

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Kristoffer Hinskey
ARCADIS US Inc
28550 Cabot Drive
Suite 500
Novi, Michigan 48377

Generated 5/28/2023 8:50:42 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Ford LTP - Off Site

JOB NUMBER

240-185534-1

Eurofins Cleveland

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins Environment Testing North Central, LLC Project Manager.

Authorization



Authorized for release by
Michael DelMonico, Project Manager I
Michael.DelMonico@et.eurofinsus.com
(330)497-9396

Generated
5/28/2023 8:50:42 PM

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	3
Definitions/Glossary	4
Case Narrative	5
Method Summary	6
Sample Summary	7
Detection Summary	8
Client Sample Results	9
Surrogate Summary	11
QC Sample Results	12
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	15
Certification Summary	16
Chain of Custody	17
Receipt Checklists	21



Definitions/Glossary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
α	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Case Narrative

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Cleveland

Narrative

Job Narrative 240-185534-1

Receipt

The samples were received on 5/18/2023 8:00 AM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and, where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 2 coolers at receipt time were 0.4°C and 0.6°C

GC/MS VOA

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/ Glossary page.

Method Summary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260D	Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS	SW846	EET EDI
8260D SIM	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	EET EDI
5030C	Purge and Trap	SW846	EET EDI

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

EET EDI = Eurofins Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

Sample Summary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
240-185534-1	TRIP BLANK_55	Water	05/16/23 00:00	05/18/23 08:00
240-185534-2	MW-154S_051623	Water	05/16/23 14:37	05/18/23 08:00

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Detection Summary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_55

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-1

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MW-154S_051623

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-2

No Detections.

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Cleveland

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_55

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-1

Date Collected: 05/16/23 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 05/18/23 08:00

Method: SW846 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.49	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.46	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.51	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.45	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		70 - 128		05/26/23 01:43	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101		77 - 124		05/26/23 01:43	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		80 - 120		05/26/23 01:43	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98		76 - 120		05/26/23 01:43	1

Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Client Sample ID: MW-154S_051623

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-2

Date Collected: 05/16/23 14:37

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 05/18/23 08:00

Method: SW846 8260D SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/22/23 22:29	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98		75 - 133					05/22/23 22:29	1

Method: SW846 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.49	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.46	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.51	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.45	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	108		70 - 128					05/26/23 04:22	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		77 - 124					05/26/23 04:22	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		80 - 120					05/26/23 04:22	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97		76 - 120					05/26/23 04:22	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Method: 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)			
		DCA (70-128)	DBFM (77-124)	TOL (80-120)	BFB (76-120)
240-185534-1	TRIP BLANK_55	105	101	101	98
240-185534-2	MW-154S_051623	108	104	99	97
LCS 460-911483/3	Lab Control Sample	101	95	103	96
LCSD 460-911483/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	98	95	110	97
MB 460-911483/7	Method Blank	106	102	99	98
Surrogate Legend					
DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)					
DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)					
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)					
BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene					

Method: 8260D SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)			
		BFB (75-133)			
240-185467-E-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	97			
240-185467-F-2 MS	Matrix Spike	99			
240-185534-2	MW-154S_051623	98			
LCS 460-910713/2	Lab Control Sample	96			
MB 460-910713/8	Method Blank	96			
Surrogate Legend					
BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene					

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Method: 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-911483/7

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 911483

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.49	ug/L			05/26/23 00:57	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.46	ug/L			05/26/23 00:57	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 00:57	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.51	ug/L			05/26/23 00:57	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 00:57	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.45	ug/L			05/26/23 00:57	1

Surrogate	MB %Recovery	MB Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	106		70 - 128		05/26/23 00:57	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	102		77 - 124		05/26/23 00:57	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		80 - 120		05/26/23 00:57	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98		76 - 120		05/26/23 00:57	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-911483/3

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 911483

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene	20.0	19.2		ug/L		96	68 - 133
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	19.3		ug/L		96	78 - 121
Tetrachloroethene	20.0	19.7		ug/L		99	70 - 127
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	18.8		ug/L		94	74 - 126
Trichloroethene	20.0	21.6		ug/L		108	71 - 121
Vinyl chloride	20.0	20.1		ug/L		101	55 - 144

Surrogate	LCS %Recovery	LCS Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	101		70 - 128
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		77 - 124
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	103		80 - 120
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96		76 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-911483/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 911483

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
1,1-Dichloroethene	20.0	20.5		ug/L		102	68 - 133	7	30
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	20.2		ug/L		101	78 - 121	5	30
Tetrachloroethene	20.0	22.0		ug/L		110	70 - 127	11	30
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	20.0	20.0		ug/L		100	74 - 126	6	30
Trichloroethene	20.0	21.8		ug/L		109	71 - 121	1	30
Vinyl chloride	20.0	21.3		ug/L		107	55 - 144	6	30

Surrogate	LCSD %Recovery	LCSD Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	98		70 - 128
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		77 - 124
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	110		80 - 120

Eurofins Cleveland

QC Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Method: 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 460-911483/4

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 911483

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Total/NA

	LCSD	LCSD	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97		76 - 120

Method: 8260D SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 460-910713/8

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 910713

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB	MB	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/22/23 18:52	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96		75 - 133					05/22/23 18:52	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 460-910713/2

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 910713

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike	LCS	LCS	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec
1,4-Dioxane	5.00	4.27		ug/L		85	57 - 124
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96		75 - 133				

Lab Sample ID: 240-185467-E-2 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 910713

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec	RPD	RPD
1,4-Dioxane	2.3		5.00	6.68		ug/L		88	57 - 124	0	30
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97		75 - 133								

Lab Sample ID: 240-185467-F-2 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 910713

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec
1,4-Dioxane	2.3		5.00	6.71		ug/L		89	57 - 124
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits						
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99		75 - 133						

Eurofins Cleveland

QC Association Summary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 910713

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-185534-2	MW-154S_051623	Total/NA	Water	8260D SIM	
MB 460-910713/8	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260D SIM	
LCS 460-910713/2	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260D SIM	
240-185467-E-2 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260D SIM	
240-185467-F-2 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260D SIM	

Analysis Batch: 911483

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-185534-1	TRIP BLANK_55	Total/NA	Water	8260D	
240-185534-2	MW-154S_051623	Total/NA	Water	8260D	
MB 460-911483/7	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260D	
LCS 460-911483/3	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260D	
LCSD 460-911483/4	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	8260D	

Lab Chronicle

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_55
Date Collected: 05/16/23 00:00
Date Received: 05/18/23 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-1
Matrix: Water

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	8260D		1	911483	SZD	EET EDI	05/26/23 01:43

Client Sample ID: MW-154S_051623
Date Collected: 05/16/23 14:37
Date Received: 05/18/23 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-2
Matrix: Water

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	8260D		1	911483	SZD	EET EDI	05/26/23 04:22
Total/NA	Analysis	8260D SIM		1	910713	SZD	EET EDI	05/22/23 22:29

Laboratory References:

EET EDI = Eurofins Edison, 777 New Durham Road, Edison, NJ 08817, TEL (732)549-3900

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Edison

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
Connecticut	State	PH-0818	01-30-24
DE Haz. Subst. Cleanup Act (HSCA)	State	N/A	01-01-24
Georgia	State	12028 (NJ)	06-30-23
Massachusetts	State	M-NJ312	06-30-23
New Jersey	NELAP	12028	06-30-23
New York	NELAP	11452	04-01-24
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00522	03-01-24
Rhode Island	State	LAO00376	12-30-23
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-20-00244	11-03-23

[illegible]

Eurofins - Canton Sample Receipt Form/Narrative				Login # : <u>185534</u>	
Barberton Facility					
Client <u>Arcadis</u>		Site Name _____		Cooler unpacked by: <u>Leah M. Smith</u>	
Cooler Received on <u>05-18-23</u>		Opened on <u>05-18-23</u>			
FedEx: 1 st Grd Exp		UPS <u>FAS Clipper</u>		Client Drop Off Eurofins Courier Other	
Receipt After-hours: Drop-off Date/Time _____			Storage Location _____		
Eurofins Cooler # <u>EC</u>		Foam Box _____		Client Cooler Box Other _____	
Packing material used: <u>Bubble Wrap</u>		Foam Plastic Bag _____		None Other _____	
COOLANT: <u>Wet Ice</u>		Blue Ice _____		Dry Ice Water None	
1. Cooler temperature upon receipt		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See Multiple Cooler Form			
IR GUN # <u>22</u>		(CF <u>10.0</u> °C)		Observed Cooler Temp. _____ °C Corrected Cooler Temp. _____ °C	
2. Were tamper/custody seals on the outside of the cooler(s)? If Yes Quantity _____		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		Tests that are not checked for pH by Receiving: VOAs Oil and Grease TOC	
-Were the seals on the outside of the cooler(s) signed & dated?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No NA			
-Were tamper/custody seals on the bottle(s) or bottle kits (LLHg/MeHg)?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA			
-Were tamper/custody seals intact and uncompromised?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA			
3. Shippers' packing slip attached to the cooler(s)?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No			
4. Did custody papers accompany the sample(s)?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
5. Were the custody papers relinquished & signed in the appropriate place?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
6. Was/were the person(s) who collected the samples clearly identified on the COC?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
7. Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
8. Could all bottle labels (ID/Date/Time) be reconciled with the COC?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
9. For each sample, does the COC specify preservatives (Y/N), # of containers (Y/N), and sample type of grab/comp (Y/N)?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
10. Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
11. Sufficient quantity received to perform indicated analyses?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
12. Are these work share samples and all listed on the COC?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No			
If yes, Questions 13-17 have been checked at the originating laboratory.					
13. Were all preserved sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA		pH Strip Lot# <u>HC208070</u>	
14. Were VOAs on the COC?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
15. Were air bubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials? Larger than this.		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No NA			
16. Was a VOA trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Trip Blank Lot # <u>62112</u>		<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
17. Was a LL Hg or Me Hg trip blank present?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No			
Contacted PM _____ Date _____ by _____ via Verbal Voice Mail Other _____					
Concerning _____					

18. CHAIN OF CUSTODY & SAMPLE DISCREPANCIES <input type="checkbox"/> additional next page		Samples processed by: _____
19. SAMPLE CONDITION		
Sample(s) _____ were received after the recommended holding time had expired.		
Sample(s) _____ were received in a broken container.		
Sample(s) _____ were received with bubble >6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)		
20. SAMPLE PRESERVATION		
Sample(s) _____ were further preserved in the laboratory.		
Time preserved: _____ Preservative(s) added/Lot number(s): _____		
VOA Sample Preservation - Date/Time VOAs Frozen: _____		

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

[illegible]

WI-NC-099 Cooler Receipt Form Page 2 - Multiple Coolers

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: ARCADIS US Inc

Job Number: 240-185534-1

Login Number: 185534

List Number: 2

Creator: Armbruster, Chris

List Source: Eurofins Edison

List Creation: 05/19/23 12:22 PM

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter.	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	N/A	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	N/A	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

DATA VERIFICATION REPORT



May 31, 2023

Kris Hinskey
Arcadis Inc
10559 Citation Ave
Suite 100
Brighton, MI 48116

CADENA project ID: E203631

Project: Ford Livonia Transmission Project - OFF-SITE - Soil Gas and Groundwater

Project number: 30167538.402.04 off-site

Event Specific Scope of Work References: Sample COC

Laboratory: Eurofins Environment Testing LLC - Cleveland

Laboratory submittal: 185534-1

Sample date: 2023-05-16

Report received by CADENA: 2023-05-31

Initial Data Verification completed by CADENA: 2023-05-31

Number of Samples:2

Sample Matrices:Water

Test Categories:GCMS VOC

Please see attached criteria report or sample result/qualified analytical result summary for qualifier flags assigned to sample data.

There were no significant QC anomalies or exceptions to report.

Sample/MS/MSD Surrogate Recovery, Blank/LCS Surrogate Recovery, LCS/LCD Recovery, LCS/LCD RPD, Blank Contamination and Hold Time Exception were reviewed as part of our verification.

Data verification for the report specified above was completed using the Ford Motor Company Environmental Laboratory Technical Specification, the CADENA Standard Operating Procedure for the Verification of Environmental Analytical Data and the associated analytical methods as references for evaluating the batch QC, sample data and report content. The EPA National Functional Guidelines for validating organic and inorganic data were used as guidance when addressing out of control QC results and the associated data qualifiers.

The definitions of the qualifiers used for this data package are defined in the analytical report. CADENA valid qualifiers are defined in the table below. To view and download a PDF copy of the laboratory analytical report access the CADENA CLMS at <http://clms.cadenaco.com/index.cfm>.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jim Tomalia

Project Scientist

CADENA Valid Qualifiers

Valid Qualifiers	Description
<	Less than the reported concentration.
>	Greater than the reported concentration.
B	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was greater than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the reported concentration.
E	The analyte / Compound reported exceeds the calibration range and is considered estimated.
EMPC	Estimated Minimum Potential Contamination - Dioxin/Furan analyses only.
J	Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for a tentatively identified compound or when the data indicates the presence of an analyte / compound but the result is less than the sample Quantitation limit, but greater than zero. The flag is also used in data validation to indicate a reported value should be considered estimated due to associated quality assurance deficiencies.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
JB	NON-DETECT AT THE CONCENTRATION REPORTED AND ESTIMATED
JH	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased high.
JL	The sample result is considered estimated and is potentially biased low.
JUB	NON-DETECT AT THE REPORTING LIMIT AND ESTIMATED
NJ	Tentatively identified compound with approximated concentration.
R	Indicates the value is considered to be unusable. (Note: The analyte / compound may or may not be present.)
TNTC	Too Numerous to Count - Asbestos and Microbiological Results.
U	Indicates that the analyte / compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
UB	The analyte / compound was detected in the associated blank. For Organic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 5x (or 10x for common lab contaminants) the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL. For Inorganic methods the sample concentration was less than the RDL and less than 10x the blank concentration and is considered non-detect at the RDL.
UJ	The analyte / compound was not detected above the reported sample Quantitation limit. However, the Quantitation limit is considered to be approximate due to associated quality assurance results and may or may not represent the actual limit of Quantitation to accurately and precisely report the analyte in the sample.

Analytical Results Summary

CADENA Project ID: E203631

Laboratory: Eurofins Environment Testing LLC - Cleveland

Laboratory Submittal: 185534-1

Sample Name: TRIP BLANK_55

Lab Sample ID: 2401855341

Sample Date: 5/16/2023

MW-154S_051623

2401855342

5/16/2023

Analyte	Cas No.	Report		Units	Valid Qualifier	Report		Units	Valid Qualifier
		Result	Limit			Result	Limit		

GC/MS VOC

OSW-8260D

1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	ND	1.0	ug/l	---	ND	1.0	ug/l	---

OSW-8260DSIM

1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1					ND	2.0	ug/l	---
-------------	----------	--	--	--	--	----	-----	------	-----

Ford Motor Company – Livonia Transmission Project

Data Review

Livonia, Michigan

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Analysis

SDG # 240-185534-1

CADENA Verification Report: 2023-05-31

Analyses Performed By:

Eurofins

North Canton, Ohio

Report # 49949R

Review Level: Tier III

Project: 30167538.402.02

DATA REVIEW

SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 240-185534-1 for samples collected in association with the Ford – Livonia, Michigan site. The review was conducted as a Tier III validation in addition to a verification/Tier II validation review performed by CADENA Inc. and included review of level IV laboratory data package completeness. Only elements of a Tier III validation effort (Tier III) include a detailed review of laboratory raw data to check for errors in calculation, calibration review, internal standard review and compound identification) and omitted deviations from the CADENA verification/Tier II report are documented in this report. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis	
					VOC	VOC SIM
TRIP BLANK_55	240-185534-1	Water	05/16/23		X	
MW-154S_051623	240-185534-2	Water	05/16/23		X	X

DATA REVIEW

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of Quality Assurance or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

DATA REVIEW

ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8260D and 8260D SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review, EPA 540-R-20-005, November 2020 (with reference to the historical USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, OSWER 9240.1-05A-P, October 1999), as appropriate.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
 - U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
 - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
 - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
 - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
 - J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
 - UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
 - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA REVIEW

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) ANALYSES

1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8260D/8260D-SIM	Water	14 days from collection to analysis	Cool to < 6 °C; pH < 2 with HCl

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

2. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the initial calibrations were within the specified control limits.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits, with the exception of the compounds presented in the following table.

Sample ID	Initial / Continuing	Compound	Criteria
MW-154S_051623	Initial Calibration Verification %D	1,4-Dioxane	+28.1%

The criteria used to evaluate the initial and continuing calibration are presented in the following table. In the case of a calibration deviation, the sample results are qualified.

Initial/Continuing	Criteria	Sample Result	Qualification
Initial and Continuing Calibration	RRF <0.05	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J
	RRF <0.01 ¹	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J
	RRF >0.05 or RRF >0.01 ¹	Non-detect	No Action
		Detect	

DATA REVIEW

Initial/Continuing	Criteria	Sample Result	Qualification
Initial Calibration	%RSD > 20% or a correlation coefficient <0.99	Non-detect	UJ
		Detect	J
	%RSD > 90%	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J
Continuing Calibration	%D >20% (increase in sensitivity)	Non-detect	UJ
		Detect	J
	%D >20% (decrease in sensitivity)	Non-detect	UJ
		Detect	J
	%D > 90% (increase/decrease in sensitivity)	Non-detect	R
		Detect	J

Note:

¹RRF of 0.01 only applies to compounds which are typically poor responding compounds

4. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the VOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

All internal standard responses were within control limits.

5. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the overall precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 30% for water matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices.

A field duplicate sample was not collected for samples from this SDG.

6. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

No compounds were detected in the samples within this SDG.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

DATA REVIEW

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR VOCs

VOCs: 8260D/8260D-SIM	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS)					
Tier II Validation					
Holding times/Preservation		X		X	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		X		X	
Initial calibration %RSDs		X		X	
Continuing calibration RRFs		X		X	
Continuing calibration %Ds		X	X		
Instrument tune and performance check		X		X	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		X		X	
Field Duplicate RPD	X				X
Internal standard		X		X	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		X		X	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		X	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		X		X	
D. Transcription/calculation errors present		X		X	
E. Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		X		X	

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

DATA REVIEW

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Hrishikesh Upadhyaya

SIGNATURE: 

DATE: June 16, 2023

PEER REVIEW: Andrew Korycinski

DATE: June 21, 2023

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



Client Sample Results

Client: ARCADIS US Inc
Project/Site: Ford LTP - Off Site

Job ID: 240-185534-1

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK_55

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-1

Date Collected: 05/16/23 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 05/18/23 08:00

Method: SW846 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.49	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.46	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.51	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.45	ug/L			05/26/23 01:43	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		70 - 128		05/26/23 01:43	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101		77 - 124		05/26/23 01:43	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		80 - 120		05/26/23 01:43	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98		76 - 120		05/26/23 01:43	1

Client Sample ID: MW-154S_051623

Lab Sample ID: 240-185534-2

Date Collected: 05/16/23 14:37

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 05/18/23 08:00

Method: SW846 8260D SIM - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	U	2.0	0.86	ug/L			05/22/23 22:29	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98		75 - 133		05/22/23 22:29	1

Method: SW846 8260D - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.49	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.46	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.51	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Trichloroethene	1.0	U	1.0	0.44	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1
Vinyl chloride	1.0	U	1.0	0.45	ug/L			05/26/23 04:22	1

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	108		70 - 128		05/26/23 04:22	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	104		77 - 124		05/26/23 04:22	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		80 - 120		05/26/23 04:22	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97		76 - 120		05/26/23 04:22	1

Eurofins Cleveland