🛟 eurofins

Environment Testing TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-126390-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

For:

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 3/3/2020 9:26:09 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 michael.delmonico@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.




Table of Contents

| Cover Page | 1 |
|------------------------|----|
| Table of Contents | 2 |
| Definitions/Glossary | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Method Summary | 6 |
| Sample Summary | 7 |
| Detection Summary | 8 |
| Client Sample Results | 9 |
| Surrogate Summary | 11 |
| QC Sample Results | 12 |
| QC Association Summary | 15 |
| Lab Chronicle | 16 |
| Certification Summary | 17 |
| Chain of Custody | 18 |
| | |

Definitions/Glossary

3

Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|--|
| * | LCS or LCSD is outside acceptance limits. |
| U | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. |
| Х | Surrogate is outside control limits |

Glossary

| These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|---|
| Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| Percent Recovery |
| Contains Free Liquid |
| Contains No Free Liquid |
| Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dilution Factor |
| Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| Method Detection Limit |
| Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| Not Calculated |
| Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| Practical Quantitation Limit |
| Quality Control |
| Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| |

TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Project: Ford LTP Off Site

Report Number: 240-126390-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by Eurofins TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. Eurofins TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Eurofins TestAmerica and its client.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 2/15/2020 9:30 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 2.7° C.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS)

Samples TRIP BLANK (240-126390-1) and MW-93S_021320 (240-126390-2) were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 02/20/2020.

1,1-Dichloroethene and Tetrachloroethene failed the recovery criteria high for LCS 240-423576/4. Refer to the QC report for details.

The laboratory control sample (LCS) for 423576 recovered outside control limits for the following analytes: 1,1-Dichloroethene, Tetrachloroethene. These analytes were biased high in the LCS and were not detected in the associated samples; therefore, the data have been reported: TRIP BLANK (240-126390-1), MW-93S_021320 (240-126390-2) and (LCS 240-423576/4).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GCMS SIM)

Sample MW-93S_021320 (240-126390-2) was analyzed for volatile organic compounds (GCMS SIM) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B SIM. The sample was analyzed on 02/26/2020.

Job ID: 240-126390-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton (Continued)

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|-----------|------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 8260B | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL CAN |
| 8260B SIM | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL CAN |
| 5030B | Purge and Trap | SW846 | TAL CAN |

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

| Lah Comple ID | Client Semple ID | Matrix | Collected | Dessived | |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | watrix | Collected | Received | Asset ID |
| 240-126390-1 | TRIP BLANK | Water | 02/13/20 00:00 | 02/15/20 09:30 | |
| 240-126390-2 | MW-93S_021320 | Water | 02/13/20 16:10 | 02/15/20 09:30 | |

| Detection | Summary |
|-----------|---------|
|-----------|---------|

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MW-93S_021320

No Detections.

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-126390-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-126390-2

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 02/13/20 00:00 Date Received: 02/15/20 09:30

Lab Sample ID: 240-126390-1

Matrix: Water

5 6

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.16 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | | - | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 59 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 82 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 84 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-93S_021320 Date Collected: 02/13/20 16:10 Date Received: 02/15/20 09:30

| Method: 8260B SIM - Volati | le Organic Co | mpounds | (GC/MS) | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/L | | | 02/26/20 15:31 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 109 | | 70 - 133 | | | - | | 02/26/20 15:31 | 1 |
| Method: 8260B - Volatile Or | ganic Compo | unds (GC/ | MS) | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.16 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | | - | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |

| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | | 02/20/20 1 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | Prepared | Analyze |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 ug/L | | 02/20/20 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 ug/L | | 02/20/20 1 |

| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|---|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 59 | | 47 - 134 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 | |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 81 | | 69 - 122 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 | |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 84 | | 78 - 129 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 | 5 |

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

5 8

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-126390-2

Surrogate Summary

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Matrix: Water

| | | | Pe | rcent Surro | ogate Recovery | (Acceptance Limits) | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| | | DCA | BFB | TOL | DBFM | | |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | (75-130) | (47-134) | (69-122) | (78-129) | | |
| 240-126390-1 | TRIP BLANK | 83 | 59 | 82 | 84 | | |
| 240-126390-2 | MW-93S_021320 | 83 | 59 | 81 | 84 | | |
| 240-126395-B-2 MS | Matrix Spike | 81 | 82 | 94 | 87 | | |
| 240-126395-B-2 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | 76 | 77 | 90 | 83 | | |
| _CS 240-423576/4 | Lab Control Sample | 90 | 92 | 108 | 101 | | |
| MB 240-423576/7 | Method Blank | 77 | 61 | 79 | 79 | | 8 |
| Surrogate Legend | | | | | | | |
| DCA = 1,2-Dichloroeth | ane-d4 (Surr) | | | | | | |
| BFB = 4-Bromofluorob | enzene (Surr) | | | | | | |
| TOL = Toluene-d8 (Su | rr) | | | | | | |
| DBFM = Dibromofluor | omethane (Surr) | | | | | | |
| lethod: 8260B S | IM - Volatile Organic | Compoun | ds (GC/ | MS) | | | |
| | | | (- | - / | | | |

| | | | Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits) | |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | | DCA | | |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | (70-133) | | |
| 240-126390-2 | MW-93S_021320 | 109 | | |
| 240-126438-G-3 MS | Matrix Spike | 134 X | | |
| 240-126438-G-3 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | 133 | | |
| LCS 240-424320/4 | Lab Control Sample | 105 | | |
| MB 240-424320/5 | Method Blank | 105 | | |
| Surrogate Legend | | | | |

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-423576/7 Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 423576

| | MB | MB | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|-----|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.16 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| | MB | MB | | | | | | | |

| I | | IVIB | INIB | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|
| | Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 77 | | 75 - 130 | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| | 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 61 | | 47 - 134 | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| | Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 79 | | 69 - 122 | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |
| l | Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 79 | | 78 - 129 | | 02/20/20 12:21 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-423576/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 423576

| | Spike | LCS | LCS | | | | %Rec. | |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|---------------------|--|
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 10.0 | 13.0 | * | ug/L | | 130 | 73 - 129 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 10.0 | 11.8 | | ug/L | | 118 | 75 - 124 | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 10.0 | 13.7 | * | ug/L | | 137 | 70 - 125 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 10.0 | 12.1 | | ug/L | | 121 | 74 ₋ 130 | |
| Trichloroethene | 10.0 | 11.5 | | ug/L | | 115 | 71 ₋ 121 | |
| Vinyl chloride | 10.0 | 8.74 | | ug/L | | 87 | 61 - 134 | |

| | LCS | LCS | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 90 | | 75 - 130 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 92 | | 47 - 134 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 108 | | 69 - 122 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 101 | | 78 - 129 |

94

Lab Sample ID: 240-126395-B-2 MS Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 423576

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

| Analysis Batch. 423576 | Sampla | Sample | Spike | MS | MS | | | | %Rec. |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|------|---|------|---------------------|
| Analyte | • | Qualifier | Added | | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1000 | | 10000 | 8450 | | ug/L | | 84 | 64 - 132 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 18000 | | 10000 | 26400 | | ug/L | | 85 | 68 - 121 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1000 | U * | 10000 | 9350 | | ug/L | | 93 | 52 ₋ 129 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1000 | U | 10000 | 9240 | | ug/L | | 92 | 69 ₋ 126 |
| Trichloroethene | 1000 | U | 10000 | 8740 | | ug/L | | 87 | 56 ₋ 124 |
| Vinyl chloride | 7000 | | 10000 | 13500 | | ug/L | | 65 | 49 - 136 |
| | MS | MS | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 81 | | 75 - 130 | | | | | | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 82 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | | |

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Prep Type: Total/NA

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

69 - 122

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

| Method: 8260B - | Volatile | Organic | Compounds | (GC/MS) | (Continued) |
|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| | | | | | |

| Lab Sample ID: 240-12639 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 423576 | 95-B-2 MS | | | | | | CI | ient Sa | mple ID: I Prep Ty | | |
|---|------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|------|----------|------------------------|-----|-------|
| | | MS | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 87 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: 240-1263 Matrix: Water | 95-B-2 MSD | | | | | Client | Samp | le ID: N | latrix Spil Prep Ty | | |
| Analysis Batch: 423576 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | | | | %Rec. | | RPD |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1000 | U * | 10000 | 8640 | | ug/L | | 86 | 64 - 132 | 2 | 35 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 18000 | | 10000 | 25900 | | ug/L | | 80 | 68 - 121 | 2 | 35 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1000 | U * | 10000 | 9370 | | ug/L | | 94 | 52 - 129 | 0 | 35 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1000 | U | 10000 | 8480 | | ug/L | | 85 | 69 - 126 | 9 | 35 |
| Trichloroethene | 1000 | U | 10000 | 8130 | | ug/L | | 81 | 56 - 124 | 7 | 35 |
| Vinyl chloride | 7000 | | 10000 | 13400 | | ug/L | | 64 | 49 - 136 | 1 | 35 |
| | MSD | MSD | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 76 | | 75 - 130 | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 77 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 90 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 83 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | | | | |

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

| Lab Sample ID: MB 240-42 Matrix: Water | 24320/5 | | | | | | | | C | Clie | nt Sa | nple ID: Method Prep Type: To | |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Analysis Batch: 424320 | | | | | | | | | | | | Fiep Type. It | |
| Analysis Batch. 424020 | N | ИВ МВ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Res | ult Qualifi | ier | RL | I | NDL U | Unit | | D | Pi | repared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,4-Dioxane | | 2.0 U | | 2.0 | | 0.86 ī | ug/L | | | | - | 02/26/20 12:03 | 1 |
| | | MB MB | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | | ery Qualifi | ier Limit | c | | | | | | P | repared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | | 05 | 70 - 1 | | | | | | - | | opurou | 02/26/20 12:03 | 1 |
| Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 424320 | 124320/4 | | | | | | | CII | enta | Sar | пріе п | D: Lab Control S Prep Type: To | |
| - | | | Spike | | LCS | LCS | | | | | | %Rec. | |
| Analyte | | | Added | R | Result | Quali | fier | Unit | | D | %Rec | Limits | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | | | 10.0 | | 10.5 | | | ug/L | | _ | 105 | 80 - 135 | |
| | LCS I | LCS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 105 | | 70 - 133 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: 240-12643 | 88-G-3 MS | | | | | | | | | СІ | ient S | ample ID: Matrix | x Spike |
| Matrix: Water | | | | | | | | | | | | Prep Type: To | |
| Analysis Batch: 424320 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Sample S | Sample | Spike | | MS | MS | | | | | | %Rec. | |
| Analyte | Result (| Qualifier | Added | R | Result | Quali | fier | Unit | | D | %Rec | Limits | |
| | 2.0 | | 10.0 | | 9.77 | | | ug/L | | _ | 98 | | |

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

5 6

10

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

| | MS | MS | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------------|-----|--------|---|
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 134 | X | 70 - 133 | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: 240-12643 | | | | | | Client | Samo | | latrix Spil | | licato | |
| Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 424320 | 0-6-3 WOD | | | | | Chefit | Samp | | Prep Ty | | | |
| Analysis Baten. 424020 | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | | | | %Rec. | | RPD | |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 10.0 | 10.9 | | ug/L | | 109 | 46 - 170 | 11 | 26 | |
| | MSD | MSD | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 133 | | 70 - 133 | | | | | | | | | |

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 423576

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 240-126390-1 | TRIP BLANK | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| 240-126390-2 | MW-93S_021320 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| MB 240-423576/7 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| LCS 240-423576/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| 240-126395-B-2 MS | Matrix Spike | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| 240-126395-B-2 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | Total/NA | Water | 8260B | |
| Analysis Batch: 4243 | 320 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |

| | | гіер туре | Wallix | Method Flep Batch | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|---|
| 240-126390-2 | MW-93S_021320 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| MB 240-424320/5 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| LCS 240-424320/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| 240-126438-G-3 MS | Matrix Spike | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | |
| 240-126438-G-3 MSD | Matrix Spike Duplicate | Total/NA | Water | 8260B SIM | 4 |
| | | | | | |

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 02/13/20 00:00 Date Received: 02/15/20 09:30

Analysis

8260B SIM

| Jate Receive | Batch | Batch | | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | | |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----|----------|--------|----------------|---------|---------------------|
| Prep Type | Туре | Method | Run | Factor | Number | or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260B | | 1 _ | 423576 | 02/20/20 16:43 | LEE | TAL CAN |
| Client Sam | ple ID: MW | -93S_021320 | | | | | Lab Sa | mple ID: 240-126390 |
| ate Collecte | d: 02/13/20 1 | 6:10 | | | | | | Matrix: Wat |
| Date Receive | d: 02/15/20 0 | 9:30 | | | | | | |
| - | Batch | Batch | | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | | |
| Prep Type | Туре | Method | Run | Factor | Number | or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260B | | | 423576 | 02/20/20 17:05 | LEE | TAL CAN |

1

424320 02/26/20 15:31 SAM

TAL CAN

Laboratory References:

Total/NA

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

12 13

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off Site

Job ID: 240-126390-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| California | State | 2927 | 02-23-20 * |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0590 | 12-31-19 * |
| Florida | NELAP | E87225 | 06-30-20 |
| Georgia | State | 4062 | 02-23-20 * |
| llinois | NELAP | 004498 | 07-31-20 |
| owa | State | 421 | 06-01-21 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10336 | 04-30-20 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY98016 | 12-31-20 |
| Vinnesota | NELAP | OH00048 | 12-31-20 |
| Minnesota (Petrofund) | State | 3506 | 08-01-21 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | OH001 | 06-30-20 |
| New York | NELAP | 10975 | 03-31-20 |
| Dhio VAP | State | CL0024 | 06-05-21 |
| Dregon | NELAP | 4062 | 02-23-20 * |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00340 | 08-31-20 |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704517-18-10 | 08-31-20 |
| JSDA | US Federal Programs | P330-16-00404 | 12-28-19 * |
| /irginia | NELAP | 010101 | 09-14-20 |
| Washington | State | C971 | 01-12-21 |
| Vest Virginia DEP | State | 210 | 12-31-20 |

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

| Contact lie 500 lie 2.02 | Regulatory program: DW Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Telephone: 248-994-2240 Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com Sampler Name: Sampler Name: Shipping/Tracking No: Shipping/Tracking No: Matrix | DW NPDES RCRA Other Lab | Other Lab Contact: Mile DelMonico | 1 |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| company symmer arcidias Addrew: 28596 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 ClySinte/Zlp: Novi, ML, 48377 Phone: 248-994-2240 Project Number: 30042006.0402.02 Project Number: 30042006.0402.02 PO # 30042006.0402.02 | | Site Contact: Julia McClafferty Telephone: 734-644-5131 Analysis Turnaround Time | Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico | The second |
| Tuylishink 2000 of the source | @arcadis.com | Telephone: 734-644-5131 Analysis Turnaround Time | and a second of the second of the second sec | 1 COC No: |
| -113/51816/2.1р. 1001, м1, 483 / / Plane: 248-094-2240 Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Project Number: 30042006.0402.02 PO # 30042006.0402.02 | шоо | Analysis Turnaround Time | Telephone: 330-497-9396 | 1 of 1 cov. |
| ruone: 248-294-2240 Project Number: Ford LTP Off-Site Project Number: 3004206.0402.02 PO # 30042006.0402.02 | Dr.N. | | Analyses | For lab use only |
| PO # 30642096.0402.02 | | TAT if different from below 3 weeks 10 day 2 weeks 1 week 2 meek | 8 | Walk-in client Lab sampling |
| | | - | 0CE 8560 85608 908 008 | Job/SDG No. |
| Sample Identification | Sample Date Sample Time Airc | Elifered 2000 000000000000000000000000000000000 | Composite 7,11-DCE 82608 Cie-1,2-DCE 6,6-1,2-DCE Cie- | Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions: |
| TRIP BLANK | 1111 | - | XXXXXX | X TTAP RANK |
| Mu (- 355 _ | 2/12/12/04/2/2 | 6 | 6××××× | X 3 VONS, SUCOT SH |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 240-126390 Chain of Custody | of Custody | | |
| | | | | |
| Possible Hazard Identification Non-Hazard Renefal Internation/NC Dominance & Community | t 📄 Poison B Unknown | Sample Disposal (A fee may be asse Return to Client Disp | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) Return to Chent P Disposal By Lab Archive For Mo | month) Months |
| Submit all results through Cadena at jtomalia@cadenaco.com. Cadena #E203531 Level IV Reporting requested. | ı.com. Cadena #E203631 | | | |
| Relinquiched by: | Company: ARCANS ZAJZO | CiD | 5 ETAGE Company: | 5 |
| Reinsurged to BIETAK Phil Pilan | 5106 | 1334 Received by | Jakew | red |
| Keinquished P:: Upllun Maraw | ETAL-MI 2/14/20 | 1445 Received in Labor Harry by: | by: Company: | 2 -15-20 930 |

R

| Canton Facility Cooler nupacked by: Coiler Logic X Site Name | Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative | Login # : 76390 |
|---|--|---|
| Chent AVC (20.15) Shite Name Coloret Received on 2-15 To Opened on 2-15 Other Receipt After-hours Dory-off Date/Time Storage Location Storage Location Receipt After-hours Dory-off Date/Time Storage Location Packing material used: Bd&Bb Wap Foam Plastic Bag None Other COOLANT: Wergte Bue lice Dry lee Water None 1. Cooler temperature upon receipt | Canton Facility | |
| FedEx: 1 ^a Grd Exp UPS FAS Clipper Client Drop Off TestAmerica Courier Other Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time Storage Location TestAmerica Courier Storage Location TestAmerica Courier Storage Location Packing material used: BdbBb Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other Box Other COOLANT: WcyTep Blue Eo Dry Lee Water None Box Corrected Cooler Temp7 *C IR GUN#R-11 (CF +0.9*C) Observed Cooler Temp8 *C Corrected Cooler Temp7 *C 2. Were tamper/custody scals on the outside of the cooler(s)? Yes No NA -Were the scals on the outside of the cooler(s)? Yes No NA -Were the scals on the outside of the cooler(s)? Yes No NA -Were tamper/custody scals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LL1#g/MeHg)? Yes No NA -Were correct bottle(s) papers acompany the sample(s)? Yes No 7. Did all bottle adrive ing good condition (Unbroken)? Yes No 8. Could all bottle bable be resonally who collected the samples clarity identified on the COC? Yes No 9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No 10. subficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes No 10. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Yes No 11. Are these work share sample(s) t | | |
| Note: Storage Location TestAmerica Cooler # | | |
| TestAmerica Cooler # TA- Feam Box Client Cooler Box Other Packing material used: Bdgbb Wrap Feam Pasic Bag None Other | | |
| Packing material used: Bd@bb Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other | | |
| COOLANT: Wertse Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None 1. Cooler temperature upon receipt See Multiple Cooler Form See Multiple Cooler Form "C IR GUNN #R-10 (CF + 49.7°C) Observed Cooler Temp. C Corrected Cooler Temp. "C 2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantiv Yes No NA -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA -Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA 3. Shippers' packing silp attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No NA 6. Wave temper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA 7. Did autsody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No NA 8. Shippers' packing silp attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No NA 7. Did all bottle samples (asting the amples clearly identified on the COC? Yes No No 8. Could all bottle samples? Yes No No No 9. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No No No 10. Sufficient quantity received t | TestAmerica Cooler # Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other | |
| IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 2 ° °C Corrected Cooler Temp. 7 °C IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. °C Corrected Cooler Temp. °C Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity Yes No . Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity Yes No NA . Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity Yes No Did autody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No Did autody papers accimage sign attached to the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity Yes No . Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? Yes No . Did custody papers accimage sign attached to the cooler(s)? Yes No . Were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Yes No . Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No . Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes No . Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No . Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Yes No . Were appreserved sample(s)? Typ Blank Lot # Yes No . Yes No . Were appreserved sample(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Yes No . Was a VOA son the COC? Yes No . Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Yes No . Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # Yes No . Massa VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # MC995364 . Messa Were received after the recommended holding time had expired Sample(s) | COOLANT: Wettee Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None 1. Cooler temperature upon receipt | Form |
| Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? Were tamper/custody seals in the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? Yes (No Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Yes No Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No Ware the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No Ware were acustody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Yes No Ware the custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Yes No Ware were correct bottle sarvie in good condition (Unbroken)? Were vorter bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Yes No Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at analyses? If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. Were VOAs on the COC? Yes No Were VOAs and the COC? Yes No Were Voas anthe COC? Yes No Were VOAs and the COC? Were | IR GUN# IR-10 (CF +0.7 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. 20 °C Corrected Cooler IR GUN #IR-11 (CF +0.9 °C) Observed Cooler Temp. °C Corrected Cooler | er Temp°C |
| Concerning | Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated? Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)? Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised? Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)? Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)? Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place? Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC? Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)? Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC? Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated? Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses? Are these work share samples? If yes, Questions 12-16 have been checked at the originating laboratory. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # | Tess No NA Tess No NA Tess No NA Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving: Tess No Tess No Tess No Tess that are not checked for pH by Receiving: VOAs Oil and Grease TOC Tess No Tess No VOAs Oil and Grease TOC Tess No Tess No |
| 17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES Samples processed by: | | Voice Mail Other |
| 17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES | Concerning | |
| Sample(s) | 17. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES | |
| Sample(s) | | |
| Sample(s) | Sample(s) were received after the recommended he | olding time had expired. |
| Sample(s) | Sample(s) were received | ved in a broken container. |
| Sample(s) were further preserved in the laboratory. Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): | Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 m | m in diameter. (Notify PM) |
| Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): | 19. SAMPLE PRESERVATION | |
| Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): | Sample(c) were | further preserved in the laboratory. |
| | Time preserved: Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): | |
| | | |

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



March 03, 2020

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30042006.0402.02 off site Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 126390-1 Sample date: 2020-02-13 Report received by CADENA: 2020-03-03 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2020-03-03 Number of Samples:2 Sample Matrices:Water Test Categories:GCMS VOC Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

The following minor QC exceptions or missing information were noted:

GCMS VOC QC batch 423576 LCS recoveries were outliers biased high for the following analytes: 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE and TETRACHLOROETHENE. Associated client sample results were non-detect so qualification was not required based on these high bias QC outliers.

GCMS VOC SIM QC batch MS/MSD surrogate recovery outliers were not determined using a client sample so qualification was not required based on these sample-specific QC outliers.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

| Valid Qualifiers | Description |
|---------------------|--|
| < | Less than the reported concentration. |
| > | Greater than the reported concentration. |
| В | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. |
| E | The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated. |
| EMPC | Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only. |
| J | Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies. |
| J- | The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low. |
| JB | NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED |
| JH | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high. |
| JL | The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low. |
| JUB | NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED |
| NJ | Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration. |
| R | Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results. |
| U | Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected. |
| UB | The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. |
| UJ | The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample. |

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica-North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 126390-1

| | | Collection Date | Collection Time | Volatile Organics | 8260B with Single | |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Lab Sample ID | Sample ID | (mm/yy/dd) | (hh:mm:ss) | by GCMS | Ion Monitoring | Comment |
| 2401263901 | TRIP BLANK | 2/13/2020 | 12:00:00 | х | | |
| 2401263902 | MW-935_021320 | 2/13/2020 | 4:10:00 | х | х | |

Analytical Results Summary

Reportable Results Only

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 126390-1

| | Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date: | TRIP BL/ 2401263 2/13/20 | 3901 | | | MW-939 2401263 2/13/20 | _ 3902 | 0 | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| | a b | - I. | Report | | Valid | - II | Report | | Valid |
| Analyte | Cas No. | Result | Limit | Units | Qualifier | Result | Limit | Units | Qualifier |
| GC/MS VOC | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>OSW-8260B</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | e 75-35-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroeth | ene 156-59-2 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroe | ethene 156-60-5 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| Vinyl chloride | 75-01-4 | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | | ND | 1.0 | ug/l | |
| OSW-8260BBSim | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | | | | | ND | 2.0 | ug/l | |



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 240-126390-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2020-03-03

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica Edison, New Jersey

Report #36125R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30042006.0402.02

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-126390-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III) includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

| | | | | Sample | | ļ | Analysis | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------|
| SDG | Sample ID | Lab ID | Matrix | Collection Date | Parent Sample | VOC (Full Scan) | VOC (SIM) | MISC |
| | TRIP BLANK | 240-126390-1 | Water | 2/13/2020 | | х | | |
| 240-126390-1 | MW-93S_021320 | 240-126390-2 | Water | 2/13/2020 | | Х | Х | |

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

| | Rep | orted | | rmance ptable | Not |
|--|-----|-------|----|------------------|----------|
| Items Reviewed | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| 1. Sample receipt condition | | Х | | Х | |
| 2. Requested analyses and sample results | | Х | | X | |
| 3. Master tracking list | | Х | | Х | |
| 4. Methods of analysis | | Х | | Х | |
| 5. Reporting limits | | Х | | Х | |
| 6. Sample collection date | | Х | | Х | |
| 7. Laboratory sample received date | | Х | | Х | |
| 8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable) | | Х | | Х | |
| 9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates | | Х | | Х | |
| 10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form | | Х | | Х | |
| 11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided | | х | | х | |
| 12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance | | Х | | Х | |

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

arcadis.com

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

| Method | Matrix | Holding Time | Preservation |
|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM | Water | 14 days from collection to analysis | Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl |

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

DATA REVIEW

5. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the overall precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 30% for water matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices.

A field duplicate was not performed on a sample within this SDG.

6. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

| VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM | Re | ported | | ormance eptable | Not |
|---|----------|--------|----|--------------------|----------|
| | No | Yes | No | Yes | Required |
| GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMET | RY (GC/I | MS) | | | |
| Tier II Validation | | | | | |
| Holding times/Preservation | | X | | X | |
| Tier III Validation | | | | | |
| System performance and column resolution | | X | | X | |
| Initial calibration %RSDs | | X | | Х | |
| Continuing calibration RRFs | | X | | X | |
| Continuing calibration %Ds | | X | | Х | |
| Instrument tune and performance check | | X | | Х | |
| Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used | | X | | Х | |
| Field Duplicate RPD | | X | | Х | |
| Internal standard | | X | | Х | |
| Compound identification and quantitation | | | | | |
| A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms | | X | | Х | |
| B. Quantitation Reports | | X | | Х | |
| C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows | | X | | X | |
| D. Transcription/calculation errors present | | Х | | X | |
| E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions | | Х | | Х | |

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Andrew Korycinski

SIGNATURE:


a Kap

DATE: March 12, 2020


PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: March 18, 2020

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



| Contact lie 500 lie 2.02 | Regulatory program: DW Client Project Manager: Kris Hinskey Telephone: 248-994-2240 Email: kristoffer.hinskey@arcadis.com Sampler Name: Sampler Name: Shipping/Tracking No: Shipping/Tracking No: Matrix | DW NPDES RCRA Other Lab | Other Lab Contact: Mile DelMonico | 1 |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| company symmer arcidias Addrew: 28596 Cabot Drive, Suite 500 ClySinte/Zlp: Novi, ML, 48377 Phone: 248-994-2240 Project Number: 30042006.0402.02 Project Number: 30042006.0402.02 PO # 30042006.0402.02 | | Site Contact: Julia McClafferty Telephone: 734-644-5131 Analysis Turnaround Time | Lab Contact: Mike DelMonico | The second |
| Tuylishink 2000 of the source | @arcadis.com | Telephone: 734-644-5131 Analysis Turnaround Time | and a second of the second of the second sec | 1 COC No: |
| -113/51816/2.1р. 1001, м1, 483 / / Plane: 248-094-2240 Project Name: Ford LTP Off-Site Project Number: 30042006.0402.02 PO # 30042006.0402.02 | шоо | Analysis Turnaround Time | Telephone: 330-497-9396 | 1 of 1 cov. |
| ruone: 248-294-2240 Project Number: Ford LTP Off-Site Project Number: 3004206.0402.02 PO # 30042006.0402.02 | Dr.N. | | Analyses | For lab use only |
| PO # 30642096.0402.02 | | TAT if different from below 3 weeks 10 day 2 weeks 1 week 2 meek | 8 | Walk-in client Lab sampling |
| | | - | 0CE 8560 85608 908 008 | Job/SDG No. |
| Sample Identification | Sample Date Sample Time Airc | Elifered 2000 000000000000000000000000000000000 | Composite 7,11-DCE 82608 Cie-1,2-DCE 6,6-1,2-DCE Cie- | Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions: |
| TRIP BLANK | 1111 | - | XXXXXX | X TTAP RANK |
| Mu (- 355 _ | 2/12/12/04/2/2 | 6 | 6××××× | X 3 VONS, SUCOT SH |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 240-126390 Chain of Custody | of Custody | | |
| | | | | |
| Possible Hazard Identification Non-Hazard Renefal Internation/NC Dominance & Community | t 📄 Poison B Unknown | Sample Disposal (A fee may be asse Return to Client Disp | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) Return to Chent P Disposal By Lab Archive For Mo | month) Months |
| Submit all results through Cadena at jtomalia@cadenaco.com. Cadena #E203531 Level IV Reporting requested. | ı.com. Cadena #E203631 | | | |
| Relinquiched by: | Company: ARCANS ZAJZO | CiD | 5 ETAGE Company: | 5 |
| Reinsurged to BIETAK Phil Pilan | 5106 | 1334 Received by | Jakew | Lun |
| Keinquished P:: Upllun Maraw | ETAL-MI 2/14/20 | 1445 Received in Labor Harry by: | by: Company: | 2 -15-20 930 |

R

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Date Collected: 02/13/20 00:00 Date Received: 02/15/20 09:30

Lab Sample ID: 240-126390-1

Matrix: Water

5 6

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.16 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | | - | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 59 | | 47 - 134 | | | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 82 | | 69 - 122 | | | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 84 | | 78 - 129 | | | | | 02/20/20 16:43 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-93S_021320 Date Collected: 02/13/20 16:10 Date Received: 02/15/20 09:30

| Method: 8260B SIM - Volati | ile Organic Co | mpounds | (GC/MS) | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------|----------|------|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Analyte | - | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/L | | | 02/26/20 15:31 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 109 | | 70 - 133 | | | - | | 02/26/20 15:31 | 1 |
| Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.16 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 1.0 | U * | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.19 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/L | | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | | - | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |

| Surrogate 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | %Recovery 83 | Qualifier | Limits 75 - 130 | | Prepared | Analyze |
|--|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Vinyl chloride | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.20 ug/L | | 02/20/20 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | 0.10 ug/L | | 02/20/20 1 |

| Surrogate | %Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 | | 75 - 130 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 59 | | 47 - 134 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 81 | | 69 - 122 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 84 | | 78 - 129 | | 02/20/20 17:05 | 1 |

```
Lab Sample ID: 240-126390-2
                  Matrix: Water
```

5

8

Job ID: 240-126390-1