# 🛟 eurofins

### Environment Testing TestAmerica

### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

#### Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

#### Laboratory Job ID: 240-119403-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 10/9/2019 2:10:38 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



### **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

#### **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Job ID: 240-119403-1

3

#### Qualifiers

TEQ

<b>GC/MS VOA</b>	
Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

#### Glossary Abbreviation These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. ¤ Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis %R Percent Recovery CFL **Contains Free Liquid** CNF Contains No Free Liquid DER Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) Dil Fac **Dilution Factor** Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) DI DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample DLC Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) EDL Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) LOD LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDC MDL Method Detection Limit ML Minimum Level (Dioxin) NC Not Calculated ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) PQL Practical Quantitation Limit QC **Quality Control** Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) RER RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)

#### Job ID: 240-119403-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

#### CASE NARRATIVE

**Case Narrative** 

#### Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

#### Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Report Number: 240-119403-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

#### RECEIPT

The samples were received on 9/25/2019 8:40 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 3.3° C.

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples MW-158S\_092319 (240-119403-1) and TRIP BLANK (240-119403-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 10/02/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample MW-158S\_092319 (240-119403-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 09/27/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

=	Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
	240-119403-1 240-119403-2	MW-158S_092319 TRIP BLANK	Water Water			

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

#### **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Client Sample ID: MW-158S\_092319

No Detections.

#### **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK**

No Detections.

Job ID: 240-119403-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-2

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Client Sample ID: MW-158S\_092319 Date Collected: 09/23/19 12:13 Date Received: 09/25/19 08:40

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			09/27/19 18:24	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		63 - 125					09/27/19 18:24	1	
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)							÷
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	95		70 - 121					10/02/19 03:14	1	
1-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	80		59 - 120					10/02/19 03:14	1	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		70 - 123					10/02/19 03:14	1	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	116		75 - 128					10/02/19 03:14	1	

10/9/2019

5

# Job ID: 240-119403-1

### Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-1 Matrix: Water

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** Date Collected: 09/23/19 00:00 Date Received: 09/25/19 08:40

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

_ Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	95		70 - 121			-		10/02/19 03:38	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	78		59 - 120					10/02/19 03:38	1

70 - 123

75 - 128

89

116

Job ID: 240-119403-1

### Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-2

10/02/19 03:38

10/02/19 03:38

**Matrix: Water** 

5

8

1

1

#### **Surrogate Summary**

Job ID: 240-119403-1

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Motrix: Moto

					•	ery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM	
b Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-121)	(59-120)	(70-123)	(75-128)	
0-119403-1	MW-158S_092319	95	80	91	116	
)-119403-2	TRIP BLANK	95	78	89	116	
)-119409-E-1 MS	Matrix Spike	89	97	100	101	
)-119409-F-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	87	96	97	105	
S 240-403523/4	Lab Control Sample	83	97	98	100	
3 240-403523/7	Method Blank	91	76	90	110	
Surrogate Legend						
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth						
BFB = 4-Bromofluorob	enzene (Surr)					
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Su	.rr)					
DBFM = Dibromofluoro	omethane (Surr)					
thad 8260B S	IM - Volatile Organic	Compour	de (GC			
	IVI - VUIALIIE UIVAIIIE	Compound	US (UUU)	IVIJ		

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)	
		DCA		
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)		
240-119310-A-3 MS	Matrix Spike	103		
240-119310-A-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	102		
240-119403-1	MW-158S_092319	103		
LCS 240-402867/4	Lab Control Sample	97		
MB 240-402867/5	Method Blank	99		
Surrogate Legend				

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

on Type: Total/NA 4 5 6 7

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

#### Lab Sample ID: MB 240-403523/7 Matrix: Water

#### Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 403523

	MB	МВ							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 02:03	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/02/19 02:03	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/02/19 02:03	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 02:03	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/02/19 02:03	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/02/19 02:03	1

	MB	MB				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		70 - 121		10/02/19 02:03	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	76		59 - 120		10/02/19 02:03	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	90		70 - 123		10/02/19 02:03	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	110		75 - 128		10/02/19 02:03	1

#### Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-403523/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 403523

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	65 - 139	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	76 - 128	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	9.55		ug/L		95	74 - 130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	78 - 133	
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	76 - 125	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	6.34		ug/L		63	58 <sub>-</sub> 143	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	83		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	100		75 - 128

97

100

#### Lab Sample ID: 240-119409-E-1 MS Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 403523

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Analysis Datch. 403525	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	53 - 140	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	64 - 130	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	9.04		ug/L		90	51 <sub>-</sub> 136	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	68 - 133	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.1		ug/L		101	55 <sub>-</sub> 131	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	6.17		ug/L		62	43 - 154	
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	89		70 - 121							

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

59 - 120

70 - 123

#### QC Sample Results

Lab Sample ID: 240-119409-E-1 MS

Matrix: Water

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

#### Analysis Batch: 403523 MS MS Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 75 - 128 101 Lab Sample ID: 240-119409-F-1 MSD **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate** Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 403523 RPD Sample Sample Spike MSD MSD %Rec. **Result Qualifier** Added **Result Qualifier** Unit %Rec Limits RPD Limit Analyte D 1.0 U 10.0 9.64 96 35 1,1-Dichloroethene ug/L 53 - 140 7 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 64 - 130 10.0 10.1 ug/L 101 1 21 1.0 U Tetrachloroethene 10.0 8.53 ug/L 85 51 - 136 6 23 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 10.7 107 68 - 133 24 ug/L 0 ug/L Trichloroethene 1.0 U 10.0 10.1 101 55 - 131 23 1 Vinyl chloride 1.0 U 10.0 6.12 ug/L 61 43 - 154 1 29 MSD MSD Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier 87 70 - 121 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 96 59 - 120 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 97 70 - 123 105 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 75 - 128 Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Lab Sample ID: MB 240-402867/5 **Client Sample ID: Method Blank Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 402867 MB MB MDL Unit Analyte **Result Qualifier** RI п Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 2.0 0.86 ug/L 09/27/19 12:36 MB MB Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 99 63 - 125 09/27/19 12:36 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 1 Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-402867/4 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 402867 LCS LCS Spike %Rec. Analvte Added **Result Qualifier** Unit D %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 117 ug/L 59 - 131 117 LCS LCS Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 63 - 125 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 97 **Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** Lab Sample ID: 240-119310-A-3 MS Prep Type: Total/NA Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 402867 Sample Sample Spike MS MS %Rec. Analyte **Result Qualifier** Added **Result Qualifier** Unit D %Rec Limits 2.0 U 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 10.6 ug/L 106 52 - 129

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

10

Job ID: 240-119403-1

Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike** 

Page 12 of 18

#### Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

	MS	MS										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		63 - 125									
Lab Sample ID: 240-1193 <sup>,</sup>						Client	Same		latrix Spil		licato	
Matrix: Water						Client	Samp		Prep Ty			
Analysis Batch: 402867												
-	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	52 - 129	1	13	
	MSD	MSD										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		63 - 125									

119403-1

### **QC** Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **GC/MS VOA**

#### Analysis Batch: 402867

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-119403-1	MW-158S_092319	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-402867/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-402867/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-119310-A-3 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-119310-A-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

#### Analysis Batch: 403523

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch	
240-119403-1	MW-158S_092319	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-119403-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
MB 240-403523/7	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
LCS 240-403523/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-119409-E-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B		
240-119409-F-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B		1

Job ID: 240-119403-1

Job ID: 240-119403-1

Matrix: Water

**Matrix: Water** 

Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-2

#### Client Sample ID: MW-158S\_092319 Date Collected: 09/23/19 12:13 Date Received: 09/25/19 08:40

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	403523	10/02/19 03:14	LRW	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	402867	09/27/19 18:24	SAM	TAL CAN

#### Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 09/23/19 00:00 Date Received: 09/25/19 08:40

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	403523	10/02/19 03:38	LRW	TAL CAN

#### Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Job ID: 240-119403-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date	
California	State	2927	02-23-20	
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19	5
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20	
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20	
llinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20	
owa	State	421	06-01-20	
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20	
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-20	g
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-19	•
<i>A</i> innesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-19	G
/innesota (Petrofund)	State Program	3506	07-31-21	2
lew Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20	
lew York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20	
Dhio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21	
Dregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20	
ennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20	
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20	
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00404	12-28-19	_
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-20	1
Vashington	State	C971	01-12-20	_
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-19	

Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative	Login # : 11 9 403
Canton Facility	
lient 214 Michigan Site Name	Cooler unpacked by:
ooler Received on 9/25/19 Opened on 9/25/19	Gil Brown
edEx: 1st Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Court	
teceipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Locat	
	r
Tacking matching used.	r
Cooler temperature upon receint	oler Form
IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 2. 6 °C Corrected Co	ooler Temp. <u>3.3</u> °C
IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9°C) Observed Cooler Temp°C Corrected Co	ooler Temp°C
Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity 2	Rea No
-Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated?	(Yes NO NA
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)?	Yes No NA
<ul><li>-Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?</li><li>Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?</li></ul>	res No NA
<ul> <li>Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?</li> <li>Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)?</li> </ul>	XXX No
Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place?	Tests that are not checked for pH by
Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC?	Yes No Receiving:
. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?	Yes No Receiving: Yes No VOAs
Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC?	
Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?	Yes No TOC
<ol> <li>Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?</li> <li>Are these work share samples?</li> </ol>	
	Yes No
	Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.	Yes No RA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC?	Yes No No pH Strip Lot# HC991818
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?	Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
<ul> <li>If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.</li> <li>Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?</li> <li>Were VOAs on the COC?</li> <li>Were air bubbles &gt;6 mm in any VOA vials?</li> <li>Larger than this.</li> <li>Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #12:3170100</li> </ul>	Yes No NA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u>
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 173170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?	Yes No NA No NA Yes No Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 173170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?	Yes No NA No NA Yes No Yes No
<ul><li>If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory.</li><li>Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?</li><li>Were VOAs on the COC?</li></ul>	Yes No NA No NA Yes No Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 17 3170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Vert	Ves No NA No NA Yes No bal Voice Mail Other
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 12 3170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Vert	Yes No NA No NA Yes No Yes No
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 12 3170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?  ontacted PM Date by via Vert oncerning	Ves No NA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Ves No Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by:
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 12 3170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?  ontacted PM Date by via Vert oncerning	Ves No NA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Ves No Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by:
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 12 3170104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?  fontacted PM Date by via Vertice	Ves No NA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Ves No Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by:
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? (	Ves No NA pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Ves No Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by:
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #125370104 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Vert Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended	bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: RC
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? (	A pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: <u>RC</u> d holding time had expired. ceived in a broken container.
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Usere VOAs on the COC? Usere air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Usere air bubbles were received after the recommended air bubbles air b	A pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: <u>RC</u> d holding time had expired. ceived in a broken container.
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #1273701004 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Vert Concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended ample(s) Rel were received with bubble >6	A pH Strip Lot# <u>HC991818</u> No NA Yes No bal Voice Mail Other Samples processed by: <u>RC</u> d holding time had expired. ceived in a broken container.
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this. 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Vertain the concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended ample(s) were received with bubble >6 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	bal Voice Mail Other          Samples processed by:         RC
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this. 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? Contacted PM Date by via Vertain the concerning 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) were received after the recommended ample(s) were received with bubble >6 9. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	bal Voice Mail Other          Samples processed by:         RC
If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. 2. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? 3. Were VOAs on the COC? 4. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? 5. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 6. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present? 7. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES 8. SAMPLE CONDITION ample(s) ample(s) ample(s) Trip $Cl_{ual}K$ , were received after the recommended ample(s) 7. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	bal Voice Mail Other          Samples processed by:         RC         d holding time had expired.         ceived in a broken container.         mm in diameter. (Notify PM)

### **DATA VERIFICATION REPORT**



October 09, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30016346.0002B OFF-SITE GW SAMPLING Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 119403-1 Sample date: 2019-09-23 Report received by CADENA: 2019-10-09 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-10-09 Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

**Project Scientist** 

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

#### SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

#### CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 119403-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401194031	MW-158S_092319	9/23/2019	12:13:00	х	х	
2401194032	TRIP BLANK	9/23/2019	12:00:00	x		

### Analytical Results Summary

**Reportable Results Only** 

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 119403-1

		Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:	MW-158 2401194 9/23/20	4031	19		TRIP BLA 2401194 9/23/20	1032		
				Report		Valid		Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC	0.D									
<u>OSW-826</u>	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OSW-826</u>	<u>OBBSim</u>									
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l					



### Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

### Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 240-119403-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2019-10-9

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #34463R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016346.00002

### SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-119403-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	ہ VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
	MW-158S_092319	240-119403-1	Water	9/23/2019		X	х	
240-119403-1	TRIP BLANK	240-119403-2	Water	9/23/2019		Х		

#### ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

		Repo	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not
Items	Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition			Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and s	ample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list			Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis			Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits			Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date			Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample receive	d date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verifi	cation (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extrac	tion/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-C	ustody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Qua problems provided	lity Assurance or sample		х		Х	
12. Data Package Completene	ess and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

#### DATA REVIEW

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	DB-SIM			ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	'RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

- %R Percent recovery
- RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

#### VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

a Kagt

DATE: October 18, 2019


PEER REVIEW: Joseph C. Houser

DATE: October 18, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Client Sample ID: MW-158S\_092319 Date Collected: 09/23/19 12:13 Date Received: 09/25/19 08:40

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			09/27/19 18:24	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		63 - 125					09/27/19 18:24	1	
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/02/19 03:14	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	95		70 - 121					10/02/19 03:14	1	
I-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	80		59 - 120					10/02/19 03:14	1	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	91		70 - 123					10/02/19 03:14	1	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	116		75 - 128					10/02/19 03:14	1	

10/9/2019

Job ID: 240-119403-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-1 Matrix: Water 5

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** Date Collected: 09/23/19 00:00 Date Received: 09/25/19 08:40

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

_ Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	-	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			10/02/19 03:38	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	95		70 - 121			-		10/02/19 03:38	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	78		59 - 120					10/02/19 03:38	1

70 - 123

75 - 128

89

116

Job ID: 240-119403-1

### Lab Sample ID: 240-119403-2

10/02/19 03:38

10/02/19 03:38

**Matrix: Water** 

5

8

1

1