🛟 eurofins

Environment Testing TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-118152-1 Client Project/Site: Ford LTP

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 9/12/2019 12:13:29 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.




Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

3 4

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA Qualifier	Qualifier Description
4	MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not
	applicable.
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Glussaly	
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP

Report Number: 240-118152-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 8/30/2019 9:30 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.3° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 (240-118152-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-118152-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 09/06/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 (240-118152-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 09/05/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

5 6 7

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
240-118152-1	SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819	Water	08/28/19 11:21	08/30/19 09:30	
240-118152-2	TRIP BLANK	Water	08/28/19 00:00	08/30/19 09:30	

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Client Sample ID: SUM	P-34940BEA	CON-01_0	82819			Lab Sa	ample ID: 2	40-118152-1
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL		Unit	Dil Fac	D Method	Prep Type
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.4		1.0	0.16	ug/L	1	8260B	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: TRIP	BLANK					Lab Sa	mple ID: 2	40-118152-2
No Detections.								

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 Date Collected: 08/28/19 11:21 Date Received: 08/30/19 09:30

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			09/05/19 17:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107		63 - 125			-		09/05/19 17:05	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.4		1.0	0.16	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	112		70 - 121			-		09/06/19 17:59	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	103		59 - 120					09/06/19 17:59	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	102		70 - 123					09/06/19 17:59	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		75 - 128					09/06/19 17:59	1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-118152-1 Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 08/28/19 00:00 Date Received: 08/30/19 09:30

Lab Sample ID: 240-118152-2

Matrix: Water

5

8

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)			70 - 121					09/06/19 18:22	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		59 - 120					09/06/19 18:22	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 123					09/06/19 18:22	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		75 - 128					09/06/19 18:22	

Surrogate Summary

BFB

109

103

103

104

103

103

DCA

(70-121)

115

112

112

117

102

106

Lab Sample ID

240-118152-1

240-118152-2

LCS 240-399413/4

MB 240-399413/6

Surrogate Legend

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

240-118088-C-7 MS

240-118088-C-7 MSD

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID

Matrix Spike Duplicate

SUMP-34940BEACON-01 0828

Matrix Spike

TRIP BLANK

Method Blank

Lab Control Sample

19

Prep Type: Total/NA Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits) TOL DBFM (59-120) (70-123) (75-128) 101 96 101 98 95 102 100 95 95 91 100 89 9 Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water	_	-	Prep Type: Total/NA
—			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)	
240-118099-B-8 MS	Matrix Spike	105	
240-118099-B-8 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	106	
240-118152-1	SUMP-34940BEACON-01_0828 19	107	
LCS 240-399215/4	Lab Control Sample	100	
MB 240-399215/5	Method Blank	103	

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

9/12/2019

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-399413/6 **Matrix: Water**

Analysis Batch: 399413

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 10:45	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			09/06/19 10:45	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			09/06/19 10:45	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 10:45	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			09/06/19 10:45	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			09/06/19 10:45	1

	MB	MB				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		70 - 121		09/06/19 10:45	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	103		59 - 120		09/06/19 10:45	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 123		09/06/19 10:45	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	89		75 - 128		09/06/19 10:45	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-399413/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 399413

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.22		ug/L		92	65 - 139	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.52		ug/L		95	76 - 128	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	9.14		ug/L		91	74 ₋ 130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	9.00		ug/L		90	78 - 133	
Trichloroethene	10.0	8.47		ug/L		85	76 - 125	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	9.10		ug/L		91	58 ₋ 143	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	103		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	95		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	91		75 - 128

101

Lab Sample ID: 240-118088-C-7 MS **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 399413

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

·····,···	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.
Analyte	•	Qualifier	Added	-	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	14	U	143	121		ug/L		85	53 - 140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	310		143	445		ug/L		92	64 - 130
Tetrachloroethene	14	U	143	112		ug/L		79	51 - 136
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	6.2	J	143	137		ug/L		92	68 - 133
Trichloroethene	14	U	143	111		ug/L		78	55 - 131
Vinyl chloride	14	U	143	122		ug/L		85	43 - 154
	MS	MS							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits						
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	115		70 - 121						
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	109		59 - 120						

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

70 - 123

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-118088-C-7 MS

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

e N	
5	8
t 5	9
1 3	10
3 4 3 9	
9	
	13

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 399413 MS MS Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 75 - 128 96 Lab Sample ID: 240-118088-C-7 MSD **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate** Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 399413 Sample Sample Spike MSD MSD %Rec. RPD **Result Qualifier** Added **Result Qualifier** Unit %Rec Limits RPD Limi Analyte D 14 U 143 128 90 35 1,1-Dichloroethene ug/L 53 - 140 6 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 310 143 64 - 130 2 457 ug/L 100 3 Tetrachloroethene 14 U 143 117 ug/L 82 51 - 136 5 23 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 6.2 J 143 136 91 68 - 133 24 uq/L 1 14 U ug/L 55 - 131 Trichloroethene 143 117 82 5 23 Vinyl chloride 14 U 143 124 ug/L 87 43 - 154 2 29 MSD MSD Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier 112 70 - 121 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 103 59 - 120 101 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 70 - 123 98 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 75 - 128 Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Lab Sample ID: MB 240-399215/5 **Client Sample ID: Method Blank Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 399215 MB MB Analyte **Result Qualifier** RI MDL Unit п Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 2.0 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 0.86 ug/L 09/05/19 11:43 MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 63 - 125 09/05/19 11:43 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 103 1 Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-399215/4 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 399215 LCS LCS Spike %Rec. Analvte Added **Result Qualifier** Unit D %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 11.8 ug/L 118 59 - 131 LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 63 - 125 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 100 **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** Lab Sample ID: 240-118099-B-8 MS Prep Type: Total/NA Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 399215 Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Analyte **Result Qualifier** Added **Result Qualifier** Unit D %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 320 30.0 369 4 ug/L 155 52 - 129

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

	MS	MS										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		63 - 125									5
Lab Sample ID: 240-1180 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 399215	99-B-8 MSD					Client \$	Samp	ole ID: N	latrix Spil Prep Ty			6
····· , ··· · ·······	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
1,4-Dioxane	320		30.0	360	4	ug/L		127	52 - 129	2	13	8
	MSD	MSD										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									9
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		63 - 125									
												10

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 399215

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-118152-1	SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-399215/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-399215/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-118099-B-8 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-118099-B-8 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 399413

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch	
240-118152-1	SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-118152-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
MB 240-399413/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
LCS 240-399413/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-118088-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-118088-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	l	4

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 Date Collected: 08/28/19 11:21 Date Received: 08/30/19 09:30

Prep Type Total/NA	Batch Type Analysis	Batch Method 8260B	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number 399413	Prepared or Analyzed 09/06/19 17:59	Analyst LEE	Lab TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	399215	09/05/19 17:05	SAM	TAL CAN

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 08/28/19 00:00 Date Received: 08/30/19 09:30

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	399413	09/06/19 18:22	LEE	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-118152-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-118152-2

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP

Job ID: 240-118152-1

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State	2927	02-23-20
California	State Program	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19
Connecticut	State Program	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20
Georgia	State Program	N/A	02-23-20
Illinois	NELAP	200004	07-31-20
Illinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20
lowa	State Program	421	06-01-21
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	58	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-19
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-19
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	3506	07-31-21
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21
Ohio VAP	State Program	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-19-11	08-31-20
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20
USDA	Federal	P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	460175	09-14-19 *
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-19
Washington	State	C971	01-12-20
Washington	State Program	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-19
West Virginia DEP	State Program	210	12-31-19

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.



Chain of Custody Record



TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton - 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

Client Contact Company Name: Arcadis	Regulat	ory program:		-! DW	7	NPDE	s	R	CRA	٦	Other	1								7	unt tempering Temp	honotouto	
	Client Project 1	Manager: Kris I	Hinskey		Site	Contac	t: Ange	la Del	Grandis			L	ab Co	ntact	: Mike	Dell	Monico				estAmerica La COC No:	DOFATOING	3, 10
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	Telephone: 248	-994-2240	-		Tel	phone:	734-32	0-0065				T	elepho	me: 3	30-49	7-939	6			-+			
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377	Email: kristoffe		adis com				is Turn			-		1	crepas				alys	5			of of	COCs	-
Phone: 248-994-2240	Citan. Restore	o tuniskey@at e	1013-0014			4.4					11	T	T	T	T	1		T					-
Project Name: Ford LTP	-				IA	if differ		elow 3 weel 2 weel		-											Valk-in client		
Project Number: - M1001 + 54.0003 36016344	Method of Ship	ment/Carrier:			-	5 Day	7	I week	c				1	1				z		L	ab sampling		
PO # MIDDL154.0003 30016344	Shipping/Track	cing No:			-			2 days 1 day		(Y / N	rab		OB	3260B			560B	0B SI		J	ob/SDG No:		
300163 19	+			Matrix		Conta	iners & I	Preser	atives	mple	-C/ 0	2608	E 826	DCE	_	-	ide 82	e 826					
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Air Agueous	Sediment Solid	Other: H2SO4	EONH	NaOH	ZnAci	Unpres Other:	Filtered Sample (Y / N)	Composite=C/Grab=G	1,1-DCE 8260B	cls-1,2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	TCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM			Sample Spe Special In	cific Notes structions	
SUMP-34540JEACON-01-082819	8/28/14	IR1	X				X	NG		N	6		(χ)	X	X	X	X	X			lo (05	FAINE	RS
TRIP BLANK	-	-	X							T		χ	X	χ	X	X	χ	X			1 Cart	AINE	2
										1		_	4	_	-								
		- //							-	+	$\left \right $	-	+	-	-		-		+				
									-	+	$\left \right $	-+	+	-	-	-	-						
		240	<u>11815</u>	2 Chain	of Custo	dy				+	$\left \right $	-	+	-	_	-	-			\square			
						$\left \right $	1	H	1	t		1	1						\square				
Possible Hazard Identification		1				Sample	Diemor		fee may b			ramal		ratal	and lo	DRAF	than 1	(month)					_
Non-Hazard [Tammable [tin Irrits Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:	nt Poise	on B	Jnknow	n			leturn to				osal By				urchive			Month	15				-
Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@caden Level IV Reporting.	a.com. Cadena ≓E	203631																					
Relinquished by: DHAUTEL BHWSON	Company: ARCADI	S	Dat	Time	3 18	30		eived I	у: (с	LD	S	GR	AG	0		Com	pany: Ref	210F			Date/Time: 8/28/10	7 18	z
Relinquished by:	Company A	Tadis	Dat	2/2/	1/2 11	47		eived	Y: N	my				-		Com	pany:	zml			Date/Time: 8/29(19	R	48
Rétinguisted by:	Company: ENAL		Dat	Time: s[29]	16 11	45	Rec	cived	h Labor	tory t	y:0	/					pany:				Date/Time: 8-30-10	10	-

4

CODE TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. All rights reserved absensation.

9/12/2019

Canton Facility	le Receipt Form/Narrative	Login # : 11 8152
1 1-	Site Name	Cooler unpacked by:
Cooler Received on 8-30-19		200 Ryan Cripley
FedEx: 18 Grd Exp UPS FAS (
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Tim		
		Other
 COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Cooler temperature upon receipt IR GUN# IR-8 (CF +0.1 °C) Obser IR GUN #36 (CF +0.6°C) Obser Were tamper/custody seals on the out- Were the seals on the outside of th -Were tamper/custody seals intact a Shippers' packing slip attached to the Did custody papers accompany the sa Were the custody papers relinquished 	ue Ice Dry Ice Water None for served Cooler Temp. "C Corrected Cooler Temp. "C Corrected Cooler (s)? If Yes Quantity the cooler(s) signed & dated? bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? and uncompromised? c cooler(s)? ample(s)? d & signed in the appropriate place? d the samples clearly identified on the COO on (Unbroken)? with the COC? st(s) indicated? m indicated analyses? ecked at the originating laboratory.	Cooler Temp. Cooler Temp. Cooler Temp. C Cooler Temp. C Cooler Temp. C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
 Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 	vials? 🔴 🖕 Larger than this.	Yes No NA Yes No Yes No Yes No NA
 Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA Was a VOA trip blank present in the Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present 	a vials? () (Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u><u>B</u>904401V1 esent?</u>	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA Was a VOA trip blank present in the Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present Contacted PM Date 	vials? () Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>\$904401</u> V1	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA Was a VOA trip blank present in the Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present 	a vials? () (Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u><u>B</u>904401V1 esent?</u>	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 13. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the Hg t	a vials? () (Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>690440101</u> esent?byvia	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 13. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the blan	a vials? () (Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>690440101</u> esent?byvia	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other
 13. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the factor of the Hg trip blank present in the Hg t	a vials? () (Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>690440101</u> esent?byvia	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other
 Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA Was a VOA trip blank present in the 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present in the 6. Contacted PM Date Concerning CONCERNING CUSTODY & SAMPL SAMPLE CONDITION 	E DISCREPANCIES	Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by:
 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present in the 6. Ontacted PM Date Contacted PM Date Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) 	x vials? ★ Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>\$90440191</u> esent?byvia byvia E DISCREPANCIES were received after the recomment	Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by:
 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the off. 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present in the off. Contacted PM Date Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) ample(s) 	x vials? ★ Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>\$90440191</u> esent?byvia byvia E DISCREPANCIES were received after the recomment	Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: Control of the service of the ser
 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the off. 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present in the off. Contacted PM Date Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 8. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s)	x vials? ★ Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>\$90440101</u> esent?byvia byvia E DISCREPANCIES were received after the recomment were	Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the off. 16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present in the off. Contacted PM Date Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 8. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s) Sample(s) 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION 	A vials? • Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>690440191</u> esent?byvia byvia E DISCREPANCIES were received after the recommen were were received with bubble	Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: PC Aded holding time had expired. e received in a broken container. >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)
 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the off. 16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present in the off. Contacted PM Date Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPL 8. SAMPLE CONDITION Sample(s) Sample(s) 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION 	A vials? • Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>690440191</u> esent?byvia byvia E DISCREPANCIES were received after the recommen were were received with bubble	Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 14. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA 15. Was a VOA trip blank present in the original formed by the second research of the second	A vials? • Larger than this. cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>690440191</u> esent?byvia byvia E DISCREPANCIES were received after the recommen were were received with bubble	Yes No Yes No Yes No Verbal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: PC Aded holding time had expired. e received in a broken container. >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

9/12/2019

WI-NC-099

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



September 12, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 118152-1 Sample date: 2019-08-28 Report received by CADENA: 2019-09-12 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-09-12 Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

1,4-DIOXANE QC batch MS/MSD recovery outliers were not determined using a client sample so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 118152-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401181521	SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819	8/28/2019	11:21:00	х	х	
2401181522	TRIP BLANK	8/28/2019	12:00:00	х		

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 118152-1

		Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:	SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 2401181521 8/28/2019				TRIP BLANK 2401181522 8/28/2019			
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Report Limit	Units	Valid Qualifier	Result	Report Limit	Units	Valid Qualifier
GC/MS VOC	Analyte		nesure	Linit	Units	Quanner	Result	Linit	Units	Quuinei
<u>OSW-8260B</u>										
1,	,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
ci	s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Т	etrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
tr	ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
Ti	richloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
V	inyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OSW-8260BE</u>	<u> Sim</u>									
1,	,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l					



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-118152-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-09-12

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #34385R Review Level: Tier III Project: MI001454.0004.00002 (30016346)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-118152-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-118152-1	UMP-34940BEACON- 01_082819	240-118152-1	Water	8/28/2019		х	х	
240-110132-1	TRIP BLANK	240-118152-2	Water	8/28/2019		Х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
3. Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

arcadis.com \arcadis-us.com\officedata\syracuse-ny\project_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2019\34001-34500\34385\34385r_34940 beacon sump.docx

DATA REVIEW

All detected compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	ported	Performance Acceptable		Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Requirec	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	rry (GC/I	MS)				
Tier II Validation						
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х		
Tier III Validation		1	!			
System performance and column resolution		X		X		
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х		
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		Х		
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х		
Internal standard		X		Х		
Compound identification and quantitation						
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х		
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х		
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X		
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х		
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference
- %D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:


a Kagt

DATE: October 11, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: October 11, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



190	Chain TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canlon — 4101 Shuf	Chain of Custody Record 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-457-8336	/ 330-457-8396	
Client Contact Comments Voime-Accedie	E.	T NPDES T RCRA] Other	Tettàmerica I abresteded a
delever 28550 Caber Drive Suite 500	Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey	Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis	Lab Contact: Mike DelMouico	COC No:
ruur cas, source carbot of ret outle out	Telephone: 248-994-2240	Telephone: 734-320-0065	Telephone: 330-497-9396	
12/10/10/2015 10/14 /1/14 - 400/1	Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com	Analysis Lurnaround Lime	Analyses	uly
r none: 248-994-2140 Project Name: Ford LTP		TAT if different from below 		Walk-in client
Project Number: A 1901 455, DOUS 'SCOI 6344	Method of Shipment/Carriet:	a	88	Sunding of the
PO#MERONASA.0005 JCO/6344	Shipping/Tracking No: Marrie	Y	на 85606 СЕ 8560 85608 85608 208 С. С. Ф.чр	Job/SDG No:
Sample Identification	Sample Date Sample Time Air Aqueous Solid	Τ	Filtered Sam Composite= 1,1-DCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608 TCE 82608	Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
20mp-3494076ACON-01-032819	8/28/14 1R.1 X	X	XXXXXXXXX	le contrainées
	X		XXXXXXX	I CONTRINET
	240-118152 Chain of Custody	Custody		
Possible Hazard Identification ~ Non-Hazard - Tammablein Initant Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:	ant TPoison B Juknown	Sample Disposal (A fee may be ass 7 Return to Client 7 Dis	Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if sample are retained longer than 1 month) [7] Return to Client 7] Disposal By Lab 7] Archive For [7] Mo	ath) Months
Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena =E20583: Level IV Reporting.	balgorn. Cadena #E20563+			
Relinquished by: SHAUTEL HUUDU	015	1830 Received by.	SERAGE ARADIS	
Relipquished by:	dis	7147 Rece	EM M	
Rettricquisted by: MANULI MAN	Company: Ender with 8(29/16	11: 45 Received in Laboration	tody by: Company:	Date/Time: B-SO-PD 1000

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 Date Collected: 08/28/19 11:21 Date Received: 08/30/19 09:30

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			09/05/19 17:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107		63 - 125			-		09/05/19 17:05	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.4		1.0	0.16	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			09/06/19 17:59	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	112		70 - 121			-		09/06/19 17:59	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	103		59 - 120					09/06/19 17:59	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	102		70 - 123					09/06/19 17:59	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		75 - 128					09/06/19 17:59	1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Job ID: 240-118152-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-118152-1 Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 08/28/19 00:00 Date Received: 08/30/19 09:30

Lab Sample ID: 240-118152-2

Matrix: Water

5

8

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			09/06/19 18:22	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)			70 - 121					09/06/19 18:22	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		59 - 120					09/06/19 18:22	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 123					09/06/19 18:22	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		75 - 128					09/06/19 18:22	



Air Toxics

9/6/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 1908668

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 8/30/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1908668

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003/30016344
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	08/30/2019 09/06/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	AA-34940BEACON-01_082819	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
02A	IAG-34940BEACON-03_082819	Modified TO-15	7.0 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-34940BEACON-01_082819	Modified TO-15	7.5 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAB-34940BEACON-02_082819	Modified TO-15	8.0 "Hg	5 psi
05A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

lau end

DATE: <u>09/06/19</u>

DECEIDT

ETNIAT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000. (800) 985-5955. FAX (916) 985-1020

Page 2 of 12

LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1908668

Four 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on August 30, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

🛟 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector



r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-34940BEACON-01_082819 1908668-01A 8/28/19 10:20 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time An Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	9/4/19 12:59 PM 1.75 msd22.i / 22090407	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.59	1.2	0.13 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.69	0.24 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAG-34940BEACON-03_082819 1908668-02A 8/28/19 10:35 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambi	Date/Time An Dilution Fact er Instrument/F	tor:	9/4/19 03:37 PM 1.75 msd22.i / 22090410	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.59	1.2	0.28 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.69	0.17 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	105

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34940BEACON-01_082819 1908668-03A 8/28/19 11:37 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time Ar Dilution Fact Instrument/F	or: 1	9/4/19 02:45 PM I.79 nsd22.i / 22090409	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	1.1 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	0.12 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAB-34940BEACON-02_082819 1908668-04A 8/28/19 11:19 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambi	Date/Time A Dilution Fac er Instrument/F	or: 1.8	/19 01:41 PM 3 d22.i / 22090408	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	1.0 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	0.12 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

eurofins

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:**

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 1908668-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 9/4/19 12:05 PM **Dilution Factor:** Instrument/Filename

1.00

:	msd22.i / 22090406a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Air Toxics

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	ссч		
Lab ID:	1908668-06A	Date/Time Analyzed:	9/4/19 08:35 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22090402

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	93
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	103
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	86
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	107
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	92

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1908668-07A	Date/Time Analyzed:	9/4/19 09:31 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22090403

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	84
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	86
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	102
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	94
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	109
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	95

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	96
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	108

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1908668-07AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	9/4/19 10:21 AM
Date/Time Collected	: NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd22.i / 22090404

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	86
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	100
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	87
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	104
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	95
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	108
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	95

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	93
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	106

September 6, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1908668 Sample date: 2019-08-28 Report received by CADENA: 2019-09-06 Initial Data Verification project analytical requirements and the second statement of the second st

4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1908668 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-09-06

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #34202R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00003 (MI001454.0004.00002)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1908668 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample Collection	Demand	Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID N	Matrix	Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
1908668	AA-34940BEACON- 01_082819	1908668-01A	Air	8/28/2019		x		
	IAG-34940BEACON- 03_082819	1908668-02A	Air	8/28/2019		x		
	IAF-34940BEACON- 01_082819	1908668-03A	Air	8/28/2019		х		
	IAB-34940BEACON- 02_082819	1908668-04A	Air	8/28/2019		х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. San	nple receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Req	uested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3. Mas	ster tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Met	hods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Rep	porting limits		Х		Х	
6. San	nple collection date		Х		Х	
7. Lab	oratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. San	nple preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. San	nple preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully	y executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
	rative summary of Quality Assurance or sample plems provided		х		Х	
12. Data	a Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

arcadis.com g:\project_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2019\34001-34500\34202\34202r_34940 beacon ave.docx

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	Reported		Performance Acceptable	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROME	TRY (GC/	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation		-	!		
System performance and column resolution		X		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. Honsen

DATE: September 26, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: October 4, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	AA-34940BEACON-01_082819 1908668-01A 8/28/19 10:20 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time An Dilution Fact Instrument/F	tor:	9/4/19 12:59 PM 1.75 msd22.i / 22090407	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.59	1.2	0.13 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.69	0.24 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	102

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAG-34940BEACON-03_082819 1908668-02A 8/28/19 10:35 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambi	Date/Time An Dilution Fact er Instrument/F	tor:	9/4/19 03:37 PM 1.75 msd22.i / 22090410	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.15	0.35	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.072	0.59	1.2	0.28 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.69	0.17 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.064	0.22	0.45	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	105

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAF-34940BEACON-01_082819 1908668-03A 8/28/19 11:37 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambie	Date/Time Ar Dilution Fact Instrument/F	or: 1	9/4/19 02:45 PM I.79 nsd22.i / 22090409	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.32	0.64	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.35	0.71	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.073	0.61	1.2	1.1 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.35	0.71	0.12 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.48	0.96	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.065	0.23	0.46	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4 17060-07-0			70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Air Toxics

MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client ID: Lab ID: Date/Time Collected: Media:	IAB-34940BEACON-02_082819 1908668-04A 8/28/19 11:19 AM 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambi	Date/Time A Dilution Fac er Instrument/F	or: 1.8	/19 01:41 PM 3 d22.i / 22090408	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.14	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.15	0.33	0.66	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e 156-59-2	0.16	0.36	0.72	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.075	0.62	1.2	1.0 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene 156-60-5	0.11	0.36	0.72	0.12 J
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.49	0.98	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.067	0.23	0.47	Not Detected
J = Estimated value. D: Analyte not within	the DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-de	4 17060-07-0			70-130	97
4-Bromofluorobenzen	e 460-00-4			70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	101

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

Phone (80	Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 9 00) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279				er # 190 8						r Samplir Shroud V	ng Guide /ideo		د از از از مسرم مراجع از مراجع مراجع از مراجع	
Client:	Ford	PID:	NA	Special	Instructions/	Notes: Repo	ort ONLY: 1,1-D	CE, cis-1,2-	Ťι	Irnarou	nd Time	(Rush su	rcharges	may a	ppiy)
Project Na			01454.0003 /	DCE, tra	ns-1,2-DCE, 1	.4-Dioxane.	PCE, TCE and	VC. Submit			5 Day	Turnarou	Ind Time		
Project Ma	anager: Kris Hinskey	P.O.#	30016344	1					Cani	ster Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Requ	ested /	Analyses
Sampler:	Madison Olender			results th	rough Caden	a at jim.tom	alia@cadena.co	m. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	(ç)	ω	
Site Name	e: 34940 BEACON			#E20363	1. Level IV Re	porting			a		1999		kote	Z	
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Can #	Flow C	ontroller #	Start Sa Inform	ation	Stop Sa Inform	ation	Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	Receipt	Final (psig) Gas: N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Do Not Analyze	
OIA					Date	Time	Date	Time	<u>12</u>	i.	L 🖏	Щ Ш Ш	lus	ă	
01A	AA-34940BEACON-01_082819	6L043		2638	8/27/2019	11:15	8/28/2019	10:20	-29.5	-6.5		1990 - 194 1990 - 194	×		
1	IAG-34940BEACON-03_082819	6L010		941	8/27/2019	11:18	8/28/2019	10:35	-29	-6.5		144049	×		
034	IAF-34940BEACON-01_082819	61.059		464	8/27/2019	11:23	8/28/2019	11:37	-29	-6.5	hange treft.	let de la	×		
OUX	IAB-34940BEACON-02_082819	6L205	6 21	238	8/27/2019	11:25	8/28/2019	11:19	-29	-7.5			Х		
	•••														
- 1922 CARAD	•= •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••														
er en					+-=										
anna Sainte	**											1997.2d			
										**	elet el sign				
1949) 1972)												r d'alla a fig.			
								14 VI							
a da sera Na sera da s											garne a				
20,2472											n e stateg				
Relinquishe	 ed by: (Signature/Affiliation)														
Mani	na Ryano y Ameio	lis	Date 8/5	28/19	Time MC	\mathcal{O}	Received by: ((Signature/Aff	iliation)	CAT		Date 83	5/19	Time 6 9	740
	d by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by: ((Signature/Aff	iliation)			Date	<u> </u>	Time	<u> </u>
Relinquishe	ed by: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date		Time		Received by: ((Signature/Aff	iliation)			Date		Time	<u></u>
					Lab Use	Only	1					L			
Shipper Nar	me: teldsk	Custody Seal	s Intact?	Yes	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	Non	•				ang ng sita sa ta			antii (dalaa	ayan karan kar Karan karan kara

ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



9/6/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: Workorder #: 1908669

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 8/30/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Scott

Ausha Scott Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1908669

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi, MI 48377	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable Arcadis U.S., Inc. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 600 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
PHONE:	517-819-0356	P.O. #	MI001454.0003/30016344
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ford LTP
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	08/30/2019 09/06/2019	CONTACT:	Ausha Scott

			KECEIPI	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-34940BEACON-01_082819	TO-15	6.5 "Hg	15 psi
02A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
03A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
04AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes end

DATE: <u>09/06/19</u>

DECEIDT

ETNIAT

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630

(916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1908669

One 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) sample was received on August 30, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

- M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
- CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Lab ID: Date/Time Collected:	SSMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 1908669-01A 8/28/19 11:07 AM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	9/3/19 11:01 PM 2.58 msd17.i / 17090322	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.2	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.8	14	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.5	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne 156-60-5	1.5	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.5	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.6	3.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within t	he DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	e 460-00-4			70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

🔅 eurofins

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP **Client ID:**

Lab ID:

Media:

Lab Blank 1908669-02A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 9/3/19 12:23 PM **Dilution Factor:** Instrument/Filename:

1.00

msd17.i / 17090305d

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.87	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.56	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.4	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.97	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.51	1.0	1.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within the DoD scope	of accreditation.				

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

г

Client ID:	CCV		
Lab ID:	1908669-03A	Date/Time Analyzed:	9/3/19 11:01 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17090302

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	93
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	109
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	99
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	102
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	108

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97

Air Toxics

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Client ID:	LCS		
Lab ID:	1908669-04A	Date/Time Analyzed:	9/3/19 11:28 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17090303

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	94
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	98
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	108
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	92
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Г

Client ID:	LCSD		
Lab ID:	1908669-04AA	Date/Time Analyzed:	9/3/19 11:55 AM
Date/Time Collected:	NA - Not Applicable	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Media:	NA - Not Applicable	Instrument/Filename:	msd17.i / 17090304

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	99
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	88
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	103
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	90
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	105

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	105
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

* % Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

September 6, 2019



Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements. Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom Laboratory submittal: 1908669 Sample date: 2019-08-28 Report received by CADENA: 2019-09-06 Initial Data Verification:ompleted by CADENA: 2019-09-06

1 Air sample was analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1908669 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-09-06

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #34203R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00003 (MI001454.0004.00002)

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1908669 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	F TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	
1908669	SSMP- 34940BEACON- 01_082819	1908669-01A	Air	8/28/2019		х		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not	
	Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
1. San	nple receipt condition		Х		Х		
2. Rec	quested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
3. Mas	ster tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Met	hods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Rep	porting limits		Х		Х		
6. San	nple collection date		Х		Х		
7. Lab	oratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. San	nple preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
9. San	nple preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Full	y executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
	rative summary of Quality Assurance or sample blems provided		х		Х		
12. Data	a Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х		

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

arcadis.com g:\project_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2019\34001-34500\34203\34203r_34940 beacon ave.docx

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)	Re	ported	Perfo Acc	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROME	TRY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation		-	!		1
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD					Х
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:


Jough c. Honsen

DATE: September 26, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: October 4, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



🛟 eurofins |

Air Toxics

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Ford LTP

Lab ID: Date/Time Collected:	SSMP-34940BEACON-01_082819 1908669-01A 8/28/19 11:07 AM 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)	Date/Time A Dilution Fac Instrument/F	tor:	9/3/19 11:01 PM 2.58 msd17.i / 17090322	
Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	2.2	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	9.8	14	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.4	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.5	7.0	8.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne 156-60-5	1.5	4.1	5.1	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.5	6.9	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.3	2.6	3.3	Not Detected
D: Analyte not within t	he DoD scope of accreditation.				
Surrogates	CAS#			Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0			70-130	106
4-Bromofluorobenzene	e 460-00-4			70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5			70-130	100

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only	
-------------------------	--

Workorder #1 908669

	d. Suite B, Folsom, CA 9 955; Fax (916) 351-8279		PID:		Workord	^{er} #1 <u>908</u>	<u>669</u>				
Client:					Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-						
Project Name:	ame: Ford LTP		MI001454.0003 /		DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Subr						
Project Manager:	Shantel Johnson		30016344		 results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting 						
Sampler:											
Site Name:											
Lab ID Sar	Sample Identification		Can #		ontroller "	Start Sampling Stop Sampling Information					
					+	Date	Time	Date	Time		
ON SSMP-34940B	EACON-01_082819	1L1591		24112		8/28/2019		8/28/2019	11:07		
and a state of the second											
-											
				-+					+		
1999 <mark></mark>								1			

Canister Sampling Guide

Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply)

Helium	Shroud	Video

Project Name:	Ford LTP	MI0014	54.0003 / DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit				5 Day Turnaround Time								
Project Manage	يوينينا والمستحد والم	P.O.#3001	# 30016344 results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena				Cani	ister Vac	uum/Pre	ssure	Reque	sted A	Analyse	es	
Sampler:	Shantel Johnson		results tr	nrough Cadena	i at jim.toma	alia@cadena.cor	m. Cadena			Lab U	se Only	cial es)	e		
Site Name:	34940 BEACON		#E203631. Level IV Reporting							0	Spe	aly			
Lab Sample Identification	Can #	Flow Controller	Start Sa Inform	• •	Stop Sa Inform		Initial (in Hg)	Final (in Hg)	ipt	l (psig) N ₂ / He	TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes)	Not Analyze			
			"	Date	Time	Date	Time	nitis	Fina	Receipt	Final Gas:	ro-1 Instr	8		
ON SSMP-34	4940BEACON-01_082819	1L1591	24112	8/28/2019	10:55	8/28/2019	11:07	-29	-6			X			
											2.21 1			\square	
										199	i an			\square	
			-+							and the second	· · · · · ·				
1993-197 <u>-</u>											an a she a she			\square	
										en en	1999 - C.			\square	
		·												\square	
										ter en				\square	
		-								1				\square	
										a far a star					
										1000					
1883 				-						an an th	المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع				
				***					-						
and the second sec			**								alah sebagai sari sari				
-		•••					~~								
Relinquished by:	: (Signature/Affiliation)	e	Date			(Signature/Af					Time				
Marina,	KJamp/ Amaili.	5	8/28/19		<u>>0</u>		\leq		Al	•		719		94	<u>_0</u>
Relinquisned by.	: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by:	(Signature/A	Affiliation)			Dafe		Time		
Relinquished by:	: (Signature/Affiliation)		Date	Time		Received by:	(Signature/Af	filiation)			Date		Time		
	1			Lab Use	Only										
Shipper Name:	FRASZ	Custody Seals Int	tact? Yes	No No	Non	ie									
	ansportation Notice: Relinquishing														
ordinances of	f any kind. Relinquishing signature a	also indicates agreeme						claim, de	mand, or	action, of	f any kind,	related to) the cr	ollectio	n,
			handling, of shippin	ig of samples. I	D.O.T Hotlir	ne (800) 467-492	22								