21062814	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.098	0.30	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.24	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.60	0.56	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.34	0.32	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.20	0.44	0.88	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.17	0.21	0.42	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-03A 6/21/19 04:06 PM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	t or: 1.7	28/19 06:06 PM 71 sd21.i / 21062815	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.25	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.31	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.24	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.62	0.58	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.35	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.21	0.46	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.18	0.22	0.44	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	116
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	97

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	DUP-34920BEACON-01_062019 1906540-04A 6/21/19 12:00 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier	Date/Time A Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor: 1	6/28/19 06:41 PM I.83 nsd21.i / 21062816	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.26	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.26	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.66	0.62	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.38	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.22	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.19	0.23	0.47	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

Workerorder#:

1906540

Page _1_ of _1_

180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone (800) 985-5955: Fax (916) 351-8279 PID:

lient:	Arcadis	PID:		Special Instructions/Notes:									
roject Name:	Ford LTP Off-Site Sampling				Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-				Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may app				
roject Manager:	Kris Hinskey	P.O.#	MI001454.0003.00002	Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV				, winaround sine (kusi suicharges may appiy)					
ampler.	Shantel Johnson			Reporting	Reporting					5 Day Turnaround Time			
ite Name:	34920 BEACON	1		-					Canister	Vacuum/Pressure	Requested A	naivses	
				Start Samplin	ng Information	Stop Sampli	ng Information		T	Lab Use Only	TO-15 (See Special		
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Canister #	Flow Controller #	Date	Time	Date	Time	Intial (in Hg)) (in Hg)	Receipt Final (psig) Gas: N2 / He	Instructions/Notes)		
OLA	AA-34920BEACON-01_062019	6L0437	21919	06/20/2019	17:14	06/21/2019	16:12	-28	-4.5		x	-	
020	IAG-34920BEACON-01_062019	6L2335	24453	06/20/2019	17:11	06/21/2019	16:10	-28	-5		x	+	
USA	IAF-34920BEACON-01_062019	6L0171	20482	06/20/2019	17:04	06/21/2019	16:06	-28	-6		x	+	
DUN	DUP-349208EACON-01_062019	6L2443	22640	06/20/2019	NA	06/21/2019	NA	-28	-7.5		X	+	
Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation)			Date 6/24/19	Time 16:50	Received by: (Signature/Affiliatio	r C	-	CHA	Date Chille	Time O 9 U (
elinquished by: (Sig				Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliatio	n)			Date	Time	
elinquished by: (Sig	nature/Affiliation)			Date	Time	Received by: (Signature/Affiliatio	n)			Date	Time	
	oal			$\wedge T$	Lab Use	Only						J	
hipper Name:	per Name: Custody Seals Intact?			Yes	[P	ło	None		T				