

3/26/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1903441

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



WORK ORDER #: 1903441

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0003

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 03/19/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 03/26/2019

FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	TEST	RECEIPT <u>VAC./PRES.</u>	FINAL <u>PRESSURE</u>
01A(cancelled)	AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419	Modified TO-15	7.6 "Hg	5.1 psi
02A	IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_03141	Modified TO-15	4.9 "Hg	5 psi
03A	IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419	Modified TO-15	6.9 "Hg	5 psi
04A	IAG-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_03141	Modified TO-15	5.9 "Hg	4.8 psi
05A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_03141	Modified TO-15	3.9 "Hg	5 psi
06A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_03141	Modified TO-15	6.3 "Hg	4.9 psi
07A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
08A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
09AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	1	udi/	layer		
CERTIFIED BY:	0		0	DATE:	03/26/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903441

Six 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	<pre><!--=30% RSD with 2 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</pre--></pre>	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

The Chain of Custody (COC) information for sample AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419 did not match the entry on the sample tag with regard to sample identification. The information on the COC was used to process and report the sample.

Sample AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419 was cancelled on 03/21/2019 per client's request.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.



- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419

Lab ID: 1903441-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 05:06 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:12 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.60

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032013

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.54	1.1	2.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.093	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419

Lab ID: 1903441-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 06:18 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:11 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.74

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	3.8
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: IAG-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_031419

Lab ID: 1903441-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 05:42 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:16 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.65

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3) Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected 0.19 J Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.33	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.33	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.068	0.56	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.096	0.44	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.21	0.42	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_03141

Lab ID: 1903441-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 06:56 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.54

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3) Not Detected Not Detected Not Detected 0.18 J Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.063	0.52	1.0	0.18 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.096	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.089	0.41	0.83	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.20	0.39	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_03141

Lab ID: 1903441-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 07:32 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032017

_		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903441-07A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 3/20/19 12:17 PM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032006a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.075	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.084	0.18	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.088	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.041	0.34	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.062	0.20	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.058	0.27	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.13	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	104
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	95



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1903441-08A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 09:31 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032002

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	91
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	112
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	96
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	93
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	100
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	95

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105



Client ID: LCS

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032003

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	90
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	109
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	101
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	80
richloroethene	79-01-6	96
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1903441-09AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 10:46 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032004

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	114
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	104
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	94
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	82
richloroethene	79-01-6	96
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	100

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	89
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	105

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 26, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903441 Sample date: 2019-03-15

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-26

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-26

5 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903441

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-26

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32326R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903441 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		1	Analysis	
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	IAB- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-01_031419	1903441-02A	Air	3/15/2019		х		
	IAF- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-01_031419	1903441-03A	Air	3/15/2019		X		
1903441	IAG- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-02_031419	1903441-04A	Air	3/15/2019		X		
	DUP- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-01_031419	1903441-05A	Air	3/15/2019	IAG- 34966STANDI SHSTREET- 02_031419	X		
	DUP- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-02_031419	1903441-06A	Air	3/15/2019		X		

Note: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_031419 is the field duplicate of an ambient air sample that was cancelled due to failed canister return pressure. Therefore, a parent sample - field duplicate evaluation was not preformed.

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	NS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		Х		X	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
lon abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 11, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 15, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419

Lab ID: 1903441-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 05:06 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:12 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.60

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032013

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.29	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.066	0.54	1.1	2.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.32	0.63	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.093	0.43	0.86	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.20	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031419

Lab ID: 1903441-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 06:18 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:11 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.74

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032015

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.31	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.071	0.59	1.2	3.8
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.34	0.69	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.10	0.47	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.063	0.22	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	103
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: IAG-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_031419

Lab ID: 1903441-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 05:42 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:16 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.65

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.33	0.65	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.59	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.33	0.65	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.068	0.56	1.1	0.19 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.33	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.096	0.44	0.89	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.21	0.42	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_03141

Lab ID: 1903441-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 06:56 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.54

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.14	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.063	0.52	1.0	0.18 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.096	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.089	0.41	0.83	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.056	0.20	0.39	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_03141

Lab ID: 1903441-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/20/19 07:32 PM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22032017

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.13	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.14	0.30	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.15	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.069	0.57	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.34	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.45	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.22	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	97
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	96

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only

1903441 PID: Workorder #: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Ford Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit 5 Day Turnaround Time Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454.0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sampler: erednor/j. Lust Lab Use Only Instructions/Notes) Site Name: 34966 Standish #E203631. Level IV Reporting TO-15 (See Special Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Controller Receipt Sample Identification Information Can # Information ID Date Time Date Time OLA LAA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_OSKYLA 660738 3/14/19 3/15/19 24091 1005 1137 -8 -28.5 6L0357 MHIAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01 D3N19 3/14/19 7384 1120 3/15/19 1012 -29 -4.5 Х 620484 3/14/19 IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01 17419 24093 3/15/19 1124 1011 -24 X IA634966STANDISHSTREET-02_()3/4/4 6L0075 3/14/19 3/15/19 22298 1129 -29 1016 6.5 X 00P-34966Standishstreet-01-6L1705 23889 3/14/19 3/0/19 - 5 X DUP - 34966 Standishstreet -62-631419 6L0867 3/14/19 22084 3/15/19 -29 _7 X filelinitivished/by (Signature/Affiliation) Date 31 Time / Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time 0950. Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



3/26/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP Project #: MI001454.0003 Workorder #: 1903447

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/19/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

Project Manager

50011



WORK ORDER #: 1903447

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 **P.O.** # MI001454.0004.0001B

FAX: PROJECT # MI001454.0003 Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 03/19/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 03/26/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_0315	TO-15	4.1 "Hg	15 psi
02A	SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_0315	TO-15	3.7 "Hg	15 psi
03A	SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03_0315	TO-15	5.5 "Hg	15.7 psi
04A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
06AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	Meide Mayer	
CERTIFIED BY:	0 00	DATE: 03/26/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E8 , LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP CA009332018-10, VA NELAP - 9505, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2018, Expiration date: 10/17/2019.

Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.



LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1903447

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on March 19, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Receiving Notes

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified (0.2 ppbv for compounds reported at 0.5 ppbv and 0.8 ppbv for compounds reported at 2.0 ppbv) may be false positives.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
 - J Estimated value.
 - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
 - S Saturated peak.
 - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
 - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
 - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
 - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
 - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_0315

Lab ID: 1903447-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/23/19 12:24 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 11:22 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.34

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.4	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.3	7.9	4.1 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_0315

Lab ID: 1903447-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/23/19 12:50 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:45 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.30

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.6	3.6	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.3	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.6	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.2	7.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.6	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.99	4.9	6.2	3.6 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.6	2.4	2.9	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03_0315

Lab ID: 1903447-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/23/19 01:17 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:44 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.53

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	9.1	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7	6.9	8.6	4.5 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.8	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1903447-04A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

Date/Time Analyzed: 3/22/19 02:28 PM

Dilution Factor: 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032206a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.71	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.65	3.6	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.44	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.68	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.43	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.72	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	107
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: CCV

Lab ID: 1903447-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 11:25 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032202

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	101
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	88
s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	97
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	101
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	96
richloroethene	79-01-6	99
'inyl Chloride	75-01-4	94

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	99
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: LCS

Lab ID: 1903447-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 11:49 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032203

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	106
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	86
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	114
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	105
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	90
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	106
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	104

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



Client ID: LCSD

Lab ID: 1903447-06AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/22/19 12:28 PM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032204

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	108
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	84
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	115
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	108
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	92
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	103
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	107

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	102
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

^{* %} Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



March 26, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics - Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1903447 Sample date: 2019-03-15

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-26

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-26

3 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1903447

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-26

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #32327R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1903447 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID		Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-01_031519	1903447-01A	Air	3/15/2019		Х		
1903447	SSMP- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-02_031519	1903447-02A	Air	3/15/2019		X		
	SSMP- 34966STANDISHSTRE ET-03_031519	1903447-03A	Air	3/15/2019		X		

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	Reported		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time Preservation		Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: TO-15 (Full Scan)		Reported		eptable	Not
		Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETR	Y (GC/M	S)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation				·	
System performance and column resolution		X		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 11, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 15, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_0315

Lab ID: 1903447-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/23/19 12:24 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 11:22 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.34

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032220

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.7	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.4	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.3	7.9	4.1 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	5.0	6.3	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.7	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	103
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_0315

Lab ID: 1903447-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/23/19 12:50 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:45 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.30

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032221

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.6	3.6	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.5	8.3	16	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.0	3.6	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.6	6.2	7.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.4	3.6	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.99	4.9	6.2	3.6 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.6	2.4	2.9	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	113
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	102
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03_0315

Lab ID: 1903447-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 3/23/19 01:17 AM

Date/Time Collected: 3/15/19 10:44 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.53

Media: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) Instrument/Filename: msd3.i / 3032222

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.8	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	9.1	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.1	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7	6.9	8.6	4.5 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.5	4.0	5.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.1	5.4	6.8	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.8	2.6	3.2	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	105
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody For Laboratory Use Only

PID: 1903447 Workorder #: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Click links below to view: Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Ford PID: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. 5 Day Turnaround Time Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# MI001454,0003 Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses Submit results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Sampler: Errdner/ J. Lust TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Lab Use Only Site Name: 34966 Standish Cadena #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N₂ / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Receipt Flow Sample Identification Can# Information Information ID Controller# Date Time Date Time SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_031519 112762 23419 3/15/19 3/15/19 ._ 4 1109 -29 1122 SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_03(5)4 L2344 23790 3/19/19 1032 3/15/19 1045 -29 X SSMP-3-19665tandishstreet-03.031519 112898 24366 3/15/19 1031 3/15/19 1044 -291 -5,5 く elikquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time 0950 03/19/19 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only FEMY Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

3

5

6

<u>R</u>

46

12

13

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mile Del Your

Authorized for release by: 3/27/2019 3:23:15 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

..... LINKS

Review your project results through
Total Access

Have a Question?



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	9
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17

4

0

9

11

12

13

М

Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Not Calculated

Quality Control

Practical Quantitation Limit

Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
4	MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

NC

ND

PQL

QC

RER

RL RPD

TEF

TEQ

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)

TestAmerica Canton

3/27/2019

Page 3 of 18

3

5

Ŏ

10

111

13

14

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 240-109609-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 240-109609-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control sample was within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, sample was diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

TestAmerica Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The sample was received on 3/19/2019 8:20 AM; the sample arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.0° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Sample SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419 (240-109609-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The sample was analyzed on 03/27/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419 (240-109609-1) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 03/20/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

_

7

8

4.0

11

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
240-109609-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419	Water	03/14/19 12:00	03/19/19 08:20

Λ

6

8

46

11

12

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109609-1

No Detections.

A

5

7

8

4.0

11

13

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109609-1

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419
Data Collected: 03/14/19 12:00

Date Received: 03/19/19 08:20

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/20/19 21:03	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		63 - 125					03/20/19 21:03	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O Analyte	•	unds (GC/ Qualifier	MS) RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL _			D	Prepared	,	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene	Result	Qualifier U	1.0 —	0.19	ug/L	<u>D</u> .	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene	Result	Qualifier U	RL _		ug/L	<u>D</u> .	Prepared	,	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	Result	Qualifier U U	1.0 —	0.19	ug/L ug/L	<u>D</u> .	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene	Result 1.0 1.0	Qualifier U U U	1.0 1.0	0.19 0.16	ug/L ug/L ug/L	D	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28 03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac
	Result 1.0 1.0 1.0	Qualifier U U U U	1.0 1.0 1.0	0.19 0.16 0.15	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	<u> </u>	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28 03/27/19 01:28 03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac 1 1 1 1

· ··· y · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		1.2 1.3.2			•
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	114		70 - 121			03/27/19 01:28	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	80		59 - 120			03/27/19 01:28	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	92		70 - 123			03/27/19 01:28	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	112		75 - 128			03/27/19 01:28	1
<u></u>							

3/27/2019

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

_			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-121)	(59-120)	(70-123)	(75-128)
240-109561-A-2 MS	Matrix Spike	94	108	103	93
240-109561-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	95	107	103	93
240-109609-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031 419	114	80	92	112
LCS 240-373303/4	Lab Control Sample	94	107	103	92
MB 240-373303/6	Method Blank	110	85	94	105
Surrogate Legend					

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

_			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(10-150)	(10-150)	(10-150)	(10-150)
MRL 240-373303/5	Lab Control Sample	102	97	98	98

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(63-125)	
240-109609-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031	103	
240-109639-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	99	
240-109639-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	102	
LCS 240-372435/4	Lab Control Sample	96	
MB 240-372435/5	Method Blank	93	
Surrogate Legend			
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth	ane-d4 (Surr)		

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		DCA	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(10-150)	
MRL 240-372435/6	Lab Control Sample	98	
Surrogate Legend			

TestAmerica Canton

Page 9 of 18 3/27/2019

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631 DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-373303/6

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 373303

Client	Sampl	e ID:	Meth	od	Blanl	<
	P	rep ⁻	Туре:	Tot	al/NA	١

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			03/26/19 16:53	1

MB MB

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	110		70 - 121	_		03/26/19 16:53	1	
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	85		59 - 120			03/26/19 16:53	1	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	94		70 - 123			03/26/19 16:53	1	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	105		75 - 128			03/26/19 16:53	1	

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-373303/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 373303

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	65 - 139	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	76 - 128	
Tetrachloroethene	10.0	10.3		ug/L		103	74 - 130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.0	10.5		ug/L		105	78 - 133	
Trichloroethene	10.0	9.52		ug/L		95	76 - 125	
Vinyl chloride	10.0	10.1		ug/L		101	58 - 143	

LCS LCS

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	107		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	92		75 - 128

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-373303/5

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 373303

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	MRL	MRL				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.00100	0.00106		ng/uL		106	10 - 150	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.00100	0.00109		ng/uL		109	10 - 150	
Tetrachloroethene	0.00100	0.00110		ng/uL		110	10 - 150	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.00100	0.00111		ng/uL		111	10 - 150	
Trichloroethene	0.00100	0.00104		ng/uL		104	10 - 150	
Vinyl chloride	0.00100	0.00119		ng/uL		119	10 - 150	

	MRL	MRL	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		10 - 150
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		10 - 150
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		10 - 150

TestAmerica Canton

3/27/2019

Page 11 of 18

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-373303/5

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 373303

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

MRL MRL Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 10 - 150 98

Lab Sample ID: 240-109561-A-2 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 373303

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Prep Type: Total/NA

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.6		ug/L		106	53 - 140	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.1		ug/L		101	64 - 130	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	10.0	10.2		ug/L		102	51 - 136	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	Ü	10.0	10.7		ug/L		107	68 - 133	
Trichloroethene	0.79	J	10.0	9.96		ug/L		92	55 - 131	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	43 - 154	
l .										

MS MS

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	108		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	93		75 - 128

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 373303

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-109561-C-2 MSD

/ tildi	0000										
	Samp	e Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyt	Resu	lt Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,1-Dic	1	0 U	10.0	10.5	-	ug/L		105	53 - 140	1	35
cis-1,2	1	0 U	10.0	9.88		ug/L		99	64 - 130	2	21
Tetracl	1	0 U	10.0	9.93		ug/L		99	51 - 136	3	23
trans-1	1	0 U	10.0	10.4		ug/L		104	68 - 133	2	24
Trichlo	0.7	9 J	10.0	9.84		ug/L		91	55 - 131	1	23
Vinyl c	1	0 U	10.0	10.0		ug/L		100	43 - 154	4	29
VIIII C	ı	0 0	10.0	10.0		ug/L		100	43.	- 154	- 154 4

MSD MSD

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	95		70 - 121
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	107		59 - 120
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		70 - 123
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	93		75 - 128

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 372435

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-372435/5 **Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA**

MB MB Analyte Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 2.0 0.86 ug/L 03/20/19 12:04

TestAmerica Canton

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-372435/5

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 372435

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

MB MB

%Recovery Qualifier Surrogate Limits Analyzed Dil Fac Prepared 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 63 - 125 03/20/19 12:04 93

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-372435/4 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 372435

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Limits **Analyte** Unit D %Rec 1,4-Dioxane 10.0 10.2 ug/L 102 59 - 131

LCS LCS

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 63 - 125 96

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-372435/6 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 372435

Spike MRL MRL %Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 0.00100 1,4-Dioxane 0.00131 J ng/uL 131 10 - 150

MRL MRL

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 98 10 - 150

Lab Sample ID: 240-109639-C-7 MS Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 372435

Spike MS MS %Rec. Sample Sample Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 1,4-Dioxane 150 10.0 158 ug/L 52 - 129

MS MS Qualifier Limits Surrogate %Recovery 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 63 - 125 99

Lab Sample ID: 240-109639-C-7 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 372435

Spike MSD MSD %Rec. **RPD** Sample Sample Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits RPD Limit 1.4-Dioxane 10.0 150 180 4 ug/L 272 52 - 129

MSD MSD

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 102 63 - 125

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

10

TestAmerica Canton

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 372435

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-109609-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MB 240-372435/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-372435/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
MRL 240-372435/6	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-109639-C-7 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
240-109639-C-7 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 373303

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-109609-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-373303/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-373303/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MRL 240-373303/5	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-109561-A-2 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-109561-C-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109609-1

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419 Date Collected: 03/14/19 12:00

Date Received: 03/19/19 08:20

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B			373303	03/27/19 01:28	LRW	TAL CAN
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	372435	03/20/19 21:03	SAM	TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = TestAmerica Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	EPA Region	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State Program	9	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	4	E87225	06-30-19
Illinois	NELAP	5	200004	07-31-19
Kansas	NELAP	7	E-10336	04-30-19 *
Kentucky (UST)	State Program	4	58	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State Program	4	98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	5	039-999-348	12-31-19 *
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	1	3506	07-31-19
Nevada	State Program	9	OH00048	07-31-19
New Jersey	NELAP	2	OH001	06-30-19
New York	NELAP	2	10975	03-31-19 *
Ohio VAP	State Program	5	CL0024	09-06-19
Oregon	NELAP	10	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	3	68-00340	08-31-19 *
Texas	NELAP	6	T104704517-18-10	08-31-19
USDA	Federal		P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	3	460175	09-14-19
Washington	State Program	10	C971	01-12-20 *
West Virginia DEP	State Program	3	210	12-31-19

^{*} Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

MICHIGAN 1.2/C1.0

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396 Client Contact Regulatory program: DW NPDES RCRA Other TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. Company Name: Arcadis Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Site Contact: Angela DeGrandis Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 Telephone: 248-994-2240 Telephone: 734-320-0065 Telephone: 330-497-9396 City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 COCs Analysis Turnaround Time Analyses For lab use only Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com Phone: 248-994-2240 Walk-in client TAT if different from below 3 weeks Project Name: Ford LTP 2 weeks Lab sampling Project Number: MI001454.0003 ₹ 1 week Method of Shipment/Carrier: 1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM Composite=C/Grab=G | 2 days 82608 PO # MI001454.0003 Job/SDG No: 1 day Shipping/Tracking No: cis-1,2-DCE 8260B 1,1-DCE 8260B Frans-1,2-DCE Matrix Anyl Chloride Containers & Preservatives TCE 8260B PCE 8260B Sample Specific Notes / H2SO4 Special Instructions: HC Sample Date Sample Time Sample Identification Containers Possible Hazard Identification Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) ✓ Non-Hazard [lammable sin Irritant Poison B Jnknown Return to Client Disposal By Lab Archive For Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com, Cadena #E203631 evel IV Reporting. Received by read ? Jacac Date/Time Received by Date/Time 3-18-19 TESTAMERUS 3/18/19 1345 Date/Time: Received in Laboratory by Date/Time: TESTAMERUA 3-18-19 1500

G2008, TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc., All rights reserved, TestAmerica & Design 1th are trademarks of TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative Canton Facility	Login # : 109609
	Cooler inpacked by:
Client Accadis Site Name	- Schampacked by:
Cooler Received on 3 19 19 Opened on 3 19 19	(B)(
FedEx: 1st Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Con	
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Local	
TestAmerica Cooler # Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other	ег
Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other	er
COOLANT: Wet Ice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None	
1. Cooler temperature upon receipt	
IR GUN# IR-8 (CF -0.2 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 1.2 °C Corrected Cooler GUN #36 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. °C Corrected Cooler Temp.	ler Temp°C
 Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity -Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? -Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Are these work share samples? If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt? Were VOAs on the COC? Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot #	Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No
16. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?	Yes No
To the Board of th	
Contacted PM Date by via Ve	rbal Voice Mail Other
Concerning	
AT CHAIN OF CUSTORY A CAMPLE DISCOVERANCIES	Samples processed by:
17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES	FC PC
18. SAMPLE CONDITION	d holding time had avaired
Sample(s) were received after the recommende	eceived in a broken container
Sample(s) were re	6 mm in diameter (Net)C. D.A.
Sample(s) were received with bubble >	6 mm in diameter. (Notity PM)
19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	
Sample(s)	were further preserved in the laboratory
Sample(s)v Time preserved:Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s):	vere further preserved in the laboratory.
Time preservedTreservative(s) added not number(s)	
VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen:	



March 27, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0002/3/4.00002/2B/3B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory submittal: 109609-1 Sample date: 2019-03-14

Report received by CADENA: 2019-03-27

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-03-27

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

SIM GCMS VOC QC batch MS/MSD issues were not determined using a client sample so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

1 Water sample was analyzed for GCMS VOC parameter(s).

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 109609-1

		Collection Date	Collection Time	Volatile Organics	8260B with Single	
Lab Sample ID	Sample ID	(mm/yy/dd)	(hh:mm:ss)	by GCMS	Ion Monitoring	Comment
2401096091	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419	3/14/2019	12:00:00	Х	Х	

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton

Laboratory Submittal: 109609-1

Sample Name: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419

Lab Sample ID: 2401096091 **Sample Date:** 3/14/2019

				Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC						
OSW-826	<u>60B</u>					
	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	
OSW-826	<u> 60BBSim</u>					
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	ND	2.0	ug/l	



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #240-109609-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-03-27

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #32458R Review Level: Tier III

Project: MI001454.0003.00002

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-109609-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
240-109609-1	SUMP- 34966STANDISH-01- 031419	240-109609-1	Water	3/14/2019		X	Х	

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		X	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		X	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		X	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the sample within this SDG.

6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Re	ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETI	RY (GC/I	MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X		X	
Tier III Validation	·				
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		X	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		X	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: April 18, 2019

a Kaz

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: April 18, 2019

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

MICHIGAN 190 TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canton — 4101 Shuffel Street NW/ North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-497-9396

1.2/01,0

Chain of Custody Record

Client Contact	Regulat	ory program:		T	DW	,	NF	DES		F	CRA	П	Othe	er										W
Company Name: Arcadis	Client Project !	Manager: Kris	Hinsk	ey		15	ite Co	ntact:	Angel	a De	Grandis		_	T	Lab C	ontaci	t: Mik	e Dell	Monic	0	-	_		TestAmerica Laborato COC No:
ddress: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	Telephone: 248	-994-2240	-	_	-	-	Teleph	one: 73	34-320	1-006	5	-		-	Teleni	hone:	330-49	7-939	96	_	-			
ity/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377											d Time		-		- cite p				nalys	oe .				of CC
hone: 248-994-2240	Email: kristoffe	er.hinskey@arc	ndis.c	om		-	All	asysts		ii oun	a Trime					T		A	naiys	cs	T	T	Т	For lab use only
roject Name: Ford LTP	-						TAT if d	ifferent i		low 3 wee	ks	-										1		Walk-in client
									┌ 2	2 wee	ks				1	1					1			Lab sampling
roject Number: MI001454.0003	Method of Ship	ment/Carrier:					5 D	ay		l wee 2 days		ê	9			8				SIM				
O # MI001454.0003	Shipping/Track	ting No:							TI	l day		(5)	-C/Grab=G	_	809	826			3260	60B				Job/SDG No:
			Brick.	M	atrix	100	C	ontaine	rs & P	reser	ratives	Sample (Y/N)	/)	1260E	E 85	DCE	8	8	ride (ne 82				REAL SECTION OF
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Air	Aqueous		Other:	H2SO4	нсі	NaOH	NaOH	Unpres Other:	Filtered S.	Composite	1,1-DCE 8260B	cis-1,2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	TCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM				Sample Specific No Special Instruction
runo-34966 Hardish-01-031419	3-14-19	1200		X				X		1		N	G	X	X	X	X	X	X	Χ				6 containers
		000		 		 				1881														
										I														
			Ш						Ш			T												
	-	240	-109	609	Chair	n of Cus	stody		118 1811	1881		+	+					-			-	-	+	
			19																					
	_			+	+		+	+	H	+	-	+	+									-	1	-
				1			1			1		\perp										_		
Possible Hazard Identification					_	_					ee may b													
Non-Hazard lammable tin Irritant lammable tin Irritant	Poiso	on B	Jnkr	iown	_		_	Retu	ern to	Clien		Disp	osal B	y Lab		A	rchive	For		N	lonths		-	
	an Cadana de	000524																						
ubmit all results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.c evel IV Reporting.	om, Cagena #E	203631																						
linguished by	Company:			Date/T	ime:	0 17	N		Rece	ived t	y: (\		1:	1.0	1	_		Comp	oany:	٨	1.		_	Date/Time: VIII
Mediately	Arcad &	1	_	3-	1 1	110	il)			No	Vi	(0	ld	Sto	ran	e			Jr.	idi	1		344-191 OCC
elinguished by:	Accad	16		3-l		9 12	:4	5	Rece	ived I	-					O		Comp		-4.	رمزدر			Date/Time: 3 18 19 13
elinquished by:	Company:	.15		Date/T	_	1 15	1	_	Rece		in kabora	atory l	NV)		_				PARY		e-lec	4	-	Date/Fime:
1 1 .		مستعسم	- 1	2	18-1		500				V.	1	/					-	- /	-				3/19/19

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-109609-1

Lab Sample ID. 240-109009-1

Prepared

Analyzed

03/27/19 01:28

03/27/19 01:28

03/27/19 01:28

03/27/19 01:28

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01-031419	
Date Collected: 03/14/19 12:00	

%Recovery Qualifier

114

80

92

112

Date Received: 03/19/19 08:20

Surrogate

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			03/20/19 21:03	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	103		63 - 125					03/20/19 21:03	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL _		Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
	•	•	•	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
	•	Qualifier	•		Unit ug/L	<u>D</u>	Prepared	Analyzed 03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac
Analyte	Result	Qualifier U	RL _	0.19		D	Prepared	- <u>- </u>	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene	Result 1.0	Qualifier U U	1.0 —	0.19 0.16	ug/L	<u> </u>	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac 1 1 1
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0 1.0	Qualifier U U U	1.0 1.0	0.19 0.16 0.15	ug/L ug/L	<u>D</u> .	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28 03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac 1 1 1 1 1 1
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene	Result 1.0 1.0 1.0	Qualifier U U U U	1.0 1.0 1.0	0.19 0.16 0.15 0.19	ug/L ug/L ug/L	D	Prepared	03/27/19 01:28 03/27/19 01:28 03/27/19 01:28	Dil Fac 1 1 1 1 1 1

Limits

70 - 121

59 - 120

70 - 123

75 - 128

16

Dil Fac

14