🛟 eurofins

Environment Testing America

1

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

Laboratory Job ID: 240-149708-1

Client Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

For:

.....Links

Review your project results through

Total Access

Have a Question?

Ask-

The

www.eurofinsus.com/Env

Visit us at:

Expert

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 28550 Cabot Drive Suite 500 Novi, Michigan 48377

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey

Mole Del your

Authorized for release by: 6/4/2021 11:12:44 AM

Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I (330)497-9396 Michael.DelMonico@Eurofinset.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	5
Sample Summary	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Surrogate Summary	10
QC Sample Results	11
QC Association Summary	13
Lab Chronicle	14
Certification Summary	15
Chain of Custody	16

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA Qualitier Qualitier Description 4 Qualitier Indicates the analyte dor but not detected. 5 Clossary These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. 6 a Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis 6 a Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis 7 b Percent Recovery 7 CFL Contains Free Liquid 7 CFU Contains Free Liquid 7 DE Contains Free Liquid absolute difference) 9 DI A Detection Limit (DO/DOE) 9 DL Detection Limit (DO/DOE) 9 DL Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) 10 DO Limit of Detection Limit (DO/DOE) 10 DL Estimated Detection Limit (Radiochemistry) 10 DD Limit of Detection Limit (DO/DOE) 10 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) 10 DD Limit of Detection Limit (Radiochemistry) 10 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)	Qualifiers		3
U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. Glossary These commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. With the constraint of the Column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis Image: Commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. With the constraint of the Column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis Image: Commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. With the constraint of the Column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis Image: Commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. With the constraint of the constraint of the designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis Image: Commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. With the constraint of the constraint of the designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis Image: Commonly used abbraviations may or may not be present in this report. Dist Constraints No Free Liquid Containts No Free Liquid Image: Commonly used abbraviation analysis of the sample DL Dector Limit (DoD/DOE) Image: Commonly used abbraviation (Radiochemistry) Image: Commonly used abbraviation (Radiochemistry) DD Limit of Committee (Down) Image: Committee (Down) Image: Commonly deside (Radiochemistry)	GC/MS VOA		
Glossary Image: Second Secon	Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
Abbreviation These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this reported on a dry weight basis Image: Commonly used abbreviations for the present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or bre present in this report. Image: Commonly used abbreviations may or breviations may or breviations may or breviation (Commonly CoDE) Image: Commonly used abbreviation (Commonly USOC) Image: Commonly used abbreviation (Commonly USOC) Image: Commonly USOC May or breviation	U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.	
a Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis Image: Column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis %R Percent Recovery 7 CFL Contains Pree Liquid 7 DR Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) 8 DI Fac Dilution Factor 9 DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) 9 DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample 10 DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) 10 11 11 DLO Detection (DoD/DOE) 11 11 DL Detection (DoD/DOE) 11 11 11 DLO Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) 11 11 DLO Limit of Quantitation (Dod/DOE) 11 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) 11 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) 11 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) 11 11 MDA Meth	Glossary		5
Recent Recovery 7 CFL Contains Free Liquid 7 CFU Coltains NFree Liquid 8 CFU Contains NF Free Liquid 8 DER Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) 9 DI a Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) 9 DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) 9 DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) 10 DL Estimated Detection (Radiochemistry) 10 DOC Limit of Detection (Radiochemistry) 10 LO Limit of Coortration (Radiochemistry) 10 LO Limit of Coortration (Radiochemistry) 10 LO Limit of Coortration (Radiochemistry) 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) 11 MDA	Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.	
CFLContains Free LiquidImage: CFU construction of the construction of th	¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis	
CFUColony Forming Unit8CNFContains No Free Liquid8DERUplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)9DI FacDilution Factor9DLDetection Limit (Dob/DOE)9DL, RA, RE, INIndicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample9DLDecision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)9EDLDecision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)9DDLimit of Detection (Dob/DOE)9MDAUninum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)9MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)10MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)10MDAMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)11MDAMost Probable Number11MDAMost Probable Number12MDAMost Probable Number12MDANot Detectiad It for MDL or EDL if shown)12NCNot Calculated14NCNot Calculated14NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)14NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)15NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)15NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)15NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)15NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)16<	%R	Percent Recovery	
CNFContains No Free Liquid8DERDuplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)9Dil FacDitution Factor9DLDetection Limit (DoD/DOE)DL, RA, RE, INIndicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample10DLCDetection Limit (DoD/DOE)DLEstimated Detection (Initi (DiOxin)11LODEstimated Detection (Initi (DiOxin)11LODEstimated Detection (DoD/DOE)11LODLimit of Detection (DoD/DOE)11LOQLimit of Cuantitation (Radiochemistry)11MDLEPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"11MDAMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)11MDLMethod Detection Limit11MDLMethod Detection Limit (Radiochemistry)11MDLMethod Quantitation Limit12MDNMost Probable Number11MQLNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)12NCNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)13NEGNegative / Absent14POSPositive / Present14PRESPresumptive14QCQuality Control14RENRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)14RLRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)14RLRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)14RLRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)14 <t< td=""><td>CFL</td><td>Contains Free Liquid</td><td></td></t<>	CFL	Contains Free Liquid	
DERDuplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)Image: Section Sectin Section Section Section Secti	CFU	Colony Forming Unit	0
Dil FacDilution Factor9DLDetection Limit (DoD/DOE)DL, RA, RE, INIndicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sampleDLCDecision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)EDLEstimated Detection (DoD/DOE)LOQLimit of Detection (DoD/DOE)LOQLimit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)MCLEPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDLMethod Detection LimitMLMonimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDLMethod Detection LimitMLMonimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDLMethod Quantitation LimitMLMonimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDLMethod Quantitation LimitMLMonimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDLMethod Quantitation LimitMLMonimum Level (Dioxin)MPNMost Probable NumberMQLNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NEGNegative / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RLRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLRelative Prorent Differ	CNF	Contains No Free Liquid	0
DL Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample 11 DL C Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) 11 EDL Estimated Detection (DoD/DOE) 11 LOQ Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) 11 LOQ Limit of Quantitation (DOD/DOE) 11 MCL EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) 11 MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) 11 MDL Method Detection Limit 11 ML Minimum Level (Dioxin) 11 MDA Minimum Level (Dioxin) 11 MDA Moinimum Level (Dioxin) 11 MDA No to detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) 11 ND No to Detectael at the reporting Limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) 11 ND No to Detectael at the reporting Limit (Or MDL or EDL if shown) 11 POS Positive / Present 12 PQL Practical Quantitation Limit 12 <td>DER</td> <td>Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)</td> <td></td>	DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)	
DL, RA, RE, IN Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample Image: Construction (Radiochemistry) DLC Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) Image: Construction (DoD/DOE) EDL Estimated Detection Limit (Doxin) Image: Construction (DoD/DOE) LQQ Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) Image: Construction (DoD/DOE) MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) Image: Construction (Radiochemistry) MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) Image: Construction (Radiochemistry) MDA Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) Image: Construction (Radiochemistry) MDA Mothod Detection Limit Construction (Construction (C	Dil Fac	Dilution Factor	9
DLC Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) EDL Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) LOQ Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MCL EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDA Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDL Method Detection Limit Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDL Method Detection Limit (Concentration (Radiochemistry) Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDL Method Detection Limit Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDL Method Quantitation Limit Image: Concentration (Radiochemistry) MQL Most Probable Number Image: Concentration Limit NC Not Calculated Image: Concentration Limit (Or MDL or EDL if shown) NEG Not Calculated Image: Concentration Limit (Or MDL or EDL if shown) NEG Not Calculated Image: Concentration Limit (Or MDL or EDL if shown) NEG Not Calculated Image: Concentration Limit (Or MDL or EDL if shown) NEG Not Calculated Image: Concentration Limit (Or MDL or EDL i	DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)	
EDLEstimated Detection Limit (Dixin)1LODLimit of Detection (DoD/DOE)LOQLimit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)1MCLEPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"1MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)1MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)1MDLMethod Detection Limit1MLMinimum Level (Dixin)1MPNMost Probable Number1MQLMethod Quantitation Limit1NCNot Calculated1NDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)1NEGNegative / Absent1POSPositive / Present1PQLPractical Quantitation Limit1PRESPresumptive1QCQuality Control1RERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)1RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)1RLRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)1RLRelative Error Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points1<	DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample	
LODLimit of Detection (DoD/DOE)LOQLimit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)MCLEPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)MDLMethod Detection LimitMLMinimum Level (Dioxin)MPNMost Probable NumberMQLMethod Quantitation LimitNCNot CalculatedNDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)TLFToxicity Equivalent Actor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivale	DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
LQQLimit of Quantitation (Do/DOE)1MCLEPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"1MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)1MDCMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)1MDLMethod Detection Limit1MLMinimum Level (Dioxin)1MPNMost Probable Number1MQLMethod Quantitation Limit1NCNot Calculated1NDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)1NEGNegative / Absent1POSPositive / Present1PQLPractical Quantitation Limit1PRESPresumptive1QCQuality Control1RERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)1RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)1RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points1TEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)1	EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)	
MCLEPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)1MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)1MDLMethod Detection Limit1MLMinimum Level (Dioxin)1MPNMost Probable Number1MQLMethod Quantitation Limit1NCNot Calculated1NDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)1NEGNegative / Absent1POSPositive / Present1PQLPractical Quantitation Limit1PRESPresumptive1QCQuality Control1RERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)1RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)1RPDRelative Procent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points1TEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)1	LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)	
MDAMinimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)1MDCMinimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)1MDLMethod Detection Limit1MLMinimum Level (Dioxin)1MPNMost Probable Number1MQLMethod Quantitation Limit1NCNot Calculated1NDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)1NEGNegative / Absent1POSPositive / Present1PQLPractical Quantitation Limit1PRESPresumptive1QCQuality Control1RERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)1RLRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points1TEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)1TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)1	LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)	
MDC Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) MDL Method Detection Limit ML Minimum Level (Dioxin) MPN Most Probable Number MQL Method Quantitation Limit NC Not Calculated ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) NEG Negative / Absent POS Positive / Present PQL Practical Quantitation Limit PRES Presumptive QC Quality Control RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"	
MDL Method Detection Limit Minimum Level (Dioxin) Item in the interpreter of the interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference between two points Item interpreter of the relative difference	MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)	13
MLMinimum Level (Dioxin)MPNMost Probable NumberMQLMethod Quantitation LimitNQLNethod Quantitation LimitNCNot CalculatedNDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NEGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
MPNMost Probable NumberMQLMethod Quantitation LimitNCNot CalculatedNDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NEGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Precent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	MDL	Method Detection Limit	
MQLMethod Quantitation LimitNCNot CalculatedNDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NEGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Precent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)	ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)	
NCNot CalculatedNDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NEGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	MPN	Most Probable Number	
NDNot Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)NEGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	MQL	Method Quantitation Limit	
NEGNegative / AbsentPOSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	NC	Not Calculated	
POSPositive / PresentPQLPractical Quantitation LimitPQLPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)	
PQLPractical Quantitation LimitPRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	NEG	Negative / Absent	
PRESPresumptiveQCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	POS	Positive / Present	
QCQuality ControlRERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit	
RERRelative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	PRES	Presumptive	
RLReporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)RPDRelative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two pointsTEFToxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)TEQToxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	QC	Quality Control	
RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)	
TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)	
TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points	
	TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)	
TNTC Too Numerous To Count	TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	
	TNTC	Too Numerous To Count	

Job ID: 240-149708-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Narrative

Job Narrative 240-149708-1

Case Narrative

Comments

No additional comments.

Receipt

The samples were received on 5/20/2021 8:00 AM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.6° C.

GC/MS VOA

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOA Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Job ID: 240-149708-1

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
8260B SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL CAN
5030B	Purge and Trap	SW846	TAL CAN

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	
		Watrix			Asset ID
240-149708-1	TRIP BLANK_116	Water	05/18/21 00:00	05/19/21 08:00	
240-149708-2	MW-127S_051821	Water	05/18/21 09:27	05/19/21 08:00	

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_116

Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-1

Job ID: 240-149708-1

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MW-127S_051821Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-2AnalyteResultQualifierRLMDLUnitDil FacDMethodPrep TypeVinyl chloride1.91.00.20ug/L-10.20

6/4/2021

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_116 Date Collected: 05/18/21 00:00 Date Received: 05/19/21 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-1

Matrix: Water

5 6

8 9

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107		75 - 130			-		05/29/21 13:56	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	102		47 - 134					05/29/21 13:56	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	107		69 - 122					05/29/21 13:56	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	112		78 - 129					05/29/21 13:56	1

Client Sample ID: MW-127S_051821 Date Collected: 05/18/21 09:27 Date Received: 05/19/21 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-2

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/24/21 22:27	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	85		70 - 133			-		05/24/21 22:27	1
Method: 8260B - Volatile O	Jrganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 14:43	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/29/21 14:43	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/29/21 14:43	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 14:43	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/29/21 14:43	1
Vinyl chloride	1.9		1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/29/21 14:43	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	110		75 - 130			-		05/29/21 14:43	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		47 - 134					05/29/21 14:43	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	108		69 - 122					05/29/21 14:43	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	116		78 - 129					05/29/21 14:43	1

Surrogate Summary

81

82

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) **Matrix: Water**

Lab Control Sample

Method Blank

					ogate Recovery (Ac	ceptance Limits)
		DCA	BFB	TOL	DBFM	
_ab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(75-130)	(47-134)	(69-122)	(78-129)	
240-149708-1	TRIP BLANK_116	107	102	107	112	
40-149708-2	MW-127S_051821	110	104	108	116	
240-149874-J-2 MS	Matrix Spike	98	102	104	103	
240-149874-R-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	94	102	105	103	
_CS 240-488191/5	Lab Control Sample	106	111	110	113	
AB 240-488191/7	Method Blank	108	104	107	112	
Surrogate Legend						
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroet	hane-d4 (Surr)					
BFB = 4-Bromofluoro	benzene (Surr)					
TOL = Toluene-d8 (S	urr)					
DBFM = Dibromofluo	romethane (Surr)					
lethod: 8260B S	SIM - Volatile Organic	Compoun	ds (GC/	MS)		
atrix: Water						Prep Type: Total/NA
			Pe	ercent Surro	ogate Recovery (Ac	ceptance Limits)
		DCA				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Olivert Commiss ID	(70 422)				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(70-133)				

LCS 240-487235/4 MB 240-487235/5

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-488191/7 **Matrix: Water**

Analysis Batch: 488191

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 13:33	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/29/21 13:33	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/29/21 13:33	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 13:33	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/29/21 13:33	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/29/21 13:33	1

	MB	МВ				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	108		75 - 130		05/29/21 13:33	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		47 - 134		05/29/21 13:33	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	107		69 - 122		05/29/21 13:33	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	112		78 - 129		05/29/21 13:33	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-488191/5 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 488191

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,1-Dichloroethene	25.0	24.3		ug/L		97	73 - 129	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	25.0	26.8		ug/L		107	75 - 124	
Tetrachloroethene	25.0	28.2		ug/L		113	70 - 125	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	25.0	26.7		ug/L		107	74 - 130	
Trichloroethene	25.0	27.7		ug/L		111	71_121	
Vinyl chloride	25.0	29.4		ug/L		117	61 - 134	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		75 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	111		47 - 134
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	110		69 - 122
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	113		78 - 129

102 104

Lab Sample ID: 240-149874-J-2 MS **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 488191

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	20.9		ug/L		83	64 - 132
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	23.3		ug/L		93	68 - 121
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	24.8		ug/L		99	52 - 129
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	22.5		ug/L		90	69 - 126
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	23.7		ug/L		95	56 - 124
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	25.0	25.2		ug/L		101	49 - 136
	MS	MS							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits						
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		75 - 130						
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	102		47 - 134						

69 - 122

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Limits

78 - 129

Matrix: Water

Job ID: 240-149708-1

	C 1	iont Co		Motrix (Spike	
	CI	ient Sa	mple ID: I Prep Ty			4
						5
						6
Client S	Samp	le ID: N	latrix Spil Prep Ty			7
			%Rec.	pe. Tot	RPD	8
Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	0
ug/L		89	64 - 132	6	35	9
ug/L		97	68 - 121	4	35	40
ug/L		106	52 - 129	6	35	10
ug/L		95	69 - 126	5	35	
ug/L		100	56 - 124	5	35	11
ug/L		111	49 - 136	10	35	12
						13
						14

%Recovery Qualifier Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Analysis Batch: 488191

Lab Sample ID: 240-149874-R-2 MSD **Matrix: Water** Analysis Batch: 488191

Lab Sample ID: 240-149874-J-2 MS

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	22.1		ug/L		89	64 - 132	6	35
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	24.3		ug/L		97	68 - 121	4	35
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	26.4		ug/L		106	52 - 129	6	35
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	23.6		ug/L		95	69 - 126	5	35
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	25.0	25.0		ug/L		100	56 - 124	5	35
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	25.0	27.7		ug/L		111	49 - 136	10	35
	MSD	MSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		75 - 130								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	102		47 - 134								
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	105		69 - 122								
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		78 - 129								

Method: 8260B SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

MS MS

103

Matrix: Water	87235/5									ple ID: Methoo Prep Type: T	
Analysis Batch: 487235											
	MB	MB									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	М	IDL Un	t	D	Р	repared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0).86 ug/	L				05/24/21 14:36	
	MB	MB									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits					P	repared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	82		70 - 133						-	05/24/21 14:36	1
	487235/4						Client	: Sai	mple ID:	Lab Control	Sample
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4 Matrix: Water	487235/4						Client	: Sa	mple ID:	Lab Control S Prep Type: T	
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4	487235/4		Spike	LCS	LCS		Client	: Sai	mple ID:		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 487235	487235/4		Spike Added	LCS Result				: Sai D	mple ID: %Rec	Prep Type: T	
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 487235	487235/4		•				it			Prep Type: To %Rec.	
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 487235 Analyte	487235/4 	 S	Added	Result		r <u>Uni</u>	it		%Rec	Prep Type: To %Rec. Limits	
Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 487235 Analyte			Added	Result		r <u>Uni</u>	it		%Rec	Prep Type: To %Rec. Limits	

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 487235

Lab Sample ID 240-149708-2	Client Sample ID MW-127S_051821	Prep Type Total/NA	Matrix Water	Method 8260B SIM	Prep Batch
MB 240-487235/5	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	
LCS 240-487235/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B SIM	

Analysis Batch: 488191

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-149708-1	TRIP BLANK_116	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-149708-2	MW-127S_051821	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
MB 240-488191/7	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
LCS 240-488191/5	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-149874-J-2 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B	
240-149874-R-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B	

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_116 Date Collected: 05/18/21 00:00 Date Received: 05/19/21 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B			488191	05/29/21 13:56	SAM	TAL CAN
lient Samp	ole ID: MW	-127S_05182	1				Lab Sa	mple ID
ate Collected	d: 05/18/21 0	9:27						
ate Received	l: 05/19/21 0	8:00						
	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
	_	•• •• •	_				.	

	Daten	Daten		Dilution	Daton	Fiepaieu			
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	488191	05/29/21 14:43	SAM	TAL CAN	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B SIM		1	487235	05/24/21 22:27	CS	TAL CAN	

Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

Client: ARCADIS U.S., Inc. Project/Site: Ford LTP Off-Site Job ID: 240-149708-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date	
California	State	2927	02-23-22	
Connecticut	State	PH-0590	12-31-21	
Florida	NELAP	E87225	06-30-21	
Georgia	State	4062	02-23-22	
Illinois	NELAP	200004	07-31-21	
lowa	State	421	06-01-21	
Kansas	NELAP	E-10336	04-30-21 *	
Kentucky (UST)	State	112225	02-23-22	
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98016	12-31-21	
Minnesota	NELAP	OH00048	12-31-21	
Minnesota (Petrofund)	State	3506	08-01-21	
New Jersey	NELAP	OH001	06-30-21	
New York	NELAP	10975	03-31-22	
Ohio VAP	State	CL0024	12-21-23	
Oregon	NELAP	4062	02-23-22	
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00340	08-31-21	
Texas	NELAP	T104704517-18-10	08-31-21	
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-18-00281	09-17-21	
Virginia	NELAP	010101	09-14-21	
Washington	State	C971	01-12-22	
West Virginia DEP	State	210	12-31-21	

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

15/16

Chain of Custody Record

~ ~~~~	,		A 74	Ţę	∋st≁	4me	er	ica
		1 A A A			- (C.)) - () - () - () - () - () - () - ()			
IVILS	<u>c</u> &	<u>in</u> i	<u> n</u>	¥.	Aξ	3N/N	N3	NC
	1	90						

TestAmerica Laboratory location	Brighton	10448 Citation Drive,	Suite 2007	Brighton, MI 48116	/ 810-229-2763

1	Client Contact	Regula	tory program			1	DW		NPDE	S		RC	RA		Other	r /~~	00400					*******	• 1	9(ł.		
Comp	any Name- Arcadis														OTAC	•							Å.	7(7		TestAmerica Laboratories
Addr	ess: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500	Client Project 1	Manager [,] Kris	Hinsl	key			Site	Conta	ct: Ju	ulia Me	cCla	ifferty				Lab C	ab Contact: Mike DelMonico								COC No:	
City/5	State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377	Telephone: 248	8-994-2240					Tel	phone	: 734	-644-5	131					Telep	hone:	330-4	97-93	96						
	248-994-2240	Email: kristoff	ler.hinskey@ar	rcadis	.com	I	Analysis Turnaround Time							Analyses							1 of 1 COCs For lab use only						
		Sampler Name	r Name TAT di ent from be sw								Γ			Τ		T	Walk-in client										
Proje	ct Name. Ford 1 TP Off-Site		NSON Hartz						3 w ≠ 2 w	'eeks		-											Ì				
Proje	ct Number: 30080642.402.04	Method of Ship		Carrier-				10 day		l w	reck	•		0			_				Σ					Lab sampling	
PO#	30080642,402,04	Shipping/Tracl	king No:					-			2 da 1 da			Sample (Y / N)	C/Grab=G		B	260B			60B	B SI					Job/SDG No:
<u> </u>		ļ		T		Matr		_	Conto	Inches	& Pres	ĺ.		iple (3	30B	826(E B			e 82	8260					200/SLAGINO:
				siidus.		l l		di shinat				I	aves	t San	site-	E 82(DCE	Å,	60B	608	lorid	cane					
	Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Air	Aquesus	Sediment	Solid Other	H2SO4	EONH	HCI NaOH	NaOH ZaAg	Unpres	Other	Filtered	Composite	1 1-DCE 8260B	cis-1 2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE 8260B	PCE 8260B	TCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B	1,4-Dioxane 8260B SIM					Sample Specific Notes Special Instructions:
1	RIP BLANK_116				x			T	Ź	1				Ν	6	Х	X	X	Х	X	X	x			1	Ì	1 Trip Blank
M	N-1275_051821	5118/21	9 27		X					6				N	6	X	Х	X	X	X	X	1				1	3 VOAs for 8260B
				1				+			-	+							$\widehat{}$		<u> </u>	1				+	3 VOAs for 8260B SI
 	n an										111111	11 DI	i I N AMA	l. Ditano		12 20					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	-			
	and the second					\vdash																	<u> </u>	-	_		ovanie
;																											
									_24	10-14	4970	8 C	hain of												1		
				╉┤				┼─	ſ	I	1	3		ous	ioay				~ 3				+	-	+	-	
								4_		_	_		ļ]											
L	A																										
								1				\uparrow		1									+	+			
				╂──				+		_		-		\vdash								ļ	_	1	-		
Pa	stble Hazard Identification																							-			
v (Non-Hazard lammable cin Irritant	Poise	on B	Unk	nown	1		S	ample Re	Dispo eturn t	o <mark>sal (</mark> A to Clie	A fee nt	may be : I₽ D	assess Dispos	ed if s al By l	a mpi Lah	es are	retaii Ai	ted lot rcht 'e	iger t For [han 1		h) Ionths				
1	al Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:																										
Subri Level	nit all results through Cadena at jtomalia@cadenaco. IV Reporting requested.	com. Cadena #	E203631																								
Relinq	a shed by ALLUVA	Cimpany AY(U	Idis		Datı. S	/Time	2/21	110	00	Ru	ived	1 by: \7 1	(6)	1	(1	NO	10			Comp	any γγ(<u>(</u>	4			··	Date/Time.
Relinq	uished by Charton the	Company.	AOIS		Date F5	/Time	171 /	1 (3		Re	cerved	y v Jby			<u>T e</u>	$\frac{n}{2}$		7		T Comp		$\overline{\mathcal{D}}$	<u>-119</u> 2	¥			5 18/21 14 Date/Time:
Reling	Wished by Bodth M	Company	27 27		Date	Time	101	K	2	Re	eceiver		Laborato	ry by	Ļ	XA	a	# Cr	4	Г	any 1	Δ					3/19/24 95 Date/Time:/
	cover junior			1	4	14	01	120	20		-da	Д	<u>></u>	\square	1	1				2	_1	Æ	~				LS-AD-21 9



Eurofins TestAmerica Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative	Login # : 149708
Client Arcadib 20 col Site Name	Cooler unpacked by
	Trant C
Cooler Received on <u>S719-21</u> Soft Opened on <u>S-20 2</u> FedEx. 1 st Grd Exp UPS FAS (Clipper Chent Drop Off TestAmerica Courier	
Receipt After-hours Drop-off Date/Time Storage Location	Other
TestAmerica Cooler # Foam Box Client Cooler Box Other	
Packing material used Rubble Wrap Foam Plastic Bag None Other	9999997822-1
COOLANT Wetlice Blue Ice Dry Ice Water None	
1 Coole#temperature upon receipt Coole#temperature upon receipt See Multiple Cooler For	m , /
1 Coole#temperature upon receipt IR GUN# IR-11 (CF +0.1 °C) Observed Cooler Temp	Temp <u>/ 6°C</u>
IR GUN #IR-12 (CF +0.2°C) Observed Cooler Temp C Corrected Cooler	
	No Tests that are not
	checked for pH by
	No NA
	NO NA No VOAs
	No Oil and Grease
	No TOC
	No
	No
8 Could all bottle labels (ID/Date/Time) be reconciled with the COC?	No
9 For each sample, does the COC specify preservatives (X/N), # of containers (X/N), and sa	mple type of grab/comp(Y/N)?
10 Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?	
	No
	No
If yes, Questions 13-17 have been checked at the originating laboratory	No GIR HIGH I HAR HERE
	No (NA) pH Strip Lot# <u>HC022887</u> No
	NO NA
	No
	No
Contacted PM Date by via Verbal V	bice Mail Other
Concerning	
18. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES additional next page	Samples processed by
19 SAMPLE CONDITION	
Sample(s) were received after the recommended holdin	ig time had expired
Sample(s) were received	in a broken container
Sample(s) were received with bubble >6 mm in	diameter (Notify PM)
20. SAMPLE PRESERVATION	
Sample(s) were furt	her preserved in the laboratory
Sample(s)	preserve in the habitatory
VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen	

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



June 04, 2021

Kris Hinskey Arcadis Inc 10559 Citation Ave Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631 Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater Project number: 30080642.402.04_W01 OFF-SITE GW Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory submittal: 149708-1 Sample date: 2021-05-18 Report received by CADENA: 2021-06-04 Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2021-06-04 Number of Samples: 1 Water and 1 trip blank Sample Matrices: Water Test Categories: GCMS VOC **Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.**

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <u>http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm</u>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Inc, 1099 Highland Drive, Suite E, Ann Arbor, MI 48108 517-819-0356

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
В	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or 10x for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
Е	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
ЛН	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $5x$ (or $10x$ for common lab contaminates) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than $10x$ the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Analytical Results Summary

CADENA Project ID: E203631 Laboratory: TestAmerica - North Canton Laboratory Submittal: 149708-1

		Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Sample Date:	TRIP BLA 2401497 5/18/20	7081	5		MW-127 2401497 5/18/20		21	
				Report		Valid		Report		Valid
	Analyte	Cas No.	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier	Result	Limit	Units	Qualifier
GC/MS VOC OSW-8260	JB									
0511 0200	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l		ND	1.0	ug/l	
	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l		1.9	1.0	ug/l	
<u>OSW-8260</u>	<u>DBBSim</u>									
	1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1					ND	2.0	ug/l	



Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

DATA REVIEW

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 240-149708-1 CADENA Verification Report: 2021-06-04

Analyses Performed By: TestAmerica North Canton, Ohio

Report # 41723R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30080642.402.04

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-149708-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III) includes a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

			Sample Collection		Ana	lysis	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Parent Sample	voc	VOC SIM	
TRIP BLANK_116	240-149708-1	Water	05/18/21		Х		
MW-127S_051821	240-149708-2	Water	05/18/21		Х	Х	

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Rep	orted		mance ptable	Not
Items Reviewed	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3. Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4. Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5. Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6. Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7. Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		х		х	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260B and 8260B SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
 - J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260B/8260B-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the overall precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 30% for water matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices.

A field duplicate sample was not collected for samples from this SDG.

6. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260B/8260B-SIM	Rep	orted	Perfo Acce	Not	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (G	C/MS)				
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					1
System performance and column resolution		Х		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Field Duplicate RPD	Х				Х
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		Х		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		Х		Х	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		Х		Х	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		Х		Х	
Notes:					

<u>Notes:</u>

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY:	Hrishikesh Upadhyaya
SIGNATURE:	Curindialueda L
DATE:	June 24, 2021

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski
DATE: June 25, 2021

NO CORRECTIONS/QUALIFERS ADDED TO SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



15/16

Chain of Custody Record

Į,	5110				0	Chain	i of	f Ci	ust	tody	R	ecor	d										er av			• •	Ţe	
Test Client Contact Company Name [,] Arcadis	America Labor: Regula	atory location tory program		nton		48 Citati	on Di		Suite DES			nton, M	1 481		/ 810- Othe		2763				<u>M</u>		<u>~</u> ^ - 1	ند 9(ا	U/ ()			AL JNN NJ NC
Address: 28550 Cabot Drive, Suite 500		Manager [,] Kris	Hinsk	ey						: Julia	talah serengai sereng		у				Lab (Conta	et: M	ike Do	Mon	ico						FestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. COC No:
City/State/Zip: Novi, MI, 48377 Phone: 248-994-2240	Telephone: 248	6-994-2240 fer.hinskey@ar	cadis.	com			Те			734-64 5 Turns			anta 1				Telej	hone	: 330-		396 Analy	yses					F	1 of 1 COCs or lab use only
Project Name. Ford 1 TP Off-Site Project Number: 30080642.402.04 PO # 30080642.402.04	Sampler Name ANNSON HARTZ Method of Shipment/Carrier Shipping/Tracking No:							10 day ⊮ 2 w 1 w 2 da			3 weeks 2 weeks		(X/X)	Grab=G		808	8260B			260B		MIC DOD				Ÿ Ĺ	Valk-in client ab sampling ob/SDG No:	
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Air		Atris Sealars	Ι.	H2SO4	T	Γ	HOPN	T			Filtered Sample (Y / N)	Composite-C / Grab-G	1 1-DCE 8260B	cis-1 2-DCE 8260B	Trans-1,2-DCE	PCE 8260B	TCE 8260B	Vinyl Chloride 8260B							Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:
TRIP BLANK_116				x					1					N	6	х	Х	x	Х	x	X)	<					1 Trip Blank
- MW-1275_051821	5118/21	9 27	-	X			-		6					N	6	X	Х	X	X	X	X	/		_	_	_		3 VOAs for 8260B 3 VOAs for 8260B SIM
Page 348 of 349									240	D-149	708	Chain	of C	Sust	tody													
Possible Hazard Identification ☞ Non-Hazard Clammabk cin Irritan								Samp	de D	isposal	(A1	ee may	be as	isesse	ed if s	samp	les art	: reta	ined I	anger	than	J mo	ath>					
Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Submit all results through Cadena at jtomalia@cadenaco Level JV Reporting requested,			Unkr	lown					Retu	urn to (lient		Dis	sposa	al By	Lah		/	rchi '	e For	<u> </u>		Mont	hs				
Relinquished by Relinquished by Relinq	Company AY (O Company Company Company	Idis AOIS 29		Date/Ti 51 51 Date/Ti	ime. 9	121/	1u 15	0 20	0 2	Recei	CV real	1 (-	2	1	wi Ste	the		4	Con	pany pany pany pany E	<u>(0</u>	1c1 1 7	<u> (</u>			D	$\frac{5 t _2}{ t _2} t 00$

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_116 Date Collected: 05/18/21 00:00 Date Received: 05/19/21 08:00

	Matrix
anic Compounds (GC/MS)	

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	_
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.16	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.15	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.19	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.10	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.20	ug/L			05/29/21 13:56	

Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits	Prepared Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	107	75 - 130	05/29/21 13:50	5 1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	102	47 - 134	05/29/21 13:50	5 1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	107	69 - 122	05/29/21 13:50	5 1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	112	78 - 129	05/29/21 13:50	5 1

Client Sample ID: MW-127S_051821 Date Collected: 05/18/21 09:27 Date Received: 05/19/21 08:00

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/24/21 22:27	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	85		70 - 133					05/24/21 22:27	
Method: 8260B - Volatile C Analyte	•	unds (GC/l Qualifier	MS) RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
	•			МП	Unit	р	Propared	Analyzod	Dil Ea
	•	Qualifier			Unit ug/L	<u> </u>	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene	Result	Qualifier	RL	0.19		<u> </u>	Prepared		Dil Fa
Analyte	Result 1.0	Qualifier U U	RL 1.0	0.19 0.16	ug/L	<u> </u>	Prepared	05/29/21 14:43	Dil Fa
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	Result 1.0 1.0	Qualifier U U U	RL 1.0 1.0	0.19 0.16 0.15	ug/L ug/L	<u> </u>	Prepared	05/29/21 14:43 05/29/21 14:43	Dil Fac
Analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene	Result 1.0 1.0 1.0	Qualifier U U U U	RL 1.0 1.0 1.0	0.19 0.16 0.15 0.19	ug/L ug/L ug/L	<u>D</u>	Prepared	05/29/21 14:43 05/29/21 14:43 05/29/21 14:43	Dil Fa

Surrogate	%Recovery Q	Qualifier Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	110	75 - 130	05	/29/21 14:43	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104	47 - 134	05	/29/21 14:43	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	108	69 - 122	05	/29/21 14:43	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	116	78 - 129	05,	/29/21 14:43	1

Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-1

Dil Fac

1 1

1

1

1

1

Lab Sample ID: 240-149708-2

Matrix: Water