

11/18/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP
Project #: 30016344.0002B
Workorder #: 1911208

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/11/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 



#### **WORK ORDER #: 1911208**

Work Order Summary

CLIENT: Mr. Jim Tomalia BILL TO: Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

28550 Cabot Dr.

Suite 500

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

**PHONE:** 517-819-0356 **P.O.**# 30016344

FAX: PROJECT # 30016344.0002B Ford LTP

**DATE RECEIVED:** 11/11/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

**DATE COMPLETED:** 11/18/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	TEST	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_1106	TO-15	5.3 "Hg	15.1 psi
02A	SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03_1106	TO-15	3.9 "Hg	15.7 psi
03A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-05_11061	TO-15	3.7 "Hg	15.4 psi
04A	SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_1106	TO-15	3.5 "Hg	15.7 psi
05A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
06A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
07A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
07AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

	Keide Rayes	-
CERTIFIED BY:	0 00	DATE: 11/18/19

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP – CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1911208

Four 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on November 11, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
  - M Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.
  - CN See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_1106

**Lab ID:** 1911208-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:05 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.2	6.7	8.3	4.0 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.8	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03\_1106

**Lab ID:** 1911208-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:31 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:51 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.38

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.7	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.1	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.2	6.4	8.1	4.0 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.7	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.1	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.2	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-05\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911208-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:58 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.6	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.1	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.1	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.6	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.3	5.0	6.3	5.6 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.1	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_1106

**Lab ID:** 1911208-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/16/19 12:24 AM

Date/Time Collected:11/6/19 12:27 PMDilution Factor:2.34Media:1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)Instrument/Filename:msdj.i / j111525

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.6	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.1	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.1	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.6	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.3	5.0	6.3	5.3 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.1	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1911208-05A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:01 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j111506d

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.59	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	1.6	5.4	7.2	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.90	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.91	2.7	3.4	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	1.1	1.6	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.0	2.1	2.7	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.91	1.0	1.3	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	98
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	83
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1911208-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 08:55 AM

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable Dilution Factor: 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j111502

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	98
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	102
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	96
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	103
richloroethene	79-01-6	92
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	94
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	101
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

## **eurofins**Air Toxics

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1911208-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 09:20 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j111503

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	101
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	88
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	95
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	111
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	91
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	90
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	99
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## **eurofins**Air Toxics

## EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1911208-07AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 09:44 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msdj.i / j111504

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	88
,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	103
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	93
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	115
richloroethene	79-01-6	92
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	99

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	86
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	100
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



November 18, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016344.0002B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1911208 Sample date: 2019-11-06

Report received by CADENA: 2019-11-18 Initial DataVerification completed: 2019-11-18 4 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1911208

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-11-18

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #35097R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1911208 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	SSMP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	1911208-01A	Air	11/6/2019		X		
	SSMP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 03_110619	1911208-02A	Air	11/6/2019		X		
1911208	DUP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 05_110619	1911208-03A	Air	11/6/2019	SSMP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 02_110619	X		
	SSMP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 02_110619	1911208-04A	Air	11/6/2019		Х		

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		X	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in µg/m³) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_110619/ DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-05_110619	Trichloroethene	5.3 J	5.6 J	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA REVIEW**

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)		ported		ormance eptable	Not			
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required			
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)								
Tier II Validation								
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х				
Tier III Validation		'		'				
System performance and column resolution		X		Х				
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		Х				
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х				
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х				
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х				
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х				
Internal standard		Х		Х				
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		Х		Х				
Compound identification and quantitation								
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х				
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х				
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		Х				
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х				
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		Х				

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 8, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: December 12, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_1106

**Lab ID:** 1911208-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:05 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.8	13	18	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.2	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.2	6.7	8.3	4.0 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.8	3.9	4.9	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.5	5.3	6.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.2	2.5	3.1	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	97



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03\_1106

**Lab ID:** 1911208-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:31 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:51 AM **Dilution Factor:** 2.38

Compound	CAS#	MDL (ug/m3)	LOD (ug/m3)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.7	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.1	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.2	6.4	8.1	4.0 J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.7	3.8	4.7	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.4	5.1	6.4	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.2	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	92
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-05\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911208-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/15/19 11:58 PM

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.6	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.1	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.1	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.6	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.3	5.0	6.3	5.6 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.1	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	95
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	91
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	103



Client ID: SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_1106

**Lab ID:** 1911208-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/16/19 12:24 AM

Date/Time Collected:11/6/19 12:27 PMDilution Factor:2.34Media:1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)Instrument/Filename:msdj.i / j111525

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1.4	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	3.6	13	17	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	2.1	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	2.1	6.3	7.9	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.6	3.7	4.6	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.3	5.0	6.3	5.3 J
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.1	2.4	3.0	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

D: Analyte not within the DoD scope of accreditation.

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	92
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	89
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

### Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only 1911208 Workorder #: Click links below to view: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Canister Sampling Guide Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Helium Shroud Video Client: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-Ford PID: NA Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Project Name: Ford LTP 5 Day Turnaround Time DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC, Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey P.O.# 30016344.0002B Canister Vacuum/Pressure Requested Analyses results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Sampler: nantel Johnson, Madison Olend TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) Lab Use Only Not Analyze Site Name: 34966 STANDISH #E203631. Level IV Reporting Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Start Sampling Stop Sampling Lab Flow Controller Receipt Information Sample Identification Can# Information ID Final ( Gas: 1 Date Time Date Time SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619 1L2855 23101 11/6/2019 11:59 11/6/2019 -29.8 -6 12:12 02/A SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03 110619 1L3900 23239 11/6/2019 11:38 -5 11/6/2019 11:51 -29.7 Х DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-05\_110619 1L3117 23254 11/6/2019 11/6/2019 -29.8 -5.5 SSMP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_110619 1L2909 1931 11/6/2019 12:13 11/6/2019 12:27 -29.7 -5.5 Х -----------Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) 800 Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: Custody Seals Intact? Yes No None Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping

of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922



11/19/2019 Mr. Jim Tomalia Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. Suite 500 Novi MI 48377

Project Name: Ford LTP

Project #:

Workorder #: 1911232

Dear Mr. Jim Tomalia

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 11/12/2019 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Ausha Scott at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ausha Scott

**Project Manager** 



#### **WORK ORDER #:** 1911232

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT: **BILL TO:** Mr. Jim Tomalia Accounts Payable

Arcadis U.S., Inc. Arcadis U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Dr. 630 Plaza Drive Suite 500 Suite 600

Novi, MI 48377 Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

PHONE: 517-819-0356 P.O. # 30016344.0002B

FAX: PROJECT # Ford LTP

DATE RECEIVED: 11/12/2019 **CONTACT:** Ausha Scott

DATE COMPLETED: 11/19/2019

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	<u>NAME</u>	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_110619	Modified TO-15	5.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
02A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_11061	Modified TO-15	3.9 "Hg	4.9 psi
03A	IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_11061	Modified TO-15	4.9 "Hg	4.5 psi
04A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_11061	Modified TO-15	6.9 "Hg	4.9 psi
05A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03_11061	Modified TO-15	9.4 "Hg	5.2 psi
06A	IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_110619	Modified TO-15	7.1 "Hg	5 psi
07A	IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_110619	Modified TO-15	6.3 "Hg	5.2 psi
08A	DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-04_11061	Modified TO-15	6.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
09A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
10A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
11A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
11AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

	1	cide /	Mayer		
CERTIFIED BY:			0	DATE: <u>1</u>	1/19/19

**Technical Director** 

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP - E87680, LA NELAP - 02089, NH NELAP - 209218, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-18-13, UT NELAP - CA009332019-11, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935

> Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005-011, Effective date: 10/18/2019, Expiration date: 10/17/2020.

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC.



#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 Arcadis U.S., Inc. Workorder# 1911232

Eight 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambient) samples were received on November 12, 2019. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the EATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	=30% RSD with 2<br compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

#### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

#### **Analytical Notes**

As per client project requirements, the laboratory has reported estimated values for target compound hits that are below the Reporting Limit but greater than the Method Detection Limit. Concentrations that are below the level at which the canister was certified may be false positives.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- B Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).
  - J Estimated value.
  - E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
  - S Saturated peak.
  - Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.
  - UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
  - N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

- a-File was requantified
- b-File was quantified by a second column and detector
- r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Client ID: AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 09:40 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:13 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.62

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111315

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.23	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.066	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.25	0.44	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.090	0.35	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.16	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 04:25 PM

Date/Time Collected:11/6/19 12:00 AMDilution Factor:1.53Media:6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert AmbierInstrument/Filename:msd22.i / 22111308

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.18	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.22	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.062	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.24	0.42	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.085	0.33	0.82	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.054	0.16	0.39	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 05:01 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/6/19 11:28 AM Dilution Factor: 1.56

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111309

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.22	0.56	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.064	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.24	0.42	1.0	2.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.086	0.34	0.84	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.055	0.16	0.40	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 05:37 PM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111310

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.070	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.47	1.2	2.4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.096	0.37	0.93	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.18	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 06:15 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/6/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 1.97

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111311

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.31	0.78	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.71	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.080	0.31	0.78	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.30	0.53	1.3	4.6
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.13	0.31	0.78	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.42	1.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.070	0.20	0.50	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 06:52 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:24 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.76

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111312

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.072	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.48	1.2	4.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.12	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.38	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.18	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 07:29 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:18 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.72

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111313

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.070	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.47	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.095	0.37	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.18	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-04\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-08A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 08:48 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111314

Compound		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount (ug/m3)
	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.069	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.26	0.46	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.36	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.17	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: Lab Blank Lab ID: 1911232-09A

Date/Time Collected: NA - Not Applicable

Media: NA - Not Applicable

**Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 11:32 AM

**Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111306a

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.12	0.16	0.40	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.068	0.14	0.36	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.041	0.16	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.15	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.066	0.16	0.40	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.055	0.21	0.54	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.036	0.10	0.26	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100



Client ID: CCV

**Lab ID:** 1911232-10A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 08:23 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111302

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	89
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	97
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	91
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	93
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	91
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	94
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	88

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	0-0	93
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	0-0	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	0-0	99

## eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCS

**Lab ID:** 1911232-11A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 09:11 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111303

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	93
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	104
s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	89
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	106
richloroethene	79-01-6	99
'inyl Chloride	75-01-4	97

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	98
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	101

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.

## eurofins Air Toxics

## MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN Ford LTP

Client ID: LCSD

**Lab ID:** 1911232-11AA **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 10:01 AM

**Date/Time Collected:** NA - Not Applicable **Dilution Factor:** 1.00

Media: NA - Not Applicable Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111304

Compound	CAS#	%Recovery
,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	94
4-Dioxane	123-91-1	105
s-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	90
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	97
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	106
richloroethene	79-01-6	100
/inyl Chloride	75-01-4	98

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	101
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	94
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	100

<sup>\* %</sup> Recovery is calculated using unrounded analytical results.



November 19, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30016344.0002B

Client project scope reference: Sample COC only was used to define project analytical requirements.

Laboratory: Eurofins Air Toxics -Folsom

Laboratory submittal: 1911232 Sample date: 2019-11-06

Report received by CADENA: 2019-11-19
Initial DataVerification completed: 2019-11-19

8 Air samples were analyzed for TO-15 parameters.

No data qualifications or sample integrity issues were observed.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

Analytical results reported between RDL and MDL are flagged 'J' and considered estimated values.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

## **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



## Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

## **DATA REVIEW**

## Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) TO-15 Analysis

SDG #1911232

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-11-19

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins Air Toxics Folsom, California

Report #35098R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 1911232 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis		
SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
	AA- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	1911232-01A	Air	11/6/2019		Х		
	DUP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	1911232-02A	Air	11/6/2019	AA- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	х		
	IAB- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	1911232-03A	Air	11/6/2019		х		
1911232	DUP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 02_110619	1911232-04A	Air	11/6/2019	IAB- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	X		
	DUP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 03_110619	1911232-05A	Air	11/6/2019	IAF- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	X		
	IAF- 34966STANDISH STREET- 01_110619	1911232-06A	Air	11/6/2019		X		
	IAF- 34966STANDISH STREET- 02_110619	1911232-07A	Air	11/6/2019		Х		

	SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	TO-15 (Full Scan)	Analysis TO-15 (SIM)	MISC
•		DUP- 34966STANDISH STREET- 04_110619	1911232-08A	Air	11/6/2019	IAF- 34966STANDISH STREET- 02_110619	х		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Repo		Performance Acceptable		Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method TO-15 (Full Scan). Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation	Return Canister Pressure
USEPA TO-15	Air	30 days from collection to analysis (Canister)	Ambient Temperature	< -2" Hg

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time and canister return pressure / vacuum criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (30%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (30%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the continuing calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria requires the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than 140% or less than 60% of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

#### 6. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

The field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 35% for air matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are not greater than five times the RL, a control limit of one times the RL is applied to the difference between the duplicate sample results.

Results (in µg/m³) for the field duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID / Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_110619/ DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_110619	All compounds	U	U	AC
IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_110619/ DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_110619	Tetrachloroethene	2.5	2.4	AC
IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01_110619/ DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03_110619	Tetrachloroethene	4.7	4.6	AC
IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-02_110619/ DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-04_110619	All compounds	U	U	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: TO-15 ( Full Scan)	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET	RY (GC/I	VIS)			
Tier II Validation					
Canister return pressure (<-2"Hg)		X		Х	
Tier III Validation			·	·	
System performance and column resolution		X		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate Sample RPD		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Joseph C. Houser

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 8, 2019

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: December 12, 2019

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

## NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client ID: AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-01A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 09:40 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:13 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.62

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111315

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.23	0.58	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.066	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.25	0.44	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.26	0.64	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.090	0.35	0.87	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.058	0.16	0.41	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-02A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 04:25 PM

Date/Time Collected:11/6/19 12:00 AMDilution Factor:1.53Media:6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert AmbierInstrument/Filename:msd22.i / 22111308

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.18	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.22	0.55	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.062	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.24	0.42	1.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.085	0.33	0.82	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.054	0.16	0.39	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	109
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-03A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 05:01 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/6/19 11:28 AM Dilution Factor: 1.56

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111309

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.19	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.10	0.22	0.56	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.064	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.24	0.42	1.0	2.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.10	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.086	0.34	0.84	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.055	0.16	0.40	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	107
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-04A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 05:37 PM

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111310

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.070	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.47	1.2	2.4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.096	0.37	0.93	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.18	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	109
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-05A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 06:15 PM

Date/Time Collected: 11/6/19 12:00 AM Dilution Factor: 1.97

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111311

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.24	0.31	0.78	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.13	0.28	0.71	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.080	0.31	0.78	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.30	0.53	1.3	4.6
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.13	0.31	0.78	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.11	0.42	1.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.070	0.20	0.50	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	108
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-06A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 06:52 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:24 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.76

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111312

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.63	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.072	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.48	1.2	4.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.12	0.28	0.70	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.098	0.38	0.94	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.062	0.18	0.45	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	111
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	110
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	99



Client ID: IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_110619

**Lab ID:** 1911232-07A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 07:29 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 11:18 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.72

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111313

		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.21	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.12	0.25	0.62	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.070	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.27	0.47	1.2	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.68	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.095	0.37	0.92	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.061	0.18	0.44	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	110
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	106
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98



Client ID: DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-04\_11061

**Lab ID:** 1911232-08A **Date/Time Analyzed:** 11/13/19 08:48 PM

**Date/Time Collected:** 11/6/19 12:00 AM **Dilution Factor:** 1.69

Media: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Cert Ambier Instrument/Filename: msd22.i / 22111314

Compound		MDL	LOD	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	CAS#	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.20	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	0.11	0.24	0.61	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.069	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	0.26	0.46	1.1	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.11	0.27	0.67	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.094	0.36	0.91	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.060	0.17	0.43	Not Detected

Surrogates	CAS#	Limits	%Recovery
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	17060-07-0	70-130	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	70-130	104
Toluene-d8	2037-26-5	70-130	98

## Analysis Request /Canister Chain of Custody

For Laboratory Use Only
Workorder #:9 1 1 2 3 2

PID: 180 Blue Ravine Rd. Suite B, Folsom, CA 95630 Click links below to view: Phone (800) 985-5955; Fax (916) 351-8279 Canister Sampling Guide Client: Helium Shroud Video Ford PID: Special Instructions/Notes: Report ONLY: 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-NA Project Name: Turnaround Time (Rush surcharges may apply) Ford LTP DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,4-Dioxane, PCE, TCE and VC. Submit Project Manager: Kris Hinskey 5 Day Turnaround Time P.O.# 30016344.0002B Sampler: Canister Vacuum/Pressure Madison Olender results through Cadena at jim.tomalia@cadena.com. Cadena Requested Analyses Site Name: TO-15 (See Special Instructions/Notes) 34966 STANDISH Lab Use Only Do Not Analyze #E203631. Level IV Reporting Final (psig) Gas: N<sub>2</sub> / He Initial (in Hg) Final (in Hg) Lab Start Sampling Stop Sampling Sample Identification Flow Controller ID Can# Information Information Receipt Date Time Date OLA Time AA-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619 6L0213 21928 020 11/5/2019 12:12 11/6/2019 DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619 11:13 -29 -6.5 Х 6L2490 22279 11/5/2019 ۵۵۵ IAB-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619 11/6/2019 -29 -5.5 Х 6L0916 20477 11/5/2019 12:16 11/6/2019 OHA **DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-02 110619** 11:28 -29 -5.5 Х 6L0188 24090 11/5/2019 11/6/2019 OSA DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-03\_110619 -28.5 -8.5 Х 6L0251 1802 11/5/2019 هنان IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-01\_110619 11/6/2019 -29 -9.5 Х 6L0489 21383 11/5/2019 12:21 VIA 11/6/2019 IAF-34966STANDISHSTREET-02\_110619 11:24 -29 -7.5 Х 6L2315 23890 11/5/2019 084 12:25 DUP-34966STANDISHSTREET-04\_110619 11/6/2019 11:18 -29 -7.5 Х 6L1046 20769 11/5/2019 11/6/2019 -28.5 -7.5 Х -------------~-Relinguished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Pro. Religioushed by: (Signature/Affiliation) 1038 Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Relinquished by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Received by: (Signature/Affiliation) Date Time Lab Use Only Shipper Name: THIN Custody Seals Intact? Sample Transportation Notice: Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that samples are shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, and international laws, regulations, and Yes No ordinances of any kind. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Eurofins Air Toxics against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, of shipping of samples. D.O.T Hotline (800) 467-4922

### **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison 777 New Durham Road Edison, NJ 08817 Tel: (732)549-3900

Laboratory Job ID: 460-196188-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mode Del Your

Authorized for release by: 11/19/2019 12:13:28 PM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396

michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

.....LINKS .....

Review your project results through

Total Access

**Have a Question?** 



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

1

3

4

5

6

8

3

11

12

## **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Detection Summary	5
Client Sample Results	6
Surrogate Summary	7
QC Sample Results	8
QC Association Summary	10
Lab Chronicle	11
Certification Summary	12
Method Summary	13
Sample Summary	14
Chain of Custody	15
Receipt Checklists	17

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Δ

**5** 

\_\_\_\_\_

9

11

14

14

#### **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### **Qualifiers**

#### **GC/MS VOA**

Qualifier Qualifier Description

U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

#### **Glossary**

Abbreviation	These commonly	used abbreviations	may or may not be	present in this report.

Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis

%R Percent Recovery
CFL Contains Free Liquid
CNF Contains No Free Liquid

DER Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)

Dil Fac Dilution Factor

DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)

DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample

DLC Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)

EDL Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)

LOD Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)

LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)

MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

MDL Method Detection Limit
ML Minimum Level (Dioxin)

NC Not Calculated

ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

QC Quality Control

RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

5

6

7

8

11

12

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 460-196188-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

**Narrative** 

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Report Number: 460-196188-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

#### **RECEIPT**

The samples were received on 11/8/2019 9:15 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.7° C.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)**

Samples SUMP-34966STANDISH-01\_110619 (460-196188-1) and TRIP BLANK (460-196188-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260C. The samples were analyzed on 11/14/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GC/MS)**

Sample SUMP-34966STANDISH-01\_110619 (460-196188-1) was analyzed for Volatile organic compounds (GC/MS) in accordance with SW-846 Method 8260C SIM. The samples were analyzed on 11/14/2019.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Job ID: 460-196188-1

3

4

5

6

<del>ا</del>

9

11

13

14

#### **Detection Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Lab Sample ID: 460-196188-2

No Detections.

\_

5

6

8

46

11

13

14

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01\_110619

98

88

98

1.0 U

1.0 U

Date Collected: 11/06/19 11:40

Date Received: 11/08/19 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 460-196188-1

11/14/19 09:11

11/14/19 09:11

11/14/19 09:11

11/14/19 04:19

11/14/19 04:19

**Matrix: Water** 

Dil Fac

Matrix: Water

Method: 8260C SIM - Vol	atile Organic Cor	npounds	(GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.33	ug/L			11/14/19 03:34	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene			72 - 133					11/14/19 03:34	1

Method: 8260C - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds by G	C/MS						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.22	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.25	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.24	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.17	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	83		74 - 132					11/14/19 09:11	1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 460-196188-2

80 - 120

72 - 131

77 - 124

Date Collected: 11/06/19 11:40 Date Received: 11/08/19 09:15

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Trichloroethene

Vinyl chloride

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS Analyte Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit Prepared Analyzed 1,1-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.26 ug/L 11/14/19 04:19 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 0.22 ug/L 1.0 11/14/19 04:19 Tetrachloroethene 1.0 U 1.0 0.25 ug/L 11/14/19 04:19 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 0.24 ug/L 1.0 11/14/19 04:19

Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84	74 - 132		11/14/19 04:19	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98	80 - 120		11/14/19 04:19	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	86	72 - 131		11/14/19 04:19	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	77 - 124		11/14/19 04:19	1

1.0

1.0

0.31 ug/L

0.17 ug/L

6

8

9

11

13

14

#### **Surrogate Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

**Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA** 

			Pe	ercent Surre	ogate Reco
		DCA	TOL	DBFM	BFB
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(74-132)	(80-120)	(72-131)	(77-124)
460-196188-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01_11	83	98	88	98
460-196188-2	TRIP BLANK	84	98	86	98
LCS 460-655167/3	Lab Control Sample	85	102	88	102
LCSD 460-655167/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	81	99	85	98
MB 460-655167/7	Method Blank	85	98	87	97
Surrogate Legend					

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Method: 8260C SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

**Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

			Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)
		BFB	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(72-133)	
460-196188-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01_11	101	<del></del>
LCS 460-655133/3	Lab Control Sample	94	
LCSD 460-655133/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	96	
MB 460-655133/8	Method Blank	97	

BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196188-1 Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

1.0 U

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-655167/7

**Matrix: Water** 

1,1-Dichloroethene

Tetrachloroethene

Trichloroethene

Vinyl chloride

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

Analyte

Analysis Batch: 655167

Client Sample ID: Method Blank **Prep Type: Total/NA** 

11/14/19 03:53

MR MR Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1.0 U 1.0 0.26 ug/L 11/14/19 03:53 1.0 U 1.0 0.22 ug/L 11/14/19 03:53 1.0 U 1.0 0.25 ug/L 11/14/19 03:53 1.0 U 1.0 0.24 ug/L 11/14/19 03:53 1.0 U 1.0 0.31 ug/L 11/14/19 03:53

0.17 ug/L

MR MR Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 85 74 - 132 11/14/19 03:53 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 98 80 - 120 11/14/19 03:53 87 72 - 131 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 11/14/19 03:53 97 4-Bromofluorobenzene 77 - 124 11/14/19 03:53

1.0

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-655167/3

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 655167

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Unit Analyte D %Rec Limits 1,1-Dichloroethene 20.0 17.8 ug/L 89 74 - 123 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 20.0 18.8 80 - 120 ug/L 94 Tetrachloroethene 20.0 19.4 ug/L 97 78 - 122 trans-1.2-Dichloroethene 20.0 17.8 ug/L 89 79 - 120 Trichloroethene 20.0 17.7 ug/L 88 77 - 120Vinyl chloride 20.0 17.4 ug/L 62 - 138

LCS LCS Limits Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 85 74 - 132 102 80 - 120 Toluene-d8 (Surr) Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 88 72 - 131 4-Bromofluorobenzene 102 77 - 124

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-655167/4

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 655167** 

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Prep Type: Total/NA

	Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	20.0	17.8		ug/L		89	74 - 123	0	30
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	17.9		ug/L		89	80 - 120	5	30
Tetrachloroethene	20.0	19.5		ug/L		97	78 - 122	0	30
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	17.4		ug/L		87	79 - 120	3	30
Trichloroethene	20.0	18.1		ug/L		90	77 - 120	2	30
Vinyl chloride	20.0	17.3		ug/L		87	62 - 138	1	30

	LCSD	LCSD	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	81		74 - 132
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		80 - 120
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	85		72 - 131

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

Page 8 of 17

11/19/2019

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-655167/4

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 655167

LCSD LCSD

Method: 8260C SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Surrogate Limits %Recovery Qualifier 4-Bromofluorobenzene 77 - 124 98

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Prep Type: Total/NA

> Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 655133** 

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-655133/8

MB MB

Result Qualifier **MDL** Unit Analyte RL Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 1,4-Dioxane 2.0 U 2.0 0.33 ug/L 11/13/19 22:09

MB MB

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Analyzed Dil Fac Prepared 4-Bromofluorobenzene 97 72 - 133 11/13/19 22:09

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-655133/3 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Water** Prep Type: Total/NA

**Analysis Batch: 655133** 

LCS LCS %Rec. Spike Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 5.00 1.4-Dioxane 5.15 ug/L 103 66 - 135

LCS LCS

%Recovery Qualifier Limits Surrogate 72 - 133 4-Bromofluorobenzene 94

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-655133/4 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 655133** 

Spike LCSD LCSD **RPD** %Rec. Limits Added Result Qualifier RPD Analyte Unit D %Rec Limit 1,4-Dioxane 5.00 5.67 ug/L 113 66 - 135 10 30

LCSD LCSD

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 72 - 133 4-Bromofluorobenzene 96

Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

11/19/2019

#### **QC Association Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Job ID: 460-196188-1

#### **GC/MS VOA**

#### Analysis Batch: 655133

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
460-196188-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01_110619	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	
MB 460-655133/8	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	
LCS 460-655133/3	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	
LCSD 460-655133/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	8260C SIM	

#### Analysis Batch: 655167

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
460-196188-1	SUMP-34966STANDISH-01_110619	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
460-196188-2	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
MB 460-655167/7	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
LCS 460-655167/3	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260C	
LCSD 460-655167/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	8260C	

#### **Lab Chronicle**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Date Collected: 11/06/19 11:40 Matrix: Water

Date Received: 11/08/19 09:15

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C		1	655167	11/14/19 09:11	MZS	TAL EDI
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C SIM		1	655133	11/14/19 03:34	DAS	TAL EDI

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

Lab Sample ID: 460-196188-2

Date Collected: 11/06/19 11:40 Matrix: Water

Date Received: 11/08/19 09:15

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C		1	655167	11/14/19 04:19	MZS	TAL EDI

**Laboratory References:** 

TAL EDI = Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

8\_1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

4 E

#### **Accreditation/Certification Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

#### Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	<b>Expiration Date</b>
Connecticut	State	PH-0200	09-30-20
DE Haz. Subst. Cleanup Act (HSCA)	State	<cert no.=""></cert>	12-31-21
Georgia	State	12028 (NJ)	06-30-20
New Jersey	NELAP	12028	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	11452	04-01-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00522	02-28-20
Rhode Island	State	LAO00132	12-30-19
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-18-00135	05-03-21

#### **Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton**

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State	2927	02-23-20
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-19
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-20
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-20
Illinois	NELAP	004498	07-31-20
lowa	State	421	06-01-20
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-20
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-20
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-19
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-19
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State Program	3506	07-31-21
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-20
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-20
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	06-05-21
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-20
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-20
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-20
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00404	12-28-19
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-20
Washington	State	C971	01-12-20
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-19

#### **Method Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Method **Method Description** Protocol Laboratory 8260C Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS SW846 TAL EDI 8260C SIM Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) SW846 TAL EDI 5030C Purge and Trap SW846 TAL EDI

#### **Protocol References:**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### **Laboratory References:**

TAL EDI = Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

Job ID: 460-196188-1

3

4

J

7

10

11

13

14

15

#### **Sample Summary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

 Lab Sample ID
 Client Sample ID
 Matrix
 Collected
 Received
 Asset ID

 460-196188-1
 SUMP-34966STANDISH-01\_110619
 Water
 11/06/19 11:40
 11/08/19 09:15

 460-196188-2
 TRIP BLANK
 Water
 11/06/19 11:40
 11/08/19 09:15

Job ID: 460-196188-1

3

4

5

7

8

9

1 0

13

14

15

(2001), Teuldheim (Abertania, Sm., Ağ iğlet sewinat Teuldheim & Despo — are teudomatik di Teuldhama (Aberatiide, Sm. elinguished by: ovel IV Reporting ಜರಿಗಾಣಿ ನಟಿ ಸತಕ್ಷಣೆ ಆರ್ಬಿಂಬಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ವಿತಿರವುಗತ್ತಿನ್ನು ನೀಗು ತಿಂಗಾಕಿಣಿದ@ರತ್ನರನ್ನೂ ವಿರಾಣಣ ಸಂಪರ್ಧದ ಸಕ್ಷನೀತಿಕನೇ pecial lastractions/QC Requirements & Comments his bed by 5/90/1-10-H30/M-3/9047-M-01 RE TO E PO#M1001454.0003 roject Number: MI001454.0003 roject Name: Ford LTP City/State/Zip: Novi, MI 48377 hone: 248-994-2240 eddress: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 Client Contact Jan Irriant TestAmerica Laboratory location: N.Canion — 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 / 330-49-9396 l Paison B シ | Shipping/Tracking No: Method of Shipment/Carrier: Email: kristoffer binskry@arcadis.com Telephone: 248-994-2240 Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Regulatory program: \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time Jakaowa Air 460-196188 Chain of Custody Aquestia Seillment MG L Solid 379 0 00 5 natack Others Chain of Custody Record Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed | Return to Client | F | Disposal | TAT trafferst from below

1 3 weeks
2 weeks 112504 Site Contact: Augela DeGrandis 를 Đạy Received in Laboratory by: HNO3 NPDES Analysis Turnaround Time HC NaOH √ I week
√ 2 days
√ 1 day <u>NaO1</u> RCRA Unpres 1/2#9 1.7°C STAL-1055237 e assessed if samples are retained longer i Disposal By Lab Archive For Filtered Sample (Y/N) Composite=C/Grab=G Other 1.1-DCE 8260B /ls-1,2-DCE 8260B Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico Telephone: 330-497-9396 Frans-1,2-DCE 8260B Company: RUSH Company PCE 8280B TCE 8260B Peals Vinyl Chloride 8260B ,4-Dioxana 8260B SIM Date/Time! / SASTINITION OF ob/SDG No: Walk-in clien COC No: BURLLAN Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions: S S

•	1	_
	i	
		1
	i	

EDS-WI-038, Rev 4.1 10/22/2019

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Job Number: 460-196188-1

Login Number: 196188

List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Edison

List Number: 1 Creator: Lysy, Susan

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a survey meter.</td <td>N/A</td> <td></td>	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	1055237
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	Refer to Job Narrative for details.
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	N/A	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	N/A	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

#### DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



November 19, 2019

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: MI001454.0003 ? 30016344 - VI sampling Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: TestAmerica - Edison Laboratory submittal: 196188-1 Sample date: 2019-11-06

Report received by CADENA: 2019-11-19

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2019-11-19

Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water

Test Categories: GCMS VOC and GCMS SVOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, LCS/LCD RPD, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

### **CADENA Valid Qualifiers**

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.



# Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

# **DATA REVIEW**

# Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG #460-196188-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2019-11-19

Analyses Performed By:

TestAmerica Canton, Ohio

Report #35308R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30016344.00007

#### **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 460-196188-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

SDG	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	VOC (Full Scan)	Analysis VOC (SIM)	MISC
460-196188-1	SUMP- 34966STANDISH- 01_110619	460-196188-1	Water	11/6/2019		Х	Х	
	TRIP BLANK	460-196188-2	Water	11/6/2019		X		

#### **ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION**

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted	Performance Acceptable		Not	
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
Sample receipt condition		Х		X		
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х		
Master tracking list		Х		Х		
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х		
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х		
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х		
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х		
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х		
Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х		
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х		
Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		Х		Х		
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		X		

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
  - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
  - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
  - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES**

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 5. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### **DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs**

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM		ported		ormance eptable	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETE	RY (GC/N	/IS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		X	
Tier III Validation		·	·		
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		X	

#### Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:

DATE: December 27, 2019

a Kaz

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: January 3, 2020

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

# NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS

#### **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Job ID: 460-196188-1

Project/Site: Ford LTP Livonia MI - E203631

Client Sample ID: SUMP-34966STANDISH-01\_110619

Lab Sample ID: 460-196188-1 Date Collected: 11/06/19 11:40 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Received: 11/08/19 09:15

Method: 8260C SIM - Volatile (	Organic Co	mpounds (	(GC/MS)					
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.33 ug/L			11/14/19 03:34	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analvzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101		72 - 133			7.7004.04	11/14/19 03:34	1

Surrogate	∕₀Kecovery	Qualifier	LIIIIII				Prepareu	Allalyzeu	DII Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101		72 - 133					11/14/19 03:34	1
Method: 8260C - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds by G	C/MS						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.22	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.25	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.24	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.17	ug/L			11/14/19 09:11	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	83		74 - 132			-		11/14/19 09:11	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		80 - 120					11/14/19 09:11	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	88		72 - 131					11/14/19 09:11	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98		77 - 124					11/14/19 09:11	1

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** 

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Date Collected: 11/06/19 11:40 Date Received: 11/08/19 09:15

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/14/19 04:19	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.22	ug/L			11/14/19 04:19	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.25	ug/L			11/14/19 04:19	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.24	ug/L			11/14/19 04:19	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/14/19 04:19	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.17	ug/L			11/14/19 04:19	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	84		74 - 132					11/14/19 04:19	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	98		80 - 120					11/14/19 04:19	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	86		72 - 131					11/14/19 04:19	1

77 - 124

98

11/14/19 04:19

Lab Sample ID: 460-196188-2

**Matrix: Water**